

Surface interactions on glass optics during fabrication, post processing & laser operation

Functional Glasses: Properties and Applications for Energy & Information Siracusa, Sicily, Italy

> *Friday, January 11, 2013* Tayyab Suratwala

LLNL-PRES-608293

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory • National Ignition Facility & Photon Science

This work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344



- National Ignition Facility (NIF)
- Mercury Laser
- Laser Inertial Fusion Energy
 (LIFE)
- Laser MegaJoule (LMJ)
- Laboratory Laser Energetics (LLE)
- Etc....

- High-Average-Power Laser (HAPL)
- Diode-pumped, solid-state heatcapacity laser (SSHCL)
- Tailored-aperture ceramic laser (TACL)

NIF concentrates all the energy in a football stadium-sized facility into a mm³

1



38 335



Materials for NIF large optics are limited only to four different glasses or single crystals





Greater understanding glass surface interactions has led to greatly improved high fluence glass optics



- ρ(φ) is the expected density of initiated sites as a function of 3ω illuminating fluence
- ρ(φ) is the metric used to describe the quality of the surface finish
 - Better optics have a lower $\rho(\phi)$
- Greater than 4 orders of magnitude improvement from 1997 to present
 - Fracture reduction in conventional polishing
 - Chemical treatment to make residual fractures benign

Even today, there is much opportunity to increase surface damage threshold of glass surfaces

Our S&T has focused on understanding surface interactions on glass surfaces during fabrication, post processing and laser operation

Current Efforts

1. Optical Fabrication	2. Post Processing & Coatings	3. Laser Operation
 Sub-surface damage management Forensics of surface fractures Fundamentals of material removal Technology of full aperture & small tool optical finishing Low cost, precursor-free finishing techniques 	 Development of chemical/thermal-based flaw/damage mitigation Development of laser-based flaw/damage mitigation Laser interference gratings development 	 Mechanism of initiation & growth (precursors & modulation) Precursor isolation & identification Quantitative understanding initiation & growth behavior Understanding solarization effects Understanding modulation effects

7

Various types of microscopic laser damage are observed on high fluence glass optics



W. Carr, SPIE 6403, K1-9 (2007); Génin SPIE 2870, 439-448 (1996);

Many of these damage sites can grow larger with subsequent laser shots





Laser damage mechanism:

T-activated absorption results in the formation of a laser-driven solid-state absorption front (AF)



- 1. Near surface precursor is heated by absorption of laser light
- 2. T-activated bulk absorption, $\alpha_{INT}(T)$: precursor heats the bulk which begins to absorb (thermal runaway)
- 3. T-activated thermal conduction
- 4. Absorption front forms and propagates at velocity v_f

Fracture surfaces (not plastic deformation and densification) are low fluence absorbing precursors





NIF



237 µm



1) CHEMICAL IMPURITIES such as Ce in the Beibly layer and in fractures

2) INSTRINSIC SILICA DEFECTS ON FRACTURE SURFACES (e.g. scratches)

3) PRECIPITATION PRODUCTS which can result from subsequent surface treatments (e.g. CO₂ laser, chemical etching)

Our S&T has focused on understanding surface interactions on glass surfaces during fabrication, post processing and laser operation

Current Efforts

Future Challenges

1. Optical Fabrication	2. Post Processing & Coatings	3. Laser Operation
 Sub-surface damage management Forensics of surface fractures Fundamentals of material removal Technology of full aperture & small tool optical finishing Low cost, precursor-free finishing techniques 	 Development of chemical/thermal-based flaw/damage mitigation Development of laser-based flaw/damage mitigation Laser interference gratings development 	 Mechanism of initiation & growth (precursors & modulation) Precursor isolation & identification Quantitative understanding initiation & growth behavior Understanding solarization effects Understanding modulation effects Higher fluence precursor identification & mitigation Understand multi-pulse surface & radiation effects Understand/mitigating debrisinduced damage Understand damage mechanisms on other glass optics (including coatings) Development of new glass optical materials (e.g., high fluence optical filters)

14

There are three basic types of cracks created by static brittle indentation



1. B. Lawn, "Fracture of Brittle Materials" (1993)

2. I. Hutchings "Tribology: Friction and Wear of Engineering Materials" (1992)

There are multiple types of scratches which can be divided into three basic categories





Microscope images of the fractures show a unique size character for each grinding step



A brittle fracture model has been successfully used to explain the observed distribution of crack depth and lengths





$$c_{90} = 0.9 < L >$$

 $c_{max} = 2.8 < L >$

Key assumption: The load on particle is proportional to its vertical dimension

*T. Suratwala, JNCS 352 (2006) 5601. P. Miller, SPIE 5991 (2005).

During polishing large rogue particles or asperities bear high loads leading to sub-surface fractures (scratches)





Viscoelastic Lap (Pitch or Pad)

- Particle viscoelastically penetrates into pad
- Time frame of high load exposure determines scratch length

$$L_{scratch} = 8.9 \frac{v_{ave} \eta R^2}{P}$$

The scratch length correlates with viscoelastic model wrt rogue particle size, pressure, lap viscosity, and lap tt = 0 m sectemperature



These studies have provided <u>new</u> rules that Opticians use to diagnose the cause of or to mitigate scratches

Property of scratch	What can it tell you?	Rule /	Example
1. Scratch width or	- Size of rogue particle (d)	For grine	ding
trailing indent length (L)	- Size distribution of Rogue Particles	$0.15 d \le L$	$\leq 0.3 d$
	- Process step	For polis	shing
	- Depth of fracture (c ₉₀ or c _{max})	$0.3 d \leq L \leq$	$\leq 0.5 d$
2. Number density	- Rogue particle concentration	Sampla	
3. Scratch length (L _{scratch})	- Lap properties and rogue particle size	A: Sandblast	 27.1 μm
4. Scratch type (plastic,	- Load during fracture	B: 120 grit	<mark>28.3 μ</mark> m
Brittle, mixed)	- Sharpness of particle	C: 320 grit	14.9 μm
5. Orientation and	- Particle movement direction	D: 15 µm loose	<mark>4.6 μm</mark>
Pattern of trailing indent	- Particle rotation	E: 15 μm fixed	<mark>4.5 μ</mark> m
	- Stick slip behavior	F: 9 μm loose	<mark>1.9 μ</mark> m
6. Curvature	- Pathway of indenting particle	G: 7 μm fixed	<mark>8.4 μm</mark>
or scratch pattern	- Shape of tool	$_{0} = 0.9 < L > c_{m}$	$_{ax} = 2.8 < L >$
	- Handling vs polishing $P \approx P$	0.001 - 0.1 N	Plastic only
7. Location on optic	- Material removal & figure $P \approx$	0.1-5 N Plas	stic & Brittle
	P > 1	5 N Plas	stic & rubble

22

NIF

T. Suratwala, et. al., Optics and Photonics News (Sept 2008) 12.

HF etching can be used after grinding to remove subsurface fracture because it annihilates neighboring cracks



A finite difference etching model has been developed to determine optimum etching times and key process variables



Crack distribution strongly affects etching time needed for crack annihilation

Science & Technology based optical fabrication strategy was implemented to greatly reduced scratch densities



- 1. Measure the subsurface damage (SSD)
- 2. Define proper removal
- 3. Use etching to remove SSD after grinding
- 4. Ensure handling & cleaning prevents rogue particle contact
- 5. Remove rogue particles in polishers
- 6. Use etched scratch inspections
- 7. Use scratch forensics to identify & mitigate source of scratches



Trailing indent = individual fractures in a scratch



- Involves multiple polishing and metrology iterations
- Time consuming and labor intensive
- Figure not corrected here is performed by small tool

Systematic effort to understand all the phenomena that affect material removal has been conducted



A novel septum has been designed to counteract non-uniform wear on the pad



T. Suratwala, US Provisional Patent Application 61454893 (Mar 2011)



New Pitch Button Blocking (PBB) process provides low deflections for fused silica and phosphate glass



 FS
 ΔPV=0.003 μm

 Phosphate
 ΔPV=0.035 μm

A thermo-elastic model, with stress relaxation of pitch, can explain PBB behavior



M. Feit, Applied Optics (Dec. 2012)

The major sources of non-uniform spatial removal been identified and mitigated



New <u>Convergent Polishing</u> has been demonstrated on 4"-10" round & square plano glass optics





The Preston model has been extended to the <u>microscopic</u> scale to describe smaller spatial scale length effects





- Describes removal and surface for scales lengths nm to mm
- Hertzian contact zone determines
 removal area
- Lap topology and particle size dist determine number of contacts
- Ensemble determines macroscopic value of \textbf{k}_{p} and μ

The slurry's tail end of the distribution strongly correlates with workpiece roughness



Stresses the need to get slurry PSD with small d_o to get low roughness surface; Mean particle size is not as important!

VIE

Single pass of ceria particle removes ~1 nm of material (~7 Si-O units)



Using a single set of parameters, polished surfaces have been simulated over multiple spatial scale lengths using different slurry particle size distributions





Our S&T has focused on understanding surface interactions on glass surfaces during fabrication, post processing and laser operation

	1. Optical Fabrication	2. Post Processing & Coatings	3. Laser Operation
Current Efforts	 Sub-surface damage management Forensics of surface fractures Fundamentals of material removal Technology of full aperture & small tool optical finishing Low cost, precursor-free finishing techniques 	 Development of chemical/thermal-based flaw/damage mitigation Development of laser-based flaw/damage mitigation Laser interference gratings development 	 Mechanism of initiation & growth (precursors & modulation) Precursor isolation & identification Quantitative understanding initiation & growth behavior Understanding solarization effects Understanding modulation effects
Future Challenges	 Toward deterministic finishing (away from artisan, iterative finishing) Science of finishing continued (microscopic, molecular, & chemical interactions) Development of new finishing techniques 		 Higher fluence precursor identification & mitigation Understand multi-pulse surface & radiation effects Understand/mitigating debris- induced damage Understand damage mechanisms on other glass optics (including coatings) Development of new glass optical materials (e.g., high fluence optical filters)

Optimization of etching processes have led to large increases in the damage resistance of scratches



Using a mass transport model, process has been optimized to minimize reaction product concentration left in the crack





Using AMP2, scratches as a damage precursor in NIF have been eliminated







- Utilizes rapid scanning of tightlyfocused high-power CO₂ laser pulses to remove flaws up to ~0.5 mm diameter
 - Precise shape control
 - Fairly wide process margin
 - Scalable
 - Damage robust
- The cone is the only shape identified that does not lead to downstream intensification



RAM "cone" protocol on fused silica Successful optics damage mitigation can only be achieved through careful balance of coupled, sometimes competing effects



<u>UV damage threshold</u>

- Remove or re-flow damaged material
- Free of damage-prone re-deposit
- Light propagation
 - Resulting morphology that does not intensify/focus UV light

Residual stress & densification

- Stress below critical fracture limit
- Minimally-extended densification

Our S&T has focused on understanding surface interactions on glass surfaces during fabrication, post processi

processing and laser operation			
1. Optical Fabrication	2. Post Processing & Coatings	3. Laser Operation	
 Sub-surface damage management Forensics of surface fractures Fundamentals of material removal Technology of full aperture & small tool optical finishing Low cost, precursor-free finishing techniques 	 Development of chemical/thermal-based flaw/damage mitigation Development of laser-based flaw/damage mitigation Laser interference gratings development 	 Mechanism of initiation & growth (precursors & modulation) Precursor isolation & identification Quantitative understanding initiation & growth behavior Understanding solarization effects Understanding modulation effects 	
 Toward deterministic finishing (away from artisan, iterative finishing) Science of finishing continued (microscopic, molecular, & chemical interactions) Development of new finishing techniques 	 Development of new chemical & laser mitigations strategies (e.g., for high fluence precursors, damage sites, conditioning) Development of higher fluence multi-layer dielectric coatings Development of stable, high fluence AR coatings 	 Higher fluence precursor identification & mitigation Understand multi-pulse surface & radiation effects Understand/mitigating debris- induced damage Understand damage mechanisms on other glass optics (including coatings) Development of new glass optical materials (e.g., high fluence optical filters) 	

The optics S&T effort is a multi-disciplinary, multi-team effort

PLS	NIF	ENG
 D. Aberg S. Baxamusa J. Bude J. Bude R. Negres S. Demos R. Qiu R. Dylla Spears R. Raman P. Ehrmann B. Sadigh P. Erhart K. Schaffers S. Elhadj E. Schwegler J. Fair R. Steele G. Gilmer T. Laurence T. Laurence M. Johnson L. Wong J. Menapace 	 J. Adams I. Bass W. Carr D. Cross R. Desjardin M. Feit G. Guss Z. Liao K. Manes M. Norton M. Norton M. Nostrand M. Spaeth T. Weiland & the OSL Team P. Wegner C. Widmayer S. Yang 	 R. Vignes J. Stolken

- + Production Facilities (Optic Mitigation Factory, Optics Processing Lab)
- + Engineering Group (Design & Fabrication)
- + Metrology and Coordination Group