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What is a dielectric?

- Dialectric i.e. poorly electric and can sustain electric field without appreciable current.

Uses:

- Insulation for wires, cables, electrical equipment
- Capacitors
- Devices for propagation of e.m. waves
  - (Piezoelectric transducers, time devices)
  - (Memory elements)
- Microelectronics .....
Dielectrics in microelectronics:
(a) Gate dielectrics, (b) Tunneling oxides in memory devices, $(\text{SiO}_x\text{N}_y)$
(c) Capacitors, (d) Interconnect dielectrics, (e) Isolation dielectrics

Electronic and Ionic polarizations are most important.
Principal Dielectric Properties: Why bother?

1. **Dielectric constant, $\varepsilon'$**
   - High for charge storage device e.g. capacitor, gate dielectric
   - Low for faster signal transmission (speed $\sim 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$)

2. **Dielectric (energy) loss, $\varepsilon''$**
   - High for microwave heating
   - Low for signal transmission

3. **Dielectric breakdown**
   - High for most insulating applications e.g. tunneling oxides
   - Low for fuses (?)
Rigid insulators: glass, ceramics, porcelain, epoxies.

- Advantages of glass & ceramics over polymers
  - Superior dielectric properties
  - No creep or deformation at RT
  - Greater stability in hostile environment

- Other desirable characteristics
  - Suitable thermal and mechanical properties
  - Ability to form seals with metals/ceramics
  - No porosity
How can we understand this?

- Note that the addition of alkali oxide to silica increases the MHz-GHz frequency dielectric constant monotonically.
- The increase is higher for the larger alkali.

**Figure 154.** Dielectric constant \( \varepsilon \) of binary alkali silicate glasses at \( 4.5 \cdot 10^8 \) Hz and room temperature, from Appen and Bresker [26].
Dielectric in static (dc) field

(a) Parallel plate capacitor in vacuum.
(b) As a slab of insulating material is inserted between the plates, there is an external current flow indicating that more charge is stored on the plates.

\[ Q_o \propto V \]
\[ = C_o V \]

- \( Q_o \) = charge on the plates
- \( V \) = voltage
- \( C_o \) = capacitance of a parallel plate capacitor in free space.

**Units:** Coulomb/Volt = Farad

\[ C_o \propto \text{Area of plates (neglect edge effect)} \]
\[ \propto 1/\text{separation between the plates} \]
\[ = \varepsilon_0 \text{ A/d} \]

\( \varepsilon_0 = 8.854 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F/m} \)
Capacitance of a dielectric

\[ \varepsilon_r = \frac{Q}{Q_o} = \frac{C}{C_o} \]

\( \varepsilon_r \) = relative permittivity,

\( Q = \) charge on the plates with a dielectric medium > \( Q_o \)

So that \( C > C_o \) and \( \varepsilon_r > 1.0 \)

**Goal:** Understand the origin of \( \varepsilon_r \) and manipulate its value by material design.
Coulomb’s law and electric field

Force between two charges \textit{in vacuum}:
\[ F_0 = \frac{q_1 q_2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 r^2} \]
Coulomb’s law – Proven to better than 2 ppb

Electric field in vacuum, \( E_0 = \frac{q_1}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 r^2} \)

Force between two charges \textit{in dielectric}:
\[ F = \frac{q_1 q_2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r r^2} \]
Electric field in dielectric, \( E = \frac{q_1}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r r^2} \)

The field in dielectric is reduced by \( \varepsilon_r \).
The dielectric is not neutral, but must have non-uniform charge \( \Rightarrow \) charges are shifted as the dielectric gets polarized.

Displaced charge produces electrical force given by displacement vector \( \mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \mathbf{E} \), so that in general \( \varepsilon_r \) is a tensor (\textit{of course, scalar for glass}).

\textbf{Dielectric constant is a macroscopic/engineering property!}
Former President Bill Clinton warned Saturday that the country is becoming increasingly polarized despite the historic nature of the Democratic primary.
Microscopic view:

The simplest unit of polarization: Dipole, where positive charge is displaced with respect to its countercharge.

Define dipole moment:
\[ p = \text{charge} \times \text{separation} \ (\text{-Q to +Q}) \]

Define polarization: \[ P = \text{dipole moment} / \text{volume} \]

For small fields: \[ p \propto E_{\text{loc}} \] or \[ p = \alpha E_{\text{loc}}, \] where \( \alpha \) is polarizability and \( E_{\text{loc}} \) is local electric field acting on the specific dipole. If there are \( N \) dipoles/vol, \[ P = Np = N\alpha E_{\text{loc}} \]
Dielectric susceptibility, $\chi$

Experimentally, $P \propto E$, so that $P = \chi E$

$\chi$ is dielectric susceptibility; describes the bulk response of the material.

Two sources of charge on the plates:
(i) Charge from connection to the battery
(ii) Charge induced by the bound charge from the polarization of the dielectric. This *bound* surface charge/area = $P$

\[ D = D_0 + P = \varepsilon_0 E + \chi E \]

Also $D = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r E$

So that $\chi = \varepsilon_0 (\varepsilon_r - 1)$

Experimentally, $P \propto E$, so that $P = \chi E$

$\chi$ is dielectric susceptibility; describes the bulk response of the material.

Two sources of charge on the plates:
(i) Charge from connection to the battery
(ii) Charge induced by the bound charge from the polarization of the dielectric. This *bound* surface charge/area = $P$

\[ D = D_0 + P = \varepsilon_0 E + \chi E \]

Also $D = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r E$

So that $\chi = \varepsilon_0 (\varepsilon_r - 1)$

Figure 15-1. (a) A parallel plate capacitor connected to a potential difference $V$. With vacuum between the plates, the electric displacement is $D_0 (=\text{charge } q)$. The flux lines start from the $+$ charges on the positive plate and end on the $-$ charges on the negative plate. (b) Upon introducing a dielectric between the plates, polarization charges $+/-P$ appear on the dielectric facing the plates. This causes the charges on the plates to become $(q+P)$ and $-(q+P)$. Inset shows exaggeration of the plate–nearest dielectric face region. (Adapted after B. K. P. Scaife, *Principles of Dielectrics*, 1971.)
Local (or Lorenz) electric field in a solid, $E_{\text{loc}}$

$$E_{\text{loc}} = E + E_{\text{spherical cavity}} + E_{\text{dipoles}}$$

$$E_{S} = \frac{1}{3\varepsilon_{0}} P$$

So that local or Lorenz field, $E_{\text{loc}} = E_{\text{ext}} + P/3\varepsilon_{0} = E_{\text{ext}}(\varepsilon_{r}+2)/3$

$$P = N\alpha E_{\text{loc}} = \varepsilon_{0}(\varepsilon_{r}-1)E_{\text{ext}}$$

Claussius Mossotti Eq. Micro $\leftrightarrow$ Macro

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{r} - 1}{\varepsilon_{r} + 2} = \frac{N\alpha}{3\varepsilon_{0}}$$
Polarization Mechanisms

1. Electronic polarization
2. Ionic/atomic polarization
3. Dipolar/orientational polarization
   a) ‘Jellyfish’ polarization
4. Interfacial polarization

Nature has two types of processes: relaxation or resonance.
1 and 2 are resonance processes
3 and 4 are relaxation processes
Electronic polarizability of an atom, $\alpha_e$

The force due to applied field pulls the nucleus and electron cloud apart.

In equilibrium, it is balanced by the Coulombic attraction between them.

The \textit{induced} electronic dipole moment

$$p_e = (Ze)x = \left( \frac{Z^2 e^2}{\beta} \right) E$$

$Z =$ # of electrons in the atom, $x =$ distance between the nucleus and the center of negative charge, $\beta =$ constant, $E =$ electric field

http://hypertextbook.com/physics/electricity/dielectrics/stretching.html
Electronic polarizability of inert gas $\sim Z$

When E is removed, the electron cloud will return, and oscillate with its natural frequency $\omega_0 = 2\pi f_0$

$$\alpha_e = \frac{Ze^2}{m_e \omega_o^2}$$

$$\omega_o = \left(\frac{\beta}{Zm_e}\right)^{1/2}$$

- $\alpha_e \propto Z$. Its resonance freq. (in UV) is $\sim$ independent of Z.
- $\alpha_e$ is $\sim T$ independent.

Use high Z elements to increase refractive index of a glass.
Electronic polarizability

General remarks - ***tips for material design***:
• $\alpha_e$ determines $n$ in the visible region, and at lower freq.
• In a given column $\alpha_e$ increases with atom radius.
• $\alpha_e$ for anions $\gg$ for cations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cation</th>
<th>Electronic Polarizability ($\alpha_e$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li$^+$</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$^{4+}$</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$^{-2}$</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F$^{-}$</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ne$^{0}$</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$^{+}$</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si$^{4+}$</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S$^{-2}$</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl$^{-}$</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar$^{0}$</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$^{+}$</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti$^{4+}$</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se$^{-2}$</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br$^{-}$</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr$^{0}$</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb$^{+}$</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr$^{4+}$</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te$^{-2}$</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I$^{-}$</td>
<td>7.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xe$^{0}$</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs$^{+}$</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba$^{2+}$</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$^{3+}$</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$^{4+}$</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Above $\alpha_e$ values are by Pauling and Tessman et al. Others have given different values, depending on the compositions used for self-consistency (see Kittel, p.391).
Ionic polarizability, $\alpha_i$

1-d NaCl crystal:
Without E, net dipole moment is 0.  
With E, cations and anions move in opposite direction, producing net polarization.

$$P_i = N_i \alpha_i E_{loc}$$

- $\alpha_i$ depends on the bonding between cation and anion – more difficult to model when bonding is complex as in solids with mixed bonding.
- $\alpha_i$ is also $\sim$ T independent (if structure does not change).
- $\omega_0$ is in the IR – why? It depends on reduced ion mass ($\mu$) $< \langle m_e \rangle$ (also bonding).
High (but <optical) frequency dielectric constant

At frequencies below the resonance of ionic polarization, both electronic and ionic polarizations will contribute to dielectric constant. Claussius Mossotti equation gives for glass.

\[
\frac{\varepsilon_r - 1}{\varepsilon_r + 2} = \frac{1}{3\varepsilon_o} \left( N_e \alpha_e + N_i \alpha_i \right)
\]

Additional mechanisms like dipolar, interfacial and interfacial polarization may also contribute.
Dipolar/orientational polarization of a polar gas

- A gas of permanent dipoles has zero polarization due to their random orientation.
- In \( \mathbf{E} \), dipoles feel a torque that tries to align the dipoles parallel to \( \mathbf{E} \), which is balanced by thermal agitation. The result is a net dipole moment that \( \uparrow \) or \( \downarrow \) as \( T \uparrow \)?
- \( \mathbf{P} \downarrow \) as \( T \uparrow \)
Dipoles in a field

Energy of a dipole: \[ p_0 \cdot E = p_0 E \cos \theta \]
Average orientational polarization of polar gas

\[ P_{av} = \int_0^{4\pi} \left( p_o \cos \theta \right) \exp(-p_o E \cos \theta) d\Omega 
\]

Integration gives a Langevin function \( L(x) \)

\[ P_{av} = p_o L(x) = p_o \coth(x - 1/x); \text{ where } x = \frac{p_o E}{kT} \]

At low \( E \), typical of common use, \( L(x) = x/3 \). Then,

\[ P_{av} = p_o^2 E/3kT \text{ or } \alpha_{dipolar} = \frac{p_o^2}{3kT} \]
Interfacial polarization

In the presence of an applied field, the mobile positive ions migrate toward the negative electrode and collect there leaving behind negative charges in the dielectric. The dielectric therefore exhibits interfacial polarization. Since it arises from the migration of charge to much larger distances, the polarization is orders of magnitude larger than from other mechanisms.

Glasses do not have interfaces ⇒ Not an important mechanism for glass. However, glasses are often ionic conductors. So interfacial polarization will develop if the charge carrier can not freely exchange at the electrodes.
Dielectric constant of oxide glasses

- Oxygen, being the anion, is most easily polarized.

- Non-bridging oxygen is even more polarizable than bridging oxygen.

- With decreasing field strength of the modifier cation, the \( \text{M}^+ - \text{NBO}^- \) bond can be polarized more easily. The same trend is observed with alkaline earth cations.
Calculation of dielectric constant from composition

Often dielectric constant is additive, and therefore can be estimated from composition (when structural changes are small or monotonic). The Table is for $\varepsilon_r$ at RT and 0.45 GHz, as obtained by Appen & Bresker (1952).

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{100} \sum \varepsilon_i p_i,$$

$p_i$ is mole% of $i^{th}$ component

From Glass by H. Scholze

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Li}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>14.0 (15.0)$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Na}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>17.6 (17.6)$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{K}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>16.0 (20.3)$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{BeO}$</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{MgO}$</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CaO}$</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SrO}$</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{BaO}$</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$</td>
<td>$3 \to 8^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2$</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{TiO}_2$</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{ZnO}$</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CdO}$</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{PbO}$</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{MnO}$</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{FeO}$</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CoO}$</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{NiO}$</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The values in parentheses are valid for the binary $\text{R}_2\text{O}—\text{SiO}_2$ glasses.

$^b$The factor of $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ is dependent on the glass composition.
Dielectric constant of Na$_2$O $x$Al$_2$O$_3$(3-$2x$)SiO$_2$

FIG. 4. Intermediate-frequency dielectric constant of the SAS glasses at 25 °C.


FIG. 7. Environment of a sodium ion consisting of: (a) bridging and non-bridging oxygen atoms in sodium silicate glass, and (b) bridging and nonbridging oxygen atoms as well as aluminum tetrahedra in SAS glass. δ and δ' are charges on sodium ions in respective glasses with δ'>δ.
Structural origin of $\varepsilon_r$ of oxide glasses

**FIG. 8.** Correlation between the electronic polarizability of oxygen ions and the O 1s XPS binding energy in the SAS glasses.

**FIG. 9.** Correlation between the ionic polarizability of sodium ions and the Na 1s XPS binding energy in the SAS glasses.
Why is this polar bear worried?

I worry about the decreased polarization of our society.
Figure 102. Indexes of refraction $n_D$ of binary alkali silicate glasses, from various authors.
Local field in a dielectric, $E_{\text{loc}}$

Fig. 18.3 Kingery??

$$E_{\text{loc}} = E_{\text{ext}} + E_1 + E_2$$

Field due to spherical cavity, $E_1 = P/3\varepsilon_0$
Field due to individual dipoles, $E_2 = \text{zero for glass with random distribution}$

Local or Lorenz field, $E_{\text{loc}} = E_{\text{ext}} + P/3\varepsilon_0 = E_{\text{ext}} (\varepsilon_r+2)/3$

Claussius-Mossotti Eq. \[ \alpha = \frac{3\varepsilon_0}{N} \frac{(\varepsilon_r-1)}{(\varepsilon_r+2)} \]