

# ME 433 – STATE SPACE CONTROL

## Lecture 9

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

### 1. Multiple-step Discrete-time Finite-Horizon Optimal Control

The plant is described by the general nonlinear discrete-time dynamical equation

$$x_{k+1} = f(k, x_k, u_k), \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1$$

with initial condition  $x_0$  given. The vector  $x_k$  has  $n$  components and the vector  $u_k$  has  $m$  components. Note that this equation contains a set of successive equality constraints which define the state  $x_k$ , in terms of the controls  $u_k$ , and the known initial condition  $x_0$ .

The problem is to find the sequence  $u_k$  that minimizes the performance index:

$$J = \phi(N, x_N) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} L(k, x_k, u_k)$$

Since none of the  $u_k$  depends on any of the  $x_k$  other than  $x_0$ , this is open-loop control.

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

We adjoin the system equations (constraints) to the performance index  $J$  with a multiplier sequence  $\lambda(k) \in R^n$ .

$$\bar{J} = \phi(N, x_N) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left\{ L(k, x_k, u_k) + \lambda_{k+1}^T [f(k, x_k, u_k) - x_{k+1}] \right\}$$

For convenience, we define a Hamiltonian at each step  $k$

$$H_k = L(k, x_k, u_k) + \lambda_{k+1}^T f(k, x_k, u_k)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J} &= \phi(N, x_N) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \{ H_k - \lambda_{k+1}^T x_{k+1} \} \\ &= \phi(N, x_N) - \lambda_N^T x_N + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \{ H_k - \lambda_k^T x_k \} + H_0 \end{aligned}$$

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

We want to examine now the increment in  $\bar{J}$  due to increments in all the variables  $x_k$ ,  $\lambda_k$ , and  $u_k$ . The final time  $N$  is fixed and the initial condition  $x_0$  is given.

$$\begin{aligned} d\bar{J} &= \left[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_N} - \lambda_N^T \right] dx_N + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial x_k} - \lambda_k^T \right] dx_k + \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial u_k} du_k \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial x_0} dx_0 + \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial u_0} du_0 + \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ \frac{\partial H_{k-1}}{\partial \lambda_k} - x_k \right]^T d\lambda_k \end{aligned}$$

We make

$$\frac{\partial H_{k-1}}{\partial \lambda_k} - x_k = 0 \Rightarrow x_{k+1} = \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial \lambda_{k+1}} = f(k, x_k, u_k), \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1 \quad (1)$$

with initial boundary condition

$$x_{k=0} = x_0$$

Difference equation solved forward in time

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

When the constraint is satisfied, we have

$$d\bar{J} = \left[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_N} - \lambda_N^T \right] dx_N + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial x_k} - \lambda_k^T \right] dx_k + \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial u_k} du_k \right\} \\ + \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial x_0} dx_0 + \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial u_0} du_0$$

We choose the multiplier sequence  $\lambda(k) \in R^n$  so that we have

$$\frac{\partial H_k}{\partial x_k} - \lambda_k^T = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_k^T = \frac{\partial L_k}{\partial x_k} + \lambda_{k+1}^T \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_k}, \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1 \quad (2)$$

Difference equation solved backward in time

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

With this choice of  $\lambda_k$  we have

$$d\bar{J} = \left[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_N} - \lambda_N^T \right] dx_N + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial u_k} du_k + \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial x_0} dx_0$$

- The initial condition  $x_0$  is given, then  $dx_0=0$ .
- For a *fixed final state*,  $x_N$  is given, then  $dx_N=0$ . For a *free final state*, we need

$$\lambda_{k=N}^T = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_N} \quad (3)$$

The initial condition for the Two-point Boundary-value Problem (1)-(2) is the known value for  $x_0$ . The final condition is either a desired value of  $x_N$  or the value of  $\lambda_N$  in (3).

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

Now we have

$$d\bar{J} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial u_k} du_k$$

For an extremum, the increment in  $\bar{J}$  must be zero for any arbitrary  $du_k$ . This can only happen if we have

$$\frac{\partial H_k}{\partial u_k} = \frac{\partial L_k}{\partial u_k} + \lambda_{k+1}^T \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial u_k} = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1$$

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

System Properties

SUMMARY

Controller Properties

*System Model*

$$x_{k+1} = f(k, x_k, u_k)$$

*State Equation*

$$x_{k+1} = \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial \lambda_{k+1}} = f(k, x_k, u_k)$$

*Performance Index*

$$J = \phi(N, x_N) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} L(k, x_k, u_k)$$

*Costate Equation*

$$\lambda_k^T = \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial L_k}{\partial x_k} + \lambda_{k+1}^T \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_k}$$

*Hamiltonian*

$$H_k = L(k, x_k, u_k) + \lambda_{k+1}^T f(k, x_k, u_k)$$

*Stationary Condition*

$$\frac{\partial H_k}{\partial u_k} = \frac{\partial L_k}{\partial u_k} + \lambda_{k+1}^T \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial u_k} = 0$$

*Boundary Condition*

$$\left[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_N} - \lambda_N^T \right] dx_N = 0, \quad \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial x_0} dx_0 = 0$$

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

So far, we have derived *necessary conditions* for a stationary point of  $J$  that also satisfies the constraints  $x_{k+1} = f(k, x_k, u_k)$ . We are interested now in *sufficient conditions* for a local minimum. This requires satisfaction of the stationary conditions above, plus establishment of the property that  $dJ \geq 0$  for small changes  $du_k$  about the stationary point.

$$dJ = \frac{1}{2} dx_N^T \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_N^2} dx_N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \begin{bmatrix} dx_k^T & du_k^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 H_k}{\partial x_k^2} & \frac{\partial^2 H_k}{\partial x_k \partial u_k} \\ \frac{\partial^2 H_k}{\partial u_k \partial x_k} & \frac{\partial^2 H_k}{\partial u_k^2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dx_k \\ du_k \end{bmatrix}$$

The values of  $dx_k$  are determined by the sequence  $du_k$  from the differential of the plant dynamics

$$dx_{k+1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} dx_k + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_k} du_k, \quad dx_0 = 0$$

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

Examples:

$$x_{k+1} = ax_k + bu_k, \quad x_{k=0} = x_0$$

(a) Fixed final state  $x_{k=N} = r_N$   $J_0 = \frac{r}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} u_k^2$

(b) Free final state  $x_{k=N} \rightarrow r_N$   $J_0 = \frac{1}{2} (x_N - r_N)^2 + \frac{r}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} u_k^2$

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

### 2. Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR) Problem

The plant is described by the linear discrete-time dynamical equation

$$x_{k+1} = A_k x_k + B_k u_k,$$

with initial condition  $x_0$  given. We want to find the sequence  $u_k$  that minimizes the performance index:

$$J = \frac{1}{2} x_N^T S_N x_N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (x_k^T Q_k x_k + u_k^T R_k u_k)$$

*Linear* because of the system dynamics

*Quadratic* because of the performance index

*Regulator* because of the absence of a tracking objective---we are interested in regulation around the zero state.

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

We adjoin the system equations (constraints) to the performance index  $J$  with a multiplier sequence  $\lambda(k) \in R^n$ .

$$\bar{J} = \frac{1}{2} x_N^T S_N x_N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (x_k^T Q_k x_k + u_k^T R_k u_k) + \lambda_{k+1}^T (A_k x_k + B_k u_k - x_{k+1})$$

We define a Hamiltonian at each step  $k$

$$H_k = x_k^T Q_k x_k + u_k^T R_k u_k + \lambda_{k+1}^T [A_k x_k + B_k u_k]$$

Thus, the necessary conditions for a stationary point are:

$$x_{k+1} = \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial \lambda_{k+1}} = A_k x_k + B_k u_k$$

$$\lambda_k^T = \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial x_k} = x_k^T Q_k + \lambda_{k+1}^T A_k$$

$$\frac{\partial H_k}{\partial u_k} = u_k^T R_k + \lambda_{k+1}^T B_k = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{u_k^T = -\lambda_{k+1}^T B_k R_k^{-1}}$$

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

We must solve the Two-point Boundary-value Problem

$$x_{k+1} = A_k x_k - B_k R_k^{-1} B_k^T \lambda_{k+1}$$

$$\lambda_k = A_k^T \lambda_{k+1} + Q_k x_k$$

for  $k=0, \dots, N-1$  with boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k=0} &= x_0 \\ \lambda_{k=N} &= S_N x_{k=N} \quad \text{or} \quad x_{k=N} = x_N \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \left[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_N} - \lambda_N^T \right] dx_N = 0, \quad \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial x_0} dx_0 = 0$$

If  $|A| \neq 0$  we can invert  $A$  in the  $x_k$  recursion to yield a reverse-time variant.

$$x_{k+1} = A_k x_k - B_k R_k^{-1} B_k^T \lambda_{k+1} \Rightarrow x_k = A_k^{-1} x_{k+1} + A_k^{-1} B_k R_k^{-1} B_k^T \lambda_{k+1}$$

$$\lambda_k = A_k^T \lambda_{k+1} + Q_k x_k$$

Unfortunately, we are given  $x_0$ , not  $x_N$  and  $\lambda_N$  simultaneously.

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

### 2.1 Fixed-Final State and Open-Loop Control

$$x_{k+1} = A x_k + B u_k,$$

$$x_N = r_N$$

$$J_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} u_k^T R u_k$$

If  $Q \neq 0$ , the problem is intractable. The Two-point Boundary-value Problem is now simplified:

$$x_{k+1} = A x_k - B R^{-1} B^T \lambda_{k+1}$$

$$\lambda_k = A^T \lambda_{k+1} + Q x_k$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$x_{k+1} = A x_k - B R^{-1} B^T \lambda_{k+1}$$

$$\lambda_k = A^T \lambda_{k+1}$$

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

The costate equation is decoupled from the state equation, and it has an easy solution:

$$\lambda_k = A^T \lambda_{k+1} \Rightarrow \boxed{\lambda_k = (A^T)^{N-k} \lambda_N}$$

We replace  $\lambda_{k+1}$  in the state equation and solve:

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k - BR^{-1}B^T(A^T)^{N-k-1}\lambda_N \Rightarrow \boxed{x_k = A^k x_0 - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A^{k-i-1} BR^{-1}B^T(A^T)^{N-i-1} \lambda_N}$$

We solve now for  $\lambda_N$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x_N &= A^N x_0 - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} A^{N-i-1} BR^{-1}B^T(A^T)^{N-i-1} \lambda_N = r_N \\ \lambda_N &= -W_C^{-1}(0, N)(r_N - A^N x_0) \end{aligned}$$

$W_C(0, N) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} A^{N-i-1} BR^{-1}B^T(A^T)^{N-i-1}$   
Weighted Controllability Gramian of  $[A, B]$

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

Summary:  $\lambda_N = -W_C^{-1}(0, N)(r_N - A^N x_0)$

$$W_C(0, N) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} A^{N-i-1} BR^{-1}B^T(A^T)^{N-i-1} = U_N \begin{bmatrix} R^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & R^{-1} \end{bmatrix} U_N^T$$

The inverse of the gramian  $W_C(0, N)$  exists if and only if  $U_N = [B \ AB \ A^2B \ \dots \ A^{N-1}B]$  is full rank (system is controllable).

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_k &= -(A^T)^{N-k} W_C^{-1}(0, N)(r_N - A^N x_0) \\ x_k &= A^k x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A^{k-i-1} BR^{-1}B^T(A^T)^{N-i-1} W_C^{-1}(0, N)(r_N - A^N x_0) \\ u_k^* &= BR^{-1}B^T(A^T)^{N-k-1} W_C^{-1}(0, N)(r_N - A^N x_0) \end{aligned}$$



## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

### 2.2 Free-Final-State and Closed-Loop Control

$$x_{k+1} = A_k x_k + B_k u_k, \quad J_0 = \frac{1}{2} x_N^T S_N x_N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (x_k^T Q_k x_k + u_k^T R_k u_k)$$

The Two-point Boundary-value Problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= A_k x_k - B_k R_k^{-1} B_k^T \lambda_{k+1} \\ \lambda_k &= A_k^T \lambda_{k+1} + Q_k x_k \end{aligned}$$

We need  $\left[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_N} - \lambda_N^T \right] dx_N = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_N^T = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_N} = x_N^T S_N$

Let us assume that this relationship holds for all  $k \leq N$  (Sweep Method)

$$\lambda_k = S_k x_k$$

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

Substituting in the state equation,

$$x_{k+1} = A_k x_k - B_k R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} x_{k+1} \Rightarrow x_{k+1} = (I + B_k R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1})^{-1} A_k x_k$$

Substituting in the costate equation,

$$S_k x_k = A_k^T S_{k+1} x_{k+1} + Q_k x_k = Q_k x_k + A_k^T S_{k+1} (I + B_k R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1})^{-1} A_k x_k$$

Since this must hold for any sequence  $x_k$ ,

$$S_k = Q_k + A_k^T S_{k+1} (I + B_k R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1})^{-1} A_k$$

Using the matrix inversion lemma  $(A + BCD)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}B(DA^{-1}B + C^{-1})^{-1}DA^{-1}$

$$S_k = A_k^T S_{k+1} A_k - A_k^T S_{k+1} B_k (B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k + R_k)^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k + Q_k$$

Ricatti Difference Equation (RDE)

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

The optimal control is given by,

$$u_k = -R_k^{-1} B_k^T \lambda_{k+1} = -R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} x_{k+1} = -R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} (A_k x_k + B_k u_k)$$

Solving for  $u_k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &= -(I + R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k)^{-1} R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k x_k \\ &= -(R_k + B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k)^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k x_k \\ &= -K_k x_k \end{aligned} \quad \text{Feedback Control!!!}$$

$$K_k = (R_k + B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k)^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k \quad \text{Kalman Gain Sequence}$$

This expresses  $u_k$  as a time-varying, linear, state-variable, feedback control. The feedback gain  $K_k$  is computed ahead of time via the sequence  $S_k$ , which satisfies the RDE with terminal condition  $S_N$ .

ME 433 - State Space Control

155

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

The optimal control is given by,

$$u_k = -R_k^{-1} B_k^T \lambda_{k+1} = -R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} x_{k+1} = -R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} (A_k x_k + B_k u_k)$$

Solving for  $u_k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &= -(I + R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k)^{-1} R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k x_k \\ &= -(R_k + B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k)^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k x_k \\ &= -K_k x_k \end{aligned} \quad \text{Feedback Control!!!}$$

$$K_k = (R_k + B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k)^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k \quad \text{Kalman Gain Sequence}$$

This expresses  $u_k$  as a time-varying, linear, state-variable, feedback control. The feedback gain  $K_k$  is computed ahead of time via the sequence  $S_k$ , which satisfies the RDE with terminal condition  $S_N$ .

ME 433 - State Space Control

156

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_0 &= \frac{1}{2} x_N^T S_N x_N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (x_k^T Q_k x_k + u_k^T R_k u_k) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} x_0^T S_0 x_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (x_{k+1}^T S_{k+1} x_{k+1} + x_k^T (Q_k - S_k) x_k + u_k^T R_k u_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

Where we have used the fact that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x_{k+1}^T S_{k+1} x_{k+1} - x_k^T S_k x_k = x_N^T S_N x_N - x_0^T S_0 x_0$$

Using the state equation

$$x_{k+1} = A_k x_k + B_k u_k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_0 &= \frac{1}{2} x_0^T S_0 x_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[ x_k^T (A_k^T S_{k+1} A_k + Q_k - S_k) x_k + x_k^T A_k^T S_{k+1} B_k u_k \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + u_k^T B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k x_k + u_k^T (B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k + R_k) u_k \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

## Discrete Dynamic Optimization

Using the Riccati equation

$$S_k = A_k^T S_{k+1} A_k - A_k^T S_{k+1} B_k (B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k + R_k)^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k + Q_k$$

we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_0 &= \frac{1}{2} x_0^T S_0 x_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[ x_k^T A_k^T S_{k+1} B_k (B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k + R_k)^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k x_k \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + x_k^T A_k^T S_{k+1} B_k u_k + u_k^T B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k x_k + u_k^T (B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k + R_k) u_k \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} x_0^T S_0 x_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left\| \left( R_k + B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k \right)^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k x_k + u_k \right\|_{R_k + B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k}^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} x_0^T S_0 x_0 \quad \quad \quad u_k = - \left( R_k + B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k \right)^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k x_k
 \end{aligned}$$