

Math 205, Summer I, 2016

**Week 4b:** Continued

Chapter 5, Section 8

## 5.8 Diagonalization

[reprint, week04: Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors] + diagonalization

## 1. 5.8 Eigenspaces, Diagonalization

A vector  $\vec{v} \neq \vec{0}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  (or in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ ) is an **eigenvector with eigenvalue**  $\lambda$  of an  $n$ -by- $n$  matrix  $A$  if  $A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v}$ .

We re-write the vector equation as  $(A - \lambda I_n)\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ ,

which is a homogeneous system with coef matrix  $(A - \lambda I)$ , and we want  $\lambda$  so that the system has a non-trivial solution.

We see that the eigenvalues are the roots of the

**characteristic polynomial**  $P(\lambda) = 0$ , where  $P(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$ .

To find the eigenvectors we find the distinct

roots  $\lambda = \lambda_i$ , and for each  $i$  solve  $(A - \lambda_i I)\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ .

**Problem 1.** Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors

$$\text{of } A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -5 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} P(\lambda) &= \det(A - \lambda I_2) = \begin{vmatrix} 3 - \lambda & -1 \\ -5 & -1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-1 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda) - 5 = \lambda^2 - 2\lambda - 3 - 5 \\ &= \lambda^2 - 2\lambda - 8 = (\lambda - 4)(\lambda + 2), \text{ so } \lambda_1 = 4, \lambda_2 = -2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\lambda_1 = 4$ , we reduce the coef matrix of the system  $(A - 4I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ ,

$$A - 4I = \begin{pmatrix} 3-4 & -1 \\ -5 & -1-4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -5 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so for  $x_2 = a$  we get  $x_1 = -a$ , and the eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1 = 4$  are the

vectors  $(x_1, x_2) = a(-1, 1)$  with  $a \neq 0$ , from the space with basis  $\vec{v}_1 = (-1, 1)$ .

For  $\lambda_2 = -2$ , we start over with coef

$$A + 2I = \begin{pmatrix} 3+2 & -1 \\ -5 & -1+2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To find a spanning set without fractions, we

anticipate that finding  $x_1$  will use division

by 5, and take  $x_2 = 5b$ . Then  $5x_1 - x_2 = 0$  gives

$5x_1 = 5b$ , so  $x_1 = b$ , and  $(x_1, x_2) = b(1, 5)$ ,

spanned by  $\vec{v}_2 = (1, 5)$  [or, if you must,  $(\frac{1}{5}, 1)$ ].

**Example 1 (diagonalization):** We write  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2$  as columns, then

$$S^{-1}AS = D \text{ with } S = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } D = \text{diag}(4, -2) = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Problem 2.**

Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors

$$\text{of } A = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & -12 & 8 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -8 & 12 & -6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Solution.**

Since  $A$  is 3-by-3, the characteristic polynomial  $P(\lambda)$  is cubic, ...

... expansion on the 2nd row gives:

$$\text{we get } P(\lambda) = (2 - \lambda) \begin{vmatrix} 10 - \lambda & 8 \\ -8 & -6 - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (2 - \lambda)[(10 - \lambda)(-6 - \lambda) + 64] = (2 - \lambda)[\lambda^2 - 4\lambda - 60 + 64] = -(\lambda - 2)^3.$$

Anyway, this matrix only has one distinct

eigenvalue,  $\lambda_1 = 2$ . To find the eigenvectors,

we reduce the coef matrix  $A - 2I$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 10-2 & -12 & 8 \\ 0 & 2-2 & 0 \\ -8 & 12 & -6-2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  will be free variables,

and anticipating that finding  $x_1$  will involve

division by 2, take  $x_2 = 2s$  and  $x_3 = t$ , so

$x_1 = 3s - t$ ; and  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (3s - t, 2s, t)$

$= s(3, 2, 0) + t(-1, 0, 1)$ , has LI spanning vectors

$(3, 2, 0)$  and  $(-1, 0, 1)$ , giving eigenvectors except when  $s = t = 0$ .

**Example 2 (diagonalization):** This 3-by-3 matrix is

NOT diagonalizable, since either

(1) we need 3 LI eigenvectors, but only have 2; OR

(2) the repeated eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 2$  has multiplicity  $m_1 = 3$ , but the dimension of the eigenspace  $E_2$  is  $d_1 = 2$ , with  $m_1 \neq d_1$ .

---

**Problem 3.** Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors

$$\text{of } A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 4 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solution.

$$P(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} 3 - \lambda & -2 \\ 4 & -1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = [(3 - \lambda)(-1 - \lambda) + 8] = \lambda^2 - 2\lambda - 3 + 8$$

$= \lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 5$ , with roots  $\lambda = 1 \pm 2i$ . So there are no real  $\lambda$  for which there

is a non-trivial real solution  $\vec{x} \in \mathbf{R}^2$ . But there is a complex solution  $\vec{0} \neq \vec{x} \in \mathbf{C}^2$ ,

and we solve for  $\vec{x}$  by reducing the coef matrix.

The eigenvalues are complex conjugates, say

$$\lambda_1 = 1 - 2i, \lambda_2 = \overline{\lambda_1} = 1 + 2i.$$

We only need to solve one of the systems.

For  $\lambda_1 = 1 - 2i$ ,  $A - (1 - 2i)I =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 + 2i & -2 \\ 4 & -2 + 2i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 + i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The above step is semi-legal cheating; we know the result without doing the computation.

Presuming that the roots are correct(!), we know that there is a non-trivial solution, so there's a free-variable; and there must be a row in the RREF without a leading 1, and so a  $\vec{0}$ -row.

We pick the row with real first entry, and know that the other row of the RREF is  $\vec{0}$ .

We can also do the calculation without cheating, the first row

will be  $(1 \quad \frac{1}{2}(-1 + i))$ , so we check that  $(2 + 2i)$  times

the first row is the 2nd row (getting 0 after subtracting),

for which we need (and check)  $(2 + 2i)\frac{1}{2}(-1 + i) = -2$ .

As basis for the solution we take  $\vec{v}_1 = (1 - i, 2)$ ,

and then get  $\vec{v}_2 = (1 + i, 2)$  for  $\lambda_2$ ,

since an eigenvector for the conjugate value is the

conjugate vector (when  $A$  has real entries).

**Example 3 (diagonalization):** The eigenvalues are distinct, so this matrix is diagonalizable, and the matrix  $S$  uses (complex) eigenvectors  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2$  just as in Example 1.

Recall that an  $n$ -by- $n$  matrix  $A$  is **diagonalizable**

if and only if  $A$  has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors;

and, we have a definition, matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are **similar** if there is an  $n$ -by- $n$

matrix  $S$  so that  $S$  has an inverse and  $B = S^{-1}AS$ .

We also recall that a **diagonal matrix**  $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$

is a square matrix with all entries 0 except (possibly) on the main diagonal, where the entries are  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  (in that order).

Finally, a matrix  $A$  is **diagonalizable** if there is a matrix  $S$  so that  $S^{-1}AS = D$  is a diagonal matrix.

**Theorem.** The matrix  $A$  is diagonalizable exactly when

$A$  has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors.

Further, (1) the diagonal entries in the diagonalization are the eigenvalues,

each occurring as many times on the diagonal as the multiplicity  $m_j$ ;

(2) the columns of the matrix  $S$  that diagonalizes  $A$

are  $n$  LI eigenvectors of  $A$ ; and,

(3) the  $j$ -th column of  $S$  has eigenvalue that is the  $j$ -th entry on the diagonal.

**Problem 4.**

For each eigenvalue, find the multiplicity and a basis for the eigenspace and determine whether

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 6 \\ -4 & 0 & -7 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is diagonalizable.}$$

**Solution.**

Expanding  $\det(A - \lambda I)$  on the 3rd row, we have  $P(\lambda) = (-3 - \lambda) \begin{vmatrix} 4 - \lambda & 1 \\ -4 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix}$ ,

$$= -(3 + \lambda)[-4\lambda + \lambda^2 + 4] = -(3 + \lambda)(\lambda - 2)^2,$$

so  $\lambda_1 = 2$  is an eigenvalue with multiplicity 2,

and  $\lambda_2 = -3$  is a simple root (multiplicity 1).

---


$$\text{For } \lambda_1 = 2, A - 2I = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 6 \\ -4 & -2 & -7 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We already have sufficient info to determine

that  $A$  is not diagonalizable:  $\text{Rank}(A - 2I) = 2$ , so

the dimension of the eigenspace (nullspace!) is  $3 - 2 = 1$ ,

less than the multiplicity. We still need bases of the eigenspaces;

and see that  $\vec{v}_1 = (-1, 2, 0)$  is a basis for  $\lambda_1 = 2$ .

$$\text{For } \lambda_2 = -3, A - (-3I) = A + 3I = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 & 6 \\ -4 & 3 & -7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 7 & -8 \\ 0 & -25 & 25 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(we use  $R_1 \rightarrow R_1 + 2R_2$ ; update, then use  $R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 4R_1$ )

$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (R_1 \rightarrow -R_1, R_2 \rightarrow -\frac{1}{25}R_2; \text{ update, then } R_1 \rightarrow R_1 + 7R_2.)$$

So a basis is  $\vec{v}_2 = (-1, 1, 1)$ ,  $\dim(E_{-3})=3-2=1$ .

**Problem 5.**

Determine whether  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$  is diagonalizable or not,

given  $P(\lambda) = (\lambda - 2)^2(\lambda + 1)$ .

Solution.

Since  $\lambda_2 = -1$  is a simple root it is non-defective;

and we only need to check  $\lambda_1 = 2$ . We have  $A - 2I = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -3 & 1 \\ -1 & -3 & 1 \\ -1 & -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ so } \dim(E_2) = 3 - 1 = 2, \text{ and } A \text{ is diagonalizable.}$$

We're not asked for a basis here, but for practice,

$x_2 = a, x_3 = b$  and  $x_1 + 3x_2 - x_3 = 0$  gives  $x_1 = -3a + b$ ,

$(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (-3a + b, a, b) = (-3a, a, 0) + (b, 0, b) = a(-3, 1, 0) + b(1, 0, 1)$ ,

so a basis is  $\vec{v}_1 = (-3, 1, 0)$  and  $\vec{v}_2 = (1, 0, 1)$ .



**Example 5 (diagonalization):** We write  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2$  as columns,

and also  $v_3 = (1, 1, 1)$  as a column for  $\lambda = -1$ , then

$S = (v_1 v_2 v_3)$  gives  $S^{-1}AS = D$ , with  $D = \text{diag}(2, 2, -1)$ .

Finally, we collect the terminology and results used. If  $P(\lambda)$  written

in factored form is  $P(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)^{m_1} \dots (\lambda - \lambda_r)^{m_r}$ , where the  $\lambda_j$

are the distinct roots, we say that  $\lambda_j$  has (algebraic) multiplicity  $m_j$ ,

or that  $\lambda_j$  is a simple root (multiplicity 1) if  $m_j = 1$ .

Let  $d_j = \dim(E_{\lambda_j})$  be the dimension of the eigenspace (sometimes called

the geometric multiplicity), then the main facts are

1.  $1 \leq d_j \leq m_j$ ;
2.  $A$  is non-diagonalizable exactly when there is some eigenvalue (which must be a repeated root) that is defective,  $d_j < m_j$ ;
3.  $A$  is diagonalizable exactly when every eigenvalue is non-defective,  $d_j = m_j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ ;
4. In particular, if  $P(\lambda)$  has distinct roots then  $A$  is always diagonalizable ( $1 \leq d_j \leq m_j = 1$ ).

We recall that  $m_j$  - the algebraic multiplicity - is the number of times

the  $j$ th distinct eigenvalue is a root of the characteristic polynomial;

and  $d_j$  - the geometric multiplicity - is the dimension of the

$\lambda_j$ th eigenspace (that is, the nullspace of  $A - \lambda_j I$ ).

**Problem 6.** Compare eigenspaces and the

defective condition for  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Solution. Both matrices have char polyn  $P(\lambda) = -(\lambda - 4)^2(\lambda + 1)$ .

Since  $\lambda = -1$  a simple root (not repeated), it is non-defective.

$$\text{We have } A - 4I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -3 \\ 0 & -2 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$A_1 - 4I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & -3 \\ 0 & -2 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These matrices test our eigenvector-finding-skills. For the 2nd, the equations

say  $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ ; while we must have a nontrivial solution. Do you see one?

Don't Think! Our reflex is  $x_2, x_3$  bound;  $x_1 = a$  free,

$$\text{so } (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (a, 0, 0) = a(1, 0, 0).$$

We also have  $\vec{v}_1 = (1, 0, 0)$  an eigenvector for the first matrix,

but there's also a 2nd LI solution,  $\vec{v}_2 = (0, -3, 2)$ . So the roots

and multiplicities are the same, only one entry is changed, but  $A$

is diagonalizable; while  $A_1$  is non-diagonalizable.