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#### Abstract

This paper contains an explicit computation of the $K O^{*}$ ring structure of an $m$-fold product of $\mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$, the Davis-Januszkiewicz spaces and of toric manifolds which have trivial $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}$-homology. A key ingredient is the stable splitting of the Davis-Januszkiewicz spaces given in [6].


## 1. Introduction

The term "toric manifold" in this paper refers to the topological space about which detailed information may be found in [14] and [10]; a brief description is given in Section 6. These spaces are called also "quasitoric manifolds" and include the class of all non-singular projective toric varieties.

An $n$-torus $T^{n}$ acts on a toric manifold $M^{2 n}$ with quotient space a simple polytope $P^{n}$ having $m$ codimension-one faces (facets). Associated to $P^{n}$ is a simplicial complex $K_{P}$ on vertices $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$ with each $v_{i}$ corresponding to a single facet $F_{i}$ of $P^{n}$. The set $\left\{v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{2}}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}\right\}$ is a simplex in $K_{P}$ if and only if $F_{i_{1}} \cap F_{i_{2}} \cap \ldots \cap F_{i_{k}} \neq \emptyset$.

The classifying space of the real $n$-torus $T^{n}$ is denoted by $B T^{n}$. Associated to the torus action is a Borel-space fibration

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2 n} \longrightarrow E T^{n} \times_{T^{n}} M^{2 n} \xrightarrow{p} B T^{n} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course here, $B T^{n}=\mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \times \mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{C} P^{\infty},(n$ factors $)$.

The homotopy type of the Borel space $E T^{n} \times_{T^{n}} M^{2 n}$ depends on $K_{P}$ only. It is referred to as the Davis-Januszkiewicz space of $K_{P}$ and is denoted by the symbol $\mathcal{D J}\left(K_{P}\right)$. More

[^0]generally, a Davis-Januszkiewicz space exists for any simplicial complex $K$; Section 5 contains more details about this generalization. It is known that for any complex-oriented cohomology theory $E^{*}$
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{*}\left(\mathcal{D J}\left(K_{P}\right)\right)=E^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right) / I_{S R}^{E} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $I_{S R}^{E}$ is an ideal in $E^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ described next. In this context, the two-dimensional generators of the graded ring $E^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ are denoted by $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$. The ideal $I_{S R}^{E}$ is generated by all square-free monomials $v_{i_{1}} v_{i_{2}} \cdots v_{i_{s}}$ corresponding to $\left\{v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{2}}, \ldots, v_{i_{s}}\right\} \notin K_{P}$. The ring (1.2) is called the $E^{*}$-Stanley-Reisner ring.

For a toric manifold $M^{2 n}$ an argument, based on the collapse of the Serre spectral sequence for (1.1), yields an isomorphism of $E^{*}$-algebras

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{*}\left(M^{2 n}\right) \cong E^{*}\left(\mathcal{D J}\left(K_{P}\right)\right) / J^{E} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the ideal $J^{E}$ is generated by $p^{*}\left(E^{2}\left(B T^{n}\right)\right)$ and therefore by the $E$-theory Chern classes of certain associated line bundles, ([11], page 18).

For the case of non-singular compact projective toric varieties and $E$ equal to ordinary singular cohomology with integral coefficients $(E=H \mathbb{Z})$, this is the celebrated result of Danilov and Jurkewicz, [13]. The $E=H \mathbb{Z}$ version for the topological generalization of compact smooth toric varieties, is due to Davis and Januszkiewicz [14]. For certain singular toric varieties, the results of [13] cover also the case $E=H \mathbb{Q}$.

The question of an analogue of (1.3) for a non-complex-oriented theory arises naturally. The obvious first candidate is KO-theory. The ring structure of

$$
\begin{equation*}
K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)=K O^{*}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not seem to appear in the literature for $m>2$. The thesis of Dobson [16] deals with the case $m=2$. The $K O^{*}$-algebra structure of $K O^{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{n}\right)$ and $K O^{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)$ appears explicitly for the first time in [12] where it is discussed in the context of a theorem of Wood which is mentioned in Section 2 below.

Two different presentations for the ring $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ are given in Sections 3 and 4. Following Atiyah and Segal [3], these provide a description of the completion of the representation ring $R O\left(T^{m}\right)$ at the augmentation ideal. The calculation herein may be interpreted in that
context, along the lines of Anderson [2]. In particular, the fact that the complexification map and the realification map

$$
\begin{align*}
& c: K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right) \longrightarrow K U^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)  \tag{1.5}\\
& r: K U^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right) \longrightarrow K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

are injective and surjective respectively, is used throughout. This follows from the Bott exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ldots \rightarrow K O^{*+1}(X) \xrightarrow{\cdot e} K O^{*}(X) \xrightarrow{\chi} K^{*+2}(X) \xrightarrow{r} K O^{*+2}(X) \rightarrow \ldots \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi$ is complexification (1.5) followed by multiplication by $v^{-1}$ the Bott element. Since $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ is concentrated in even degree, the Bott sequence implies that the realification map $r$ is surjective and complexification $c$ is injective. They are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r \circ c)(x)=2 x \quad \text { and } \quad(c \circ r)(x)=x+\bar{x} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The complexity of the calculation is a result of the fact that the realification map $r$ is not a ring homomorphism. The first presentation generalizes the methods of [16]. A companion result is given for $K O^{*}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)$. The second approach produces generators better suited to the task of giving a description of $K O^{*}\left(\mathcal{D J}\left(K_{P}\right)\right)$ in terms of $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$. The results of [4] are used then to give a description of $K O^{*}\left(M^{2 n}\right)$ analogous to (1.3) for any toric manifold which has no $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}$-homology.

The group structure of $K O^{*}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)$ is much more accessible than the ring structure. The Adams spectral sequence yields a concise description in terms of the groups $K U^{*}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)$ with fewer smash product factors. This is discussed in the next section.

## 2. The group structure of $K O^{*}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)$

2.1. The ko-homology. The calculation begins with the determination of $k o_{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$, the connective ko-homology corresponding to the spectrum bo. The main tool is the Adams spectral sequence. It is used in conjunction with the following equivalence, which is well known among homotopy theorists and extends a result of Wood. Let bu denote the spectrum corresponding to connective complex $k$-theory.

Theorem 2.1. There is an equivalence of spectra

$$
\bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^{4 k+2} b u \longrightarrow b o \wedge \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}
$$

Proof. Background information about the Adams spectral sequence in connection with kohomology calculations may be found in [4] or [8]. A change of rings theorem implies that the $E_{2}$-term of the Adams spectral sequence for $k o_{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)=\pi_{*}\left(b o \wedge \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)$ depends on the $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-module structure of $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ where $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ denotes the sub-algebra of the Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by $\mathrm{Sq}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}$.

As an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-module, $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is a sum of shifted copies of $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. Consequently, the $E_{2}$ term of the spectral sequence is a sum of shifted copies of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_{1}}^{s, t}\left(H^{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and so has classes in even degree only. Hence, the spectral sequence collapses. A non-trivial class in each $\pi_{4 k+2}\left(b o \wedge \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)$ is represented in the $E_{2}$-term by a generator of dimension $4 k+2$ in filtration zero. The $\eta$-extension on this class is trivial as the $E_{2}$-term is zero in odd degree. So the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{4 k+2} \longrightarrow b o \wedge \mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

extends over a $(4 k+4)$-cell $e^{4 k+4}$ attached by the Hopf map $\eta$. This gives a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{4 k} \mathbb{C} P^{2}=S^{4 k+2} \cup_{\eta} e^{4 k+4} \longrightarrow b o \wedge \mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which extends to

$$
\begin{equation*}
s: \bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^{4 k} \mathbb{C} P^{2} \longrightarrow b o \wedge \mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Smashing with bo and composing with the product map bo $\wedge b o \xrightarrow{\mu}$ bo gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { bo } \wedge\left(\bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^{4 k} \mathbb{C} P^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{1 \wedge s} \text { bo } \wedge b o \wedge \mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \xrightarrow{\mu \wedge 1} \text { bo } \wedge \mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \text {. } \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equivalence of spectra $\Sigma^{2} b u \rightarrow b o \wedge \mathbb{C} P^{2}$, due to Wood and cited in [1], is used next to give a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
g: \bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^{4 k+2} b u \longrightarrow b o \wedge \mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This map induces an isomorphism of stable homotopy groups and hence gives the required equivalence of spectra.

Remark. An equivalence of the form 2.4 follows also from the methods of [17] and the fact that twice the Hopf bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$ is a Spin bundle and therefore bo-orientable.

The next corollary follows immediately.
Corollary 2.2. There is an isomorphism of graded abelian groups

$$
\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^{4 k+2}\left(\widetilde{k u_{*}}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{k o_{*}}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)
$$

Notice here that the summands on the left hand side are the underlying groups of a tensor product of divided power algebras each of which is dual to a polynomial algebra.

Recall next that there are classes $e \in k o_{1}, \alpha \in k o_{4}, \beta \in k o_{8}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k o_{*}=\mathbb{Z}[e, \alpha, \beta] /\left\langle 2 e, e^{3}, e \alpha, \alpha^{2}-4 \beta\right\rangle \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a class $v \in k u_{2}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k u_{*}=\mathbb{Z}[v] \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3. An examination of the $E_{2}$-term of the Adams spectral sequence for $k o_{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right)$ reveals that the action of $k o_{*}$ on $k o_{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right) \cong k u_{*}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \cdot 1=e \cdot v=0, \alpha \cdot 1=2 v^{2}, \beta \cdot 1=v^{4} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This coincides with the module action of $k o_{*}$ on $k u_{*}$ given by the "complexification" map, ([16], page 16).
2.2. From ko-homology to KO -cohomology. One consequence of the calculation above is that the Bott element $\beta$ acts as a monomorphism on $k o_{*}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{s} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)$ and so can be inverted to get the periodic $K O$-homology of $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{s} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$.

Proposition 2.4. There is an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$
\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K U}_{*+4 k+2}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{K O}_{*}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)
$$

Proof. The result follows from Corollary 2.2 and Remark 2.3

The proof of Theorem 2.1 works equally well in the dual situation. Let $D\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right)$ denote the $S$-dual of $\mathbb{C} P^{2 n}$. Aside from a dimensional shift, $H^{*}\left(D\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$, as an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-module, is isomorphic to a sum of suspended copies of $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. So, the Adams spectral sequence for $\pi_{*}\left(b o \wedge D\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right)\right)$ collapses for dimensional reasons. The argument of Theorem 2.1 goes through essentially unchanged to give an equivalence of spectra

$$
\begin{equation*}
g: \bigvee_{k=1}^{n} \Sigma^{-4 k} b u \longrightarrow b o \wedge D\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next lemma, which follows directly from the discussion in Section 2.1, records the fact that (2.9) is natural with respect to the inclusion

$$
C P^{2 n} \xrightarrow{\subset} C P^{2(n+1)} .
$$

Lemma 2.5. The following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bigvee_{k=1}^{n} \Sigma^{-4 k} b u \xrightarrow{g} b o \wedge D\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right) \\
\uparrow \phi  \tag{2.10}\\
\psi \uparrow \\
V_{k=1}^{n+1} \Sigma^{-4 k} b u \xrightarrow{g} \text { bo } \wedge D\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2(n+1)}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where the map $\phi$ collapses $\Sigma^{-4(n+1)}$ bu to a point and $\psi$ is induced by the inclusion

$$
C P^{2 n} \xrightarrow{\subset} C P^{2(n+1)} .
$$

The duality result from [1, Proposition 5.6], implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right) \simeq \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} D\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this follows an isomorphism of abelian groups, analogous to Proposition 2.4 for finite projective spaces,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \widetilde{K U}_{*-4 k}\left(D\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{K O}_{*}\left(D\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right)\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \widetilde{K U}^{*+4 k}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{K O}^{*}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next result extends (2.13) to $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$.

Proposition 2.6. There are isomorphisms

$$
\widetilde{K O}^{*}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right) \cong \lim _{n} \widetilde{K O}^{*}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{K U}^{*+4 k}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right) \cong \lim _{n} \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \widetilde{K U}^{*+4 k}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right)
$$

Proof. It follows from the calculations above that the maps in the inverse limit arising from

$$
\widetilde{K O}^{*}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{2(n+1)}\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{K O}^{*}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n+1} \widetilde{K U^{*+4 k}}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{2(n+1)}\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \widetilde{K U}^{*+4 k}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{2 n}\right)
$$

(induced from the maps $\psi$ and $\phi$ of diagram (2.10)) are all surjective. Thus the Mittag-Leffler condition is satisfied and the ${\underset{\sim}{n}}_{\lim ^{1}}$ terms are zero.

Finally, Lemma 2.5 implies that the isomorphisms (2.13) are compatible with the maps in the inverse limits and so yield the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.7. There is an isomorphism of graded abelian groups

$$
\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{K U}^{*+4 k}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{K O}^{*}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)
$$

## 3. The algebra $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$

This section contains the first of two descriptions of the the algebra $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$. It extends the calculation done in [16] for the case $m=2$.
3.1. Notation and statement of results. Here, as in Section 1,

$$
B T^{m} \cong \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}
$$

The two sets of generators presented for $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ have contrasting advantages. The first description yields generators which are slightly complicated but the relations among them are fairly straightforward. This situation is reversed in the second description.

The complexification and realification maps, (1.5) and (1.6), are denoted again by $c$ and $r$ respectively.

Let $\alpha \in K O^{-4}$ and $\beta \in K O^{-8}$ be the elements arising from (2.6), for which $\alpha^{2}=4 \beta$. Let $v \in K U^{-2}$ be the Bott element, which satisfies $r\left(v^{2}\right)=\alpha$ and $c(\alpha)=2 v^{2}$. The generators of $K U^{0}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ are denoted by $x_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$ so that $K U^{0}\left(B T^{m}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[\llbracket x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$.

More notation is established next.
Definition 3.1. Consider the set $N=\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and let $S \subseteq N$. Then
(1) set $\min (S)=\min \{i: i \in S\}$,
(2) let $|S|$ denote the cardinality of $S$,
(3) for $s \in\{0,1,2\}$, let $X_{S}^{(s)}=r\left(v^{s} \prod_{i \in S} x_{i}\right) \in K O^{-2 s}\left(B T^{m}\right)$,
(4) let $X_{S}=X_{S}^{(0)}$ and $X_{i}=X_{\{i\}}=r\left(x_{i}\right)$,
(5) for $s \in\{0,1\}$, let $X_{\phi}^{(s)}=1+(-1)^{s}$,
(6) for $s \in\{0,1\}$, let $M_{S}^{(s)}=X_{S}^{(s)} \cdot \prod_{i \in N \backslash S} X_{i}$ and $M_{S}=M_{S}^{(0)}$.
(7) $\widehat{B T}^{m}=\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$ and
(8) $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \llbracket-\rrbracket$ denotes the augmentation ideal of a power series ring.

Theorem 3.2. There is an isomorphism of graded rings

$$
K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[\gamma^{ \pm 1}\right] \otimes \bar{Z}\left[\left[X_{S}, X_{S}^{(1)}: \phi \neq S \subseteq N \rrbracket / \sim\right.\right.
$$

where $\gamma$ is an element with $|\gamma|=-4$ satisfying $2 \gamma=\alpha$ and $\gamma^{2}=\beta$. Here $\sim$ refers to the two families of relations (I) and (II) below.
(I) If $A, B$ and $C$ are disjoint subsets of $N$ and $0 \leq s, t \leq 1$, then

$$
X_{A \cup B}^{(s)} X_{A \cup C}^{(t)}=\prod_{i \in A} X_{i} \cdot\left[\sum_{T \subseteq A} X_{T \cup B \cup C}^{(s+t)}+(-1)^{s+|A \cup B|} \sum_{S \subseteq B}(-1)^{|S|}\left(\prod_{i \in S} X_{i}\right) X_{C \cup B \backslash S}^{(s+t)}\right]
$$

Here $B, C, S, T$ may be empty, $X_{S}^{(2)}=\gamma X_{S}$ and products over empty sets are considered equal to 1.
(II) For $i<\min (S),|S|>1$ and $s \in\{0,1\}$,

$$
X_{i} X_{S}^{(s)}=(-1)^{s} \sum_{T \subseteq S}\left[(-1)^{|T|}\left(\prod_{j \in S \backslash T} X_{j}\right) \cdot X_{\{i\} \cup T}^{(s)}\right]+X_{\{i\} \cup S}^{(s)}
$$

Again, $T$ may be empty.

Remark 3.3. The element $\gamma$ is introduced here for notational convenience. It arises naturally in the Adams spectral sequence and has the property that $\gamma r(x)=r\left(v^{2} x\right)$ for all $x \in K U^{0}\left(B T^{m}\right)$. The use of $\gamma$ may be removed in Theorem 3.2 and in Corollary 3.4 (below), by allowing the choice of the exponent $s$ in Definition 3.1 to be unrestricted.

Relations (I) allow the elimination of all products $X_{U}^{(u)} X_{V}^{(v)}$ with $|U|$ and $|V|$ both greater than 1 , reducing everything to products of $X_{i}$ 's times at most one $X_{W}^{(w)}$ with $|W|>1$. Relations (II) allow the elimination of $X_{i} X_{W}^{(w)}$ with $|W|>1$ and $i<\min (W)$. Notice that for a product $X_{i_{1}} X_{i_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot X_{i_{k}} X_{W}^{(w)}$, (II) need be performed once only for just one $X_{i_{j}}$ with minimal $i_{j}$.

The next corollary is now immediate.

Corollary 3.4. Every element of $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ can be expressed as a formal sum of terms from

$$
\mathcal{G}_{1}=\left\{\gamma^{j}\left(\prod_{i=\min (S)}^{m} X_{i}^{e_{i}}\right) X_{S}^{(s)}: S \subseteq N, S \neq \phi, e_{i} \geq 0, j \in \mathbb{Z} \text { and } s \in\{0,1\}\right\}
$$

The example below follows easily from Theorem 3.2.
Example 3.5. For $s \in\{0,1\}, K O^{-(4 j+2 s)}\left(B T^{2}\right)$ has a basis

$$
\mathcal{G}_{1}=\left\{\gamma^{j} X_{2}^{e_{2}} X_{2}^{(s)}, \gamma^{j} X_{1}^{e_{1}} X_{2}^{e_{2}} X_{1}^{(s)}, \gamma^{j} X_{1}^{e_{1}} X_{2}^{e_{2}} X_{\{1,2\}}^{(s)}: e_{1}, e_{2} \geq 0\right\} .
$$

The following relations determine all products among these basis elements. Here $s \in\{0,1\}$ and $i \in\{1,2\}$. Recall $X_{S}^{(2)}=\gamma X_{S}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{\{1,2\}} X_{\{1,2\}} & =X_{1} X_{2}\left(X_{\{1,2\}}+X_{1}+X_{2}+4\right) \\
X_{\{1,2\}}^{(s)} X_{\{1,2\}}^{(1)} & =X_{1} X_{2}\left(X_{\{1,2\}}^{(s+1)}+X_{1}^{(s+1)}+X_{2}^{(s+1)}\right) \\
X_{i}^{(1)} X_{i}^{(1)} & =\gamma\left(X_{i}^{2}+4 X_{i}\right) \\
X_{1}^{(s)} X_{2}^{(1)} & =2 X_{\{1,2\}}^{(s+1)}-X_{2} X_{1}^{(s+1)} \\
X_{1}^{(1)} X_{\{1,2\}}^{(1)} & =\gamma X_{1}\left(2 X_{2}+X_{\{1,2\}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first two relations are of type (I); the last three are of type (II).
Remark. The case $m=2$ is done in [16]. The example above agrees with Proposition 8.2.20 in [16] after certain typographical errors are corrected. (These include replacing all the equal signs with minus signs and correcting the formula for " $w_{2 i} w_{2 j}$ " so that it is consistent with Lemma 8.2.8 in the same document.)

A closely-related result gives $K O^{*}\left(\widehat{B T}^{m}\right)$.

Theorem 3.6. $\widetilde{K O}^{-(4 j+2 s)}\left(\widehat{B T}^{m}\right)$ is a free module over $\mathbb{Z} \llbracket\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m} \rrbracket\right.$ on

$$
\left\{\gamma^{j} M_{S}^{(s)}: 1 \in S \subseteq N\right\}
$$

The product $M_{S_{1}}^{(s)} M_{S_{2}}^{(t)}$ can be computed in terms of this basis from the relations (I) and (II) of Theorem 3.2.

Relations (I) and (II) of Theorem 3.2 are proved next. This is followed by an identification of the terms given by Theorem 3.6 with those appearing in Theorem 2.7. Finally, Theorem 3.2 is derived from Theorem 3.6.
3.2. The proof of relations (I) and (II). The complexification map $c$ is injective and so it suffices to prove relations (I) and (II) after $c$ is applied. For convenience, the relations will be verified in the ring $K U^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ with the classes $\left\{z_{i}=\sqrt{1+x_{i}}: i=1, \ldots, m\right\}$ adjoined.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(X_{S}^{(s)}\right) & =v^{s} \prod_{i \in S} x_{i}+\overline{v^{s}} \prod_{i \in S} \bar{x}_{i} \\
& =v^{s}\left(\prod_{i \in S} x_{i}\right)\left(1+(-1)^{s+|S|} \prod_{i \in S} \frac{1}{1+x_{i}}\right) \\
& =v^{s} \prod_{i \in S}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{\sqrt{1+x_{i}}}\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{i \in S} \sqrt{1+x_{i}}+(-1)^{s+|S|} \prod_{i \in S} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x_{i}}}\right) \\
& =v^{s} \prod_{i \in S}\left(\frac{z_{i}^{2}-1}{z_{i}}\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{i \in S} z_{i}+(-1)^{s+|S|} \prod_{i \in S} \frac{1}{z_{i}}\right) \\
& =v^{s} \prod_{i \in S}\left(z_{i}-\frac{1}{z_{i}}\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{i \in S} z_{i}+(-1)^{s+|S|} \prod_{i \in S} \frac{1}{z_{i}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

More notation is introduced next.
Definition 3.7. Let $A, S$, and $T$ be disjoint subsets of $N$. Then
(1) Let

$$
w_{A, S, T}^{(s)}:=\frac{\left(\prod_{j \in A} z_{j}^{2}\right)\left(\prod_{j \in S} z_{j}\right)}{\prod_{j \in T} z_{j}}+(-1)^{s+|S \cup T|} \frac{\prod_{j \in T} z_{j}}{\left(\prod_{j \in A} z_{j}^{2}\right)\left(\prod_{j \in S} z_{j}\right)}
$$

(2) for $A=\phi$, set $w_{S, T}^{(s)}=w_{\phi, S, T}^{(s)}$ and for $T=\phi, w_{S}^{(s)}=w_{S, \phi}^{(s)}$
(3) set $w_{i}=w_{\{i\}}^{(0)}=z_{i}-\frac{1}{z_{i}}$.

Notice that in this new notation, the calculation above is

$$
c\left(X_{S}^{(s)}\right)=v^{s}\left(\prod_{i \in S} w_{i}\right) w_{S}^{(s)}
$$

Recall that If $A, B$ and $C$ are disjoint subsets of $N$ and $0 \leq s, t \leq 1$, relation (I) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{A \cup B}^{(s)} X_{A \cup C}^{(t)}=\prod_{i \in A} X_{i} \cdot\left[\sum_{T \subseteq A} X_{T \cup B \cup C}^{(s+t)}+(-1)^{s+|A \cup B|} \sum_{S \subseteq B}(-1)^{|S|}\left(\prod_{i \in S} X_{i}\right) X_{C \cup B \backslash S}^{(s+t)}\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $c$ and dividing both sides by $v^{s+t}\left(\prod_{i \in A} w_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\prod_{i \in B \cup C} w_{i}\right)$ makes (3.1) equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{A \cup B}^{(s)} w_{A \cup C}^{(t)}=\sum_{T \subseteq A}\left[\left(\prod_{i \in T} w_{i}\right) w_{T \cup B \cup C}^{(s+t)}\right]+(-1)^{s+|A \cup B|} \sum_{S \subseteq B}(-1)^{|S|}\left(\prod_{i \in S} w_{i}\right) w_{C \cup B \backslash S}^{(s+t)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left hand side of (3.2) is checked easily to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{A \cup B}^{(s)} w_{A \cup C}^{(t)}=w_{A, B \cup C, \phi}^{(s+t)}+(-1)^{s+|A \cup B|} w_{C, B}^{(s+t)} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term on the right hand side of (3.2) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{T \subseteq A}\left[\left(\prod_{i \in T} w_{i}\right) w_{T \cup B \cup C}^{(s+t)}\right]=\sum_{T \subseteq A}\left(\sum_{R \subseteq T}(-1)^{|T \backslash R|} w_{R, B \cup C, \phi}^{(s+t)}\right), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R \subseteq T$ is defined by the fact that $T \backslash R$ is the set of $i$ 's in $T$ for which, in $\prod_{i \in T} w_{i}$, is chosen the second term of $w_{i}=z_{i}-\frac{1}{z_{i}}$. With $T$ satisfying $R \subseteq T \subseteq A$, the order of summation on the right hand side of (3.4) is rearranged to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{T \subseteq A}\left(\sum_{R \subseteq T}(-1)^{|T \backslash R|} w_{R, B \cup C, \phi}^{(s+t)}\right)=\sum_{R \subseteq A} w_{R, B \cup C, \phi}^{(s+t)}\left(\sum_{T \subseteq A}(-1)^{|T \backslash R|}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{T \subseteq A}(-1)^{|T \backslash R|}=\sum_{j=0}^{|A \backslash R|}(-1)^{j}\binom{|A \backslash R|}{j} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the binomial coefficient on the right hand side counts the number of sets $T, R \subseteq T \subseteq A$ satisfying $|T \backslash R|=j$. Notice that the right-hand side is zero unless $A=R$ in which case it equals 1. So now (3.4) implies that the first term on the right of (3.2) is $w_{A, B \cup C, \phi}^{(s+t)}$ which is the first term on the right-hand side of (3.3).

The second term on the right hand side of (3.2) is analyzed similarly. With $S$ satisfying $U \subseteq S \subseteq B$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&(-1)^{s+|A \cup B|} \sum_{S \subseteq B}(-1)^{|S|}\left(\prod_{i \in S} w_{i}\right) w_{C \cup B \backslash S}^{(s+t)}  \tag{3.7}\\
&=(-1)^{s+|A \cup B|} \sum_{S \subseteq B}\left((-1)^{|S|} \sum_{U \subseteq S}(-1)^{|U|} w_{C \cup B \backslash U, U}^{(s+t)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where here $S \backslash U$ is the set of $i$ 's in $S$ for which is chosen in $\prod_{i \in S} w_{i}$ the second term of $w_{i}=z_{i}-\frac{1}{z_{i}}$. Continuing as above,

$$
\begin{align*}
&(-1)^{s+|A \cup B|} \sum_{S \subseteq B}\left((-1)^{|S|} \sum_{U \subseteq S}(-1)^{|U|} w_{C \cup B \backslash U, U}^{(s+t)}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& \quad=(-1)^{s+|A \cup B|} \sum_{U \subseteq B}\left[w_{C \cup B \backslash U, U}^{(s+t)}\left(\sum_{S}(-1)^{|S \backslash U|}\right)\right] \\
& \quad=(-1)^{s+|A \cup B|} \sum_{U \subseteq B}\left[w_{C \cup B \backslash U, U}^{(s+t)} \sum_{j=0}^{|B \backslash U|}(-1)^{j}\binom{|B \backslash U|}{j}\right] \\
& \quad=(-1)^{s+|A \cup B|} w_{C, B}^{(s+t)}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the second term on the right hand side of (3.3). This completes the proof of the relations (I).

The verification of relations (II) is next. For $i<\min (S),|S|>1$ and $s \in\{0,1\}$, the second set of relations is

$$
X_{i} X_{S}^{(s)}=(-1)^{s} \sum_{T \subseteq S}\left[(-1)^{|T|}\left(\prod_{j \in S \backslash T} X_{j}\right) \cdot X_{\{i\} \cup T}^{(s)}\right]+X_{\{i\} \cup S}^{(s)} .
$$

Applying $c$ to both sides and dividing by $\prod_{j \in\{i\} \cup S} w_{j}$ makes relations (II) equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i} w_{S}^{(s)}=(-1)^{s} \sum_{T \subseteq S}\left[(-1)^{|T|} w_{\{i\} \cup T}^{(s)} \prod_{j \in S \backslash T} w_{j}\right]+w_{\{i\} \cup S}^{(s)} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.7 implies immediately that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i} w_{S}^{(s)}=-w_{S,\{i\}}^{(s)}+w_{\{i\} \cup S}^{(s)} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so it remains to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{s} \sum_{T \subseteq S}\left[(-1)^{|T|} w_{\{i\} \cup T}^{(s)} \prod_{j \in S \backslash T} w_{j}\right]=-w_{S,\{i\}}^{(s)} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end and using the fact that $i<\min (S)$ implies $i \notin S$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{s} \sum_{T \subseteq S}\left[(-1)^{|T|} w_{\{i\} \cup T}^{(s)} \prod_{j \in S \backslash T} w_{j}\right] & =(-1)^{s} \sum_{T \subseteq S}\left[(-1)^{|T|} \sum_{B \subseteq S \backslash T}(-1)^{|B|} w_{\{i\} \cup S \backslash B, B}^{(s)}\right] \\
& =(-1)^{s} \sum_{B \subseteq S}\left[(-1)^{|B|} w_{\{i\} \cup S \backslash B, B}^{(s)} \sum_{T \subseteq S \backslash B}(-1)^{|T|}\right] \\
& =(-1)^{s} \sum_{B \subseteq S}\left[(-1)^{|B|} w_{\{i\} \cup S \backslash B, B}^{(s)} \sum_{j=0}^{S \backslash B}(-1)^{j}\binom{|S \backslash B|}{j}\right] \\
& =(-1)^{s+|S|} w_{\{i\}, S}^{(s)}=-w_{S,\{i\}}^{(s)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where, as in (3.6), $\quad \sum_{j=0}^{S \backslash B}(-1)^{j}\binom{|S \backslash B|}{j}=0$ unless $S=B$ in which case it equals 1.
3.3. The proof of Theorems $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ and 3.2. First, the additive generators appearing in Theorem 3.6 are identified with the $K U$ generators given by Theorem 2.7. Choose generators $y_{i} \in \widetilde{K U}^{0}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{K U^{*}}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[v^{ \pm 1}\right]\left[\left[y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}\right]\right] \cdot\left(y_{2} \cdots y_{m}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.7 can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{K O}^{*}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{K U}^{*+4 k}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[v^{ \pm 1}\right]\left[\left[z, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}\right] \cdot\left(z y_{2} \cdots y_{m}\right)\right. \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z$ is given grading equal to 4 . The fact that the realification map $r$ increases filtration in the Adams spectral sequence by $1, v$ has filtration 1 and $\gamma$ filtration 2, allows the determination of the Adams filtration of the generators described in Theorem 3.6. This then makes possible a comparison of generators via the Adams spectral sequence, modulo terms of higher filtration.

Lemma 3.8. Let $S$ be a set satisfying $1 \in S \subseteq N$. In the description (3.13), the element

$$
v^{2 j+s} z^{e_{1}} y_{2}^{2 e_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{m}^{2 e_{m}}\left(\prod_{i \in N \backslash S} y_{i}\right) z y_{2} \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{m} \in \widetilde{K U}{ }^{-(4 j+2 s)+4\left(e_{1}+1\right)}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)
$$

corresponds to the element

$$
X_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot X_{m}^{e_{m}} \gamma^{j} M_{S}^{(s)} \in \widetilde{K O}^{-(4 j+2 s)}\left(\widehat{B T}^{m}\right)=\widetilde{K O}^{-(4 j+2 s)}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)
$$

modulo terms of higher filtration in the Adams spectral sequence.

Lemma 3.8 allows now the use of Theorem 2.7 to conclude that the generators given by Theorem 3.6 must span $\widetilde{K O}^{-(4 j+2 s)}\left(\widehat{B T}^{m}\right)$ and be linearly independent.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 from Theorem 3.6 uses the homotopy equivalence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma\left(Y_{1} \times Y_{2} \times \ldots \times Y_{m}\right) \longrightarrow \Sigma\left(\bigvee_{S \subseteq N}\left(\bigwedge_{i \in S} Y_{i}\right)\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{i}=\mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$ for all $i \in N$. Theorem 3.6 is applied to each wedge summand $\bigwedge_{i \in S} Y_{i}$ to conclude that for $S=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{|S|}\right\}, \widetilde{K O}-(4 j+2 s)\left(\bigwedge_{i \in S} Y_{i}\right)$ is a free module over $\mathbb{Z}\left[\left[X_{i_{1}}, \ldots, X_{i_{|S|} \mid}\right]\right.$ on $\left\{\gamma^{j} M_{T}^{(s)}: 1 \in T \subseteq S\right\}$. Assembling these generators over all $S \subseteq N, S \neq \phi$ produces the generators in Theorem 3.2. The multiplicative relations (I) and (II) have been checked.

## 4. A second set of generators for the algebra $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$

4.1. Notation and statement of results. As usual,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K U^{*} \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[v, v^{-1}\right] \text { with } v \in K U^{-2} \\
& K O^{*} \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[e, \alpha, \beta, \beta^{-1}\right] /\left(2 e, e^{3}, e \alpha, \alpha^{2}-4 \beta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e \in K O^{-1}, \alpha \in K O^{-4}$ and $\beta \in K O^{-8}$. As in Section 3, denote by $x_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, the generators of $K U^{0}\left(B T^{n}\right)$. It is convenient to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
K U^{0}\left(B T^{m}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, \bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{m}\right]\right] /\left(x_{i} \bar{x}_{i}+x_{i}+\bar{x}_{i}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $I=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right)$ and $J=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{m}\right)$ with $i_{k} \geq 0, j_{k} \geq 0$ for $k=1, \ldots, m$. For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r$ the realification map (1.6), set

$$
[I, J]^{(s)}:=r\left(v^{s} x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} \ldots x_{m}^{i_{m}}\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)^{j_{1}}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{j_{2}} \ldots\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)^{j_{m}}\right)
$$

in $K O^{-2 s}\left(B T^{m}\right)$. If $s=0$, the notation $[I, J]$ is used instead of $[I, J]^{(0)}$.

Theorem 4.1. The classes $[I, J]^{(s)}$ satisfy the relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[I, J]^{(s)}=(-1)^{s}[J, I]^{(s)} \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

(B) $\quad[I, J]^{(s)}=-\left[I^{\prime}, J\right]^{(s)}-\left[I, J^{\prime}\right]^{(s)}$
where, for $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}, \ldots, i_{m}\right), J=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}, \ldots, j_{m}\right)$ with $i_{k} \cdot j_{k} \neq 0$,

$$
I^{\prime}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}-1, \ldots, i_{m}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad J^{\prime}=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}-1, \ldots, j_{m}\right) .
$$

(C) $\quad[I, J]^{(s)} \cdot[H, K]^{(t)}=[I+H, J+K]^{(s+t)}+(-1)^{s}[J+H, I+K]^{(s+t)}$ where the product here is in $\operatorname{KO}^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$.

Remark. Formula (C) is symmetric because relation (A) implies

$$
(-1)^{s}[J+H, I+K]^{(s+t)}=(-1)^{t}[I+K, J+H]^{(s+t)} .
$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Relations (A) follow immediately from (1.8) by applying complexification then realification. Relations (B) follow by recalling that $x=-\bar{x}(1+x)$ and decomposing $x^{i} \bar{x}^{j-1}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{i} \bar{x}^{j-1} & =x^{i-1} x \bar{x}^{j-1} \\
& =x^{i-1} \bar{x}^{j-1}(-\bar{x}(1+x)) \\
& =-x^{i-1} \bar{x}^{j}-x^{i} \bar{x}^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives $x^{i} \bar{x}^{j}=-x^{i-1} \bar{x}^{j}-x^{i} \bar{x}^{j-1}$. To see relations (C), the complexification monomorphism $c$ is applied to both sides.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c\left([I, J]^{(s)} \cdot[H, K]^{(t)}\right)=c\left([I, J]^{(s)}\right) \cdot c\left([H, K]^{(t)}\right) \\
& =\left[v^{s} x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} \ldots x_{m}^{i_{m}}\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)^{j_{1}}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{j_{2}} \ldots\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)^{j_{m}}+(-1)^{s} v^{s}\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)^{i_{1}}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{i_{2}} \ldots\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)^{i_{m}} x_{1}^{j_{1}} x_{2}^{j_{2}} \ldots x_{m}^{j_{m}}\right] \\
& \cdot\left[v^{t} x_{1}^{h_{1}} x_{2}^{h_{2}} \ldots x_{m}^{h_{m}}\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)^{k_{1}}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{k_{2}} \ldots\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)^{k_{m}}+(-1)^{t} v^{t}\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)^{h_{1}}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{h_{2}} \ldots\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)^{h_{m}} x_{1}^{k_{1}} x_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots x_{m}^{k_{m}}\right] \\
& =v^{s+t} x_{1}^{i_{1}+h_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}+h_{2}} \ldots x_{m}^{i_{m}+h_{m}}\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)^{j_{1}+k_{1}}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{j_{2}+k_{2}} \ldots\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)^{j_{m}+k_{m}} \\
& \quad+(-1)^{s+t} v^{s+t} x_{1}^{j_{1}+k_{1}} x_{2}^{j_{2}+k_{2}} \ldots x_{m}^{j_{m}+k_{m}}\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)^{i_{1}+h_{1}}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{i_{2}+h_{2}} \ldots\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)^{i_{m}+h_{m}} \\
& \quad+(-1)^{s} v^{s+t} x_{1}^{j_{1}+h_{1}} x_{2}^{j_{2}+h_{2}} \ldots x_{m}^{j_{m}+h_{m}}\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)^{i_{1}+k_{1}}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{i_{2}+k_{2}} \ldots\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)^{i_{m}+k_{m}} \\
& \quad+(-1)^{t} v^{s+t} x_{1}^{i_{1}+k_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}+k_{2}} \ldots x_{m}^{i_{m}+k_{m}}\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)^{j_{1}+h_{1}}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{j_{2}+h_{2}} \ldots\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)^{j_{m}+h_{m}} \\
& =c\left([I+H, J+K]^{(s+t)}\right)+c\left((-1)^{s}[J+H, I+K]^{(s+t)}\right) \\
& =c\left([I+H, J+K]^{(s+t)}\right)+c\left((-1)^{t}[I+K, J+H]^{(s+t)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.2. The elements $X_{S}^{(s)}$ of Definition 3.1 are related to the classes $[I, J]^{(s)}$ by

$$
X_{S}^{(s)}=[(\epsilon(1), \epsilon(2), \ldots, \epsilon(m)),(0,0, \ldots, 0)]^{(s)}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is the characteristic function of $S$.
Next, a distinguished class of elements $[I, J]^{(s)} \in K O^{-2 s}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ is selected. For $I=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right)$ and $J=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{m}\right)$, with all $i_{k} \geq 0, j_{k} \geq 0$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{2}:=\left\{[I, J]^{(s)}: I \cdot J=0 \text { and } i_{l} \geq j_{l} \text { if } i_{k}+j_{k}=0 \text { for } k<l\right\} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where here, $I \cdot J$ denotes the dot product of vectors.

The $K O^{*}$-module structure is described easily. Recall that

$$
K O^{*} \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[e, \alpha, \beta, \beta^{-1}\right] /\left(2 e, e^{3}, e \alpha, \alpha^{2}-4 \beta\right) .
$$

Lemma 4.3. The $K O^{*}$-module action on $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
e \cdot([I],[J])^{(s)} & =0 \\
\alpha \cdot([I],[J])^{(s)} & =2([I],[J])^{(s+2)} \\
\beta \cdot([I],[J])^{(s)} & =([I],[J])^{(s+4)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The complexification monomorphism $c$ is applied to both sides of these relations. The result follows then from the identities $c(e)=0, c(\beta)=v^{4}$ and $c(\alpha)=2 v^{2}$ from [16], Lemma 2.0.3.

Theorem 4.4. Every element of $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ can be expressed as a formal sum of terms from $\mathcal{G}_{2}$.

Proof. The classes $v^{s} x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} \ldots x_{m}^{i_{m}}\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)^{j_{1}}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{j_{2}} \ldots\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)^{j_{m}}$ generate $K U^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ as a power series ring. The realification map $r$ is onto by (1.7). So, the classes $[I, J]^{(s)} \in K O^{-2 s}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ generate $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ as a $K O^{*}$-module. Relations (A) and (B) in Theorem 4.1 imply that every element $[I, J]^{(s)} \in K O^{-2 s}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ can be written as a linear combination of elements in $\mathcal{G}_{2}$. A product of two elements in $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ is not given explicitly in terms of elements of $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ by relation (C) but repeated applications of relation (A) and (B) reduce the result of (C) to a linear combination of elements of $\mathcal{G}_{2}$.

Remark. Lemma 4.3 and the proof of Theorem 4.4 describe the $K O^{*}$-algebra structure of $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$. In particular, as noted in Section 1, this result and Theorem 3.2 both describe the completion of the representation ring $R O\left(T^{m}\right)$ at the augmentation ideal.
Proposition 4.5. No finite relations exist among the elements of $\mathcal{G}_{2}$.
Proof. For $m=1$, a finite relation among elements of $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ would produces a relation of the form $r\left(p\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=0$ where $p\left(x_{1}\right)$ is a polynomial. The Bott sequence implies then that a formal power series $\theta\left(x_{1}\right)$ exists in $K U^{0}\left(C P^{\infty}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\theta\left(x_{1}\right)-\theta\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)=p\left(x_{1}\right) .
$$

It is straightforward to check that no such relation can occur in $K U^{0}\left(C P^{\infty}\right)$. The case $m>1$ reduces easily to the case $m=1$.
 Consider a relation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k}\left[I_{k}, J_{k}\right]^{(s)}=0, \quad a_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad\left[I_{k}, J_{k}\right]^{(s)} \in \mathcal{G}_{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When applied to (4.4), the complexification monomorphism produces a relation among the generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, \bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{m}$ in $K U^{-2 s}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ which must then be a consequence of the relations

$$
x_{i} \bar{x}_{i}+x_{i}+\bar{x}_{i}=0 \quad i=1, \ldots, m
$$

For $m=1$, there is the example

$$
\begin{equation*}
2[1,0]+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1}[n, 0]=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is just the realification map $r$ applied to the relation

$$
\bar{x}_{1}=-\frac{x_{1}}{1+x_{1}}=-x_{1}+x_{1}^{2}-x_{1}^{3}+x_{1}^{4}+\ldots
$$

in $K U^{0}\left(B T^{1}\right)$.
Remark 4.6. The two generating sets $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}$, described in Corollary 3.4 and (4.2) respectively, are distinguished as follows. Although in both cases, an infinite sum of allowable generators will become finite under any restriction

$$
\begin{equation*}
K O^{*}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right) \longrightarrow K O^{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{k_{1}} \times \mathbb{C} P^{k_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C} P^{k_{m}}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

relations of the type (4.4) in the generators $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ will produce finite linear relations.

## 5. The Davis-Januszkiewicz spaces

5.1. The Davis-Januszkiewicz space associated to a simplicial complex. In Section 1, the Davis-Januszkiewicz space $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{J}\left(K_{P}\right)$, associated to simple polytope $P$, was defined in terms of a toric manifold $M^{2 n}$. More generally, a Davis-Januszkiewicz space $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{J}(K)$ can be constructed for any simplicial complex $K$ by means of the generalized moment-angle complex construction $Z(K ;(X, A))$ of [14], [10], [15] and [6]. A description of the space $\mathcal{D J}(K)$ follows.

Definition 5.1. Let $K$ be a simplicial complex with $m$ vertices. Identify simplices $\sigma \in K$ as increasing subsequences of $[m]=(1,2,3, \ldots, m)$. The Davis-Januszkiewicz space $\mathcal{D J}(K)$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{J}(K)=Z\left(K ;\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty}, *\right)\right) \subseteq B T^{m}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}
$$

where $*$ represents the basepoint and

$$
Z\left(K ;\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty}, *\right)\right)=\bigcup_{\sigma \in K} D(\sigma)
$$

with

$$
D(\sigma)=\prod_{i=1}^{m} W_{i}, \quad \text { where } \quad W_{i}= \begin{cases}\mathbb{C} P^{\infty} & \text { if } \quad i \in \sigma  \tag{5.1}\\ * & \text { if } \quad i \in[m]-\sigma .\end{cases}
$$

A toric manifold $M^{2 n}$ is specified by a simple $n$-dimensional polytope and a characteristic function on its facets as described in [14]. Equivalently, $M^{2 n}$ can be realized as a quotient. The characteristic function corresponds to a specific choice of sub-torus $T^{m-n} \subseteq T^{m}$ which acts freely on the moment-angle complex $Z\left(K_{P} ;\left(D^{2}, S^{1}\right)\right)$ to give

$$
M^{2 n} \cong Z\left(K_{P} ;\left(D^{2}, S^{1}\right)\right) / T^{m-n}
$$

This description of $M^{2 n}$ yields an equivalence of Borel constructions

$$
\begin{align*}
& E T^{m} \times_{T^{m}} Z\left(K_{P} ;\left(D^{2}, S^{1}\right)\right)  \tag{5.2}\\
& \\
& \simeq E T^{n} \times_{T^{n}}\left(Z\left(K_{P} ;\left(D^{2}, S^{1}\right)\right) / T^{m-n}\right) \cong E T^{n} \times_{T^{n}} M^{2 n}=\mathcal{D J}\left(K_{P}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, for any simplicial complex $K$, there is an equivalence ([14], [10] and [15]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
E T^{m} \times_{T^{m}} Z\left(K ;\left(D^{2}, S^{1}\right)\right) \cong Z\left(K ;\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty}, *\right)\right) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that for $K=K_{P}$, the three descriptions of $\mathcal{D J}\left(K_{P}\right)$ given by (5.2) and (5.3) agree up to homotopy equivalence
5.2. The $K O^{*}$-rings of the Davis-Januszkiewicz spaces. It is well known that (1.2) extends to $\mathcal{D J}(K)$ and so, for any complex-oriented cohomology theory $E^{*}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K)) \cong E^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right) / I_{S R}^{E} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{S R}^{E}$ is the Stanley-Reisner ideal described in Section 1. A related but more general result can be found in [6], Theorem 2.35. Also from [6], the following geometric results
will prove useful for the computation of $K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K))$ in this section. Below, increasing subsequences of $[m]=(1,2,3, \ldots, m)$ are denoted by $\sigma=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right), \tau=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{t}\right)$ and $\omega=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}\right)$ and $X_{i_{j}}=\mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$ for all $i_{j}$.

Theorem 5.2. The Davis-Januszkiewicz space $\mathcal{D J}(K)$ decomposes stably as follows.

$$
\Sigma(\mathcal{D J}(K)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Sigma\left(\bigvee_{\sigma \in K} X_{i_{1}} \wedge X_{i_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{i_{k}}\right)
$$

Moreover, there is a cofibration sequence

$$
\Sigma(\mathcal{D J}(K)) \xrightarrow{i} \Sigma\left(\bigvee_{\tau \in[m]} X_{i_{1}} \wedge X_{i_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{i_{t}}\right) \xrightarrow{q} \Sigma\left(\bigvee_{\omega \notin K} X_{i_{1}} \wedge X_{i_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{i_{s}}\right)
$$

where the map $i$ is split.

A particular case of (5.4) is given by $E^{*}=K U^{*}$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
K U^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K)) \cong K U^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right) / I_{S R}^{K U} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5.3. Notice that in the representation of $K U^{0}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ given in (4.1), the monomials generating the ideal $I_{S R}^{K U}$ could equally well contain a generator $x_{i}$ or its conjugate $\bar{x}_{i}$.

Theorem 5.2 and the results of section 2 imply that $K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K))$ is concentrated in even degrees. The Bott sequence (1.7) implies then that the realification map

$$
r: K U^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K)) \longrightarrow K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K))
$$

is onto and that the complexification map

$$
c: K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K)) \longrightarrow K U^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K))
$$

is a monomorphism. The goal of the remainder of this section is to use the generators $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ of Section 4 to describe the ring $K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K))$.

Let $K$ be a simplicial complex on $m$ vertices. For $I=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right)$ as in Section 4 , set

$$
\epsilon(I)=\left\{k: i_{k} \neq 0\right\} \subseteq[m]
$$

and let $S R_{K O}$ denote the ideal in $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ generated by the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{[I, J]^{(s)} \in \mathcal{G}_{2}: \epsilon(I) \cup \epsilon(J) \notin K\right\} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where again, simplices of $K$ are identified as increasing subsequences of $[m]=(1,2,3, \ldots, m)$. The notation $S R_{K O}$ for the $K O$ Stanley-Reisner ideal is more appropriate than $I_{S R}^{K O}$ as it is structurally different from that for a complex-oriented theory. Next, the ideal $S R_{K O}$ is related to $r\left(I_{S R}^{K U}\right)$. The non-multiplicativity of the map $r$ makes necessary a preliminary lemma.

Lemma 5.4. The abelian group $r\left(I_{S R}^{K U}\right)$ is an ideal in $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$.
Proof. With reference to the notation of Theorem 5.2, set

$$
\widehat{X}^{\tau}=X_{i_{1}} \wedge X_{i_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{i_{t}} \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{X}^{\omega}=X_{i_{1}} \wedge X_{i_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{i_{s}} .
$$

Recall here that each $X_{i_{j}}=\mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$. The split cofibration of Theorem 5.2 gives rise to the diagram following


The maps $i^{*}$ are onto and so $\widetilde{K O}^{*}(\mathcal{D} \mathcal{J}(K))$ is a quotient of $\widetilde{K O}^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$. A diagram chase is needed next. Let $x \in \widetilde{K O}^{-2 s}\left(\underset{\tau \in[m]}{\vee} \widehat{X}^{\tau}\right)$ be such that $i^{*}(x)=0$. Then, $y \in \widetilde{K O}^{-2 s}\left(\bigvee_{\omega \notin K} \widehat{X}^{\omega}\right)$ exists satisfying $q^{*}(y)=x$. Since $r$ is onto, $z \in \widetilde{K U}^{-2 s}\left(\underset{\omega \notin K}{ } \widehat{X}^{\omega}\right)$ exists with $r(z)=y$. Then

$$
r\left(q^{*}(z)\right)=q^{*}(r(z))=x .
$$

Now $q^{*}(z) \in I_{S R}^{K U}$ which implies that $x \in r\left(I_{S R}^{K U}\right)$. Conversely, the commutativity of the left-hand half of (5.7) implies that if $x \in r\left(I_{S R}^{K U}\right)$ then $i^{*}(x)=0$. Hence $r\left(I_{S R}^{K U}\right)$ is the kernel of the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{*}: \widetilde{K O}^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{K O}^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K)) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $r\left(I_{S R}^{K U}\right)$ is an ideal in $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ as required.

The next proposition allows a characterization of this important ideal in terms of the condition (5.6).

Proposition 5.5. As ideals in $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$

$$
r\left(I_{S R}^{K U}\right)=S R_{K O} .
$$

Proof. Let $[I, J]^{(s)} \in S R_{K O}$. Since $\epsilon(I) \cup \epsilon(J) \notin K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[I, J]^{(s)}=r\left(v^{s} y_{\alpha_{1}} y_{\alpha_{2}} \ldots y_{\alpha_{k}} \mathfrak{m}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, in the light of Remark 5.3, each $y_{\alpha_{j}}=x_{\alpha_{j}}$ or $\bar{x}_{\alpha_{j}},\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right\} \notin K$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ is a monomial in the classes $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, \bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{m}$. (Notice here that the choice of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ in (5.9) may not be unique.) Now $v^{s} y_{\alpha_{1}} y_{\alpha_{2}} \ldots y_{\alpha_{k}} \mathfrak{m} \in I_{S R}^{K U}$ and so $[I, J]^{(s)} \in r\left(I_{S R}^{K U}\right)$. Conversely, an element in $r\left(I_{S R}^{K U}\right)$ is a $K O^{*}$-sum of elements each of the form $r\left(v^{s} y_{\alpha_{1}} y_{\alpha_{2}} \ldots y_{\alpha_{k}} \mathfrak{n}\right)$ again with each $y_{\alpha_{j}}=x_{\alpha_{j}}$ or $\bar{x}_{\alpha_{j}}, \quad\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right\} \notin K$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ is a monomial in the classes $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, \bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{m}$. Now $r\left(v^{s} y_{\alpha_{1}} y_{\alpha_{2}} \ldots y_{\alpha_{k}} \mathfrak{n}\right)=\left[I^{\prime}, J^{\prime}\right]^{(s)}$ for some $I^{\prime}$ and $J^{\prime}$ and moreover, $\epsilon\left(I^{\prime}\right) \cup \epsilon\left(J^{\prime}\right) \notin K$ because $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right\} \notin K$. It follows that $r\left(I_{S R}^{K U}\right) \subset S R_{K O}$, proving the converse.

The main theorem of this section follows.
Theorem 5.6. There is an isomorphism of graded rings

$$
K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K)) \cong K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right) / S R_{K O}
$$

Proof. Proposition 5.5 identifies $S R_{K O}$ as $r\left(I_{S R}^{K U}\right)$, which is the kernel of the map $i^{*}$ of (5.8) in the proof of Lemma 5.4.

The examples following illustrate calculations in $K O^{0}(\mathcal{D J}(K))$ based on Theorem 5.6. The relations of Theorem 4.1 are used with $s=t=0$ and the elements $[I, J]$ are to be interpreted modulo the ideal of relations $S R_{K O}$.

## Examples 5.7.

(1) Let $K=\left\{\left\{v_{1}\right\},\left\{v_{2}\right\}\right\}$ be the simplicial complex consisting of two distinct vertices. Classes of the form $[(i, 0),(0,0)]$ and $[(0, h),(0,0)]$ represent $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ generators of $K O^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \times *\right)$ and $K O^{0}\left(* \times \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)$ respectively in $K O^{0}\left(B T^{2}\right)$ as described by (4.2). Now, for $i$ and $h$ not both zero,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[(i, 0),(0,0)] \cdot[(0, h),(0,0)] } & =[(i, h),(0,0)]+[(0, h),(i, 0)] \\
& =0 \quad \text { by }(5.6)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is consistent with the fact that $\mathcal{D g}(K)=\mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \vee \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$ in this case.
(2) Let $L=\left\{\left\{v_{1}\right\},\left\{v_{2}\right\},\left\{v_{3}\right\},\left\{v_{4}\right\},\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}\right\},\left\{v_{3}, v_{4}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{4}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}\right\}$ be the simplicial complex consisting of a 1 -simplex wedged to a 2 -simplex at the vertex $v_{2}$. Here, classes of the form $\left[\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, 0,0\right),(0,0,0,0)\right]$ and $\left[\left(0, h_{2}, h_{3}, 0\right),(0,0,0,0)\right]$ represent $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ generators of $K O^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \times \mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \times * \times *\right)$ and $K O^{0}\left(* \times \mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \times \mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \times *\right)$ respectively in $K O^{0}\left(B T^{4}\right)$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, 0,0\right),(0,0,0,0)\right] \cdot\left[\left(0, h_{2}, h_{3}, 0\right),(0,0,0,0)\right]} \\
& \quad=\left[\left(i_{1}, i_{2}+h_{2}, h_{3}, 0\right),(0,0,0,0)\right]+\left[\left(0, h_{2}, h_{3}, 0\right),\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, 0,0\right)\right]=0 \quad \text { by }(5.6)
\end{aligned}
$$

reflecting the fact that $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\} \notin L$. Moreover

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, 0,0\right),(0,0,0,0)\right] \cdot } & {\left[\left(l_{1}, l_{, 2}, 0,0\right),(0,0,0,0)\right] }  \tag{5.10}\\
& =\left[\left(i_{1}+l_{1}, i_{2}+l_{2}, 0,0\right),(0,0,0,0)\right]+\left[\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, 0,0\right),\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, 0,0\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Repeated application of relations (A) and (B) in Theorem 4.1 reduce the right hand side of (5.10) to a sum of terms of the form $[(*, *, 0,0),(*, *, 0,0)]$ each of which satisfies the $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ condition for $K O^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \times \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)$. This is consistent with the fact that $K O^{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \times \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)$ is a $K O^{*}$-subalgebra of $K O^{*}(\mathcal{D} \mathcal{J}(K))$ corresponding to the simplex $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \in L$.
5.3. The $\operatorname{CAT}(K)$ approach. Definition 5.1 expresses $\mathcal{D J}(K)$ as the colimit of an exponential diagram $B T^{K}$ ([20], where $D(\sigma)$ is written $\left.B T^{\sigma}\right)$, over the category CAT $(K)$ associated to the posets of faces of $K$. Since $B T^{K}$ is a cofibrant diagram, its homotopy colimit is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{D J}(K)$ also. The $K O^{*}$ version of the Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence, studied in [19, Section 3], applies in this case and gives an alternative calculation of $K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K))$ in terms of the $\operatorname{CAT}^{o p}(K)$-diagram $K O^{*}\left(B T^{K}\right)$ whose value on each face $\sigma \in K$ is $K O^{*}(D(\sigma))$. The arguments of [19] apply unchanged and are similar to those of Section 5.2. They imply
that the spectral sequence collapses at the $E_{2}$-term and is concentrated entirely along the vertical axis. So the edge homomorphism gives an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \lim K O^{*}\left(B T^{K}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $K O^{*}$-algebras, by analogy with [19, Corollary 3.12].
Informally, the elements of $\lim K O^{*}\left(B T^{K}\right)$ are considered as finite sequences $\left(u_{\sigma}\right)$ whose terms $u_{\sigma} \in K O^{*}\left(B T^{\sigma}\right)$ are compatible under the inclusions $i: B T^{\sigma} \longrightarrow B T^{\tau}$ for every $\tau \supset \sigma$. More precisely, the isomorphism (5.11) leads to the conclusion following.

Theorem 5.8. As $K O^{*}$-algebras, $K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K))$ is isomorphic to

$$
\left\{\left(u_{\sigma}\right) \in \prod_{\sigma \in K} K O^{*}\left(B T^{\sigma}\right): i^{*}\left(u_{\tau}\right)=u_{\sigma} \text { for every } \tau \supset \sigma\right\}
$$

where the multiplication and $K O^{*}$-module structure are defined termwise.
Theorem 5.8 extends to $E^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K))$ for any arbitrary cohomology theory. The corollary following is complementary to Theorem 5.6.

Corollary 5.9. The natural homomorphism

$$
\ell: K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right) \longrightarrow \lim K O^{*}\left(B T^{K}\right)
$$

is onto with kernel equal to the ideal $S R_{K O}$ of Theorem 5.6.
Proof. The homomorphism $\ell$ is induced by the projections $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right) \rightarrow K O^{*}\left(B T^{\sigma}\right)$ as $\sigma$ ranges over the faces of $K$, hence it is onto.

Theorem 4.4 describes each summand $K O^{*}\left(B T^{\sigma}\right)$ of $K O^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ as generated over $K O^{*}$ by those elements $[I, J]^{(s)}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ for which $\epsilon(I) \cup \epsilon(J) \subseteq \sigma$. Moreover, Theorem 5.8 implies that $\ell\left([I, J]^{(s)}\right)=0$ if and only if $[I, J]^{(s)}$ satisfies $\epsilon(I) \cup \epsilon(J) \notin K$ as in (5.6). So, $\ell$ maps non-trivial formal sums of elements in $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ to zero if and only if they lie in $S R_{K O}$.

Corollary 5.9 generalizes to an arbitrary cohomology theory and establishes an isomorphism

$$
E^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right) / \operatorname{ker} \ell \longrightarrow E^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K))
$$

of $E^{*}$-algebras.

It is instructive to revisit Examples 5.7 from this complementary viewpoint.

## Examples 5.10.

(1) If $K=\left\{\left\{v_{1}\right\},\left\{v_{2}\right\}\right\}$, then $\operatorname{CAT}(K)$ contains the $(-1)$-simplex $\emptyset$ and two 0 -simplices. Theorem 5.8 gives $K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K))$ as the $K O^{*}$-algebra $K O^{*}\left(B T^{\left\{v_{1}\right\}} \oplus K O^{*}\left(B T^{\left\{v_{2}\right\}}\right.\right.$. The homomorphism $\ell$ of Corollary 5.9 maps the elements $[(i, 0),(0,0)]$ and $[(0, h),(0,0)]$ of $K O^{0}\left(B T^{2}\right)$ to the elements $([(i),(0)], 0)$ and $(0,[(h),(0)])$; in particular, their product is zero.
(2) If $L=\left\{\left\{v_{1}\right\},\left\{v_{2}\right\},\left\{v_{3}\right\},\left\{v_{4}\right\},\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}\right\},\left\{v_{3}, v_{4}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{4}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}\right\}$, then CAT $(L)$ contains the $(-1)$-simplex $\emptyset$, four 0 -simplices, four 1 -simplices and one 2 -simplex. Theorem 5.8 expresses $K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K))$ as a certain $K O^{*}$-subalgebra of

$$
K O^{*}\left(B T^{\left\{v_{2}\right\}}\right) \times K O^{*}\left(B T^{\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}}\right) \times K O^{*}\left(B T^{\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}}\right),
$$

which may be identified as the pullback

$$
\begin{equation*}
K O^{*}\left(B T^{\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}}\right) \oplus_{K O^{*}\left(B T^{\left\{v_{2}\right\}}\right)} K O^{*}\left(B T^{\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}}\right) . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elements of (5.12) consist of ordered pairs $(u, w)$, for which $u \in K O^{*}\left(B T^{\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}}\right)$ and $w \in K O^{*}\left(B T^{\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}}\right)$ share a common restriction to $K O^{*}\left(B T^{\left\{v_{2}\right\}}\right)$. Pairs are multiplied coordinate-wise; products of the form $(u, 0) \cdot(0, w)$ give $(0,0)=0$. For $i_{1}$ and $h_{3}$ nonzero, the homomorphism $\ell$ of Corollary 5.9 maps the elements

$$
\left[\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, 0,0\right),(0,0,0,0)\right] \text { and }\left[\left(0, h_{2}, h_{3}, 0\right),(0,0,0,0)\right]
$$

of $K O^{0}\left(B T^{4}\right)$ to the pairs

$$
\left(\left[\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right),(0,0)\right], 0\right) \text { and }\left(0,\left[\left(h_{2}, h_{3}, 0\right),(0,0,0)\right]\right)
$$

respectively. Their product is zero as required. Similarly, $\ell$ maps $\left[\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, 0,0\right),(0,0,0,0)\right]$ and $\left[\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, 0,0\right),(0,0,0,0)\right]$ to the pairs

$$
\left(\left[\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right),(0,0)\right], 0\right) \text { and }\left(\left[\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right),(0,0)\right], 0\right)
$$

respectively and, their product is $\left(\left[\left(i_{1}+l_{1}, i_{2}+l_{2}\right),(0,0)\right]+\left[\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right),\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)\right], 0\right)$.

## 6. Toric manifolds

6.1. Background. Briefly, a toric manifold $M^{2 n}$ is a manifold covered by local charts $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, each with the standard $T^{n}$ action, compatible in such a way that the quotient $M^{2 n} / T^{n}$ has the structure of a simple polytope $P^{n}$. A simple polytope $P^{n}$ has the property that at each vertex, exactly $n$ facets intersect. Under the $T^{n}$ action, each copy of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ must project to an $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ neighborhood of a vertex of $P^{n}$. The construction of Davis and Januszkiewicz ([14], section 1.5) realizes all such manifolds as follows. Let

$$
\mathcal{F}=\left\{F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{m}\right\}
$$

denote the set of facets of $P^{n}$. The fact that $P^{n}$ is simple implies that every codimension- $l$ face $F$ can be written uniquely as

$$
F=F_{i_{1}} \cap F_{i_{2}} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_{l}}
$$

where the $F_{i_{j}}$ are the facets containing $F$. Let

$$
\lambda: \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}
$$

be a function into an $n$-dimensional integer lattice satisfying the condition that whenever $F=F_{i_{1}} \cap F_{i_{2}} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_{l}}$ then $\left\{\lambda\left(F_{i_{1}}\right), \lambda\left(F_{i_{2}}\right), \ldots, \lambda\left(F_{i_{l}}\right)\right\}$ span an $l$-dimensional submodule of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ which is a direct summand. Next, regarding $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as the Lie algebra of $T^{n}, \lambda$ associates to each codimension- $l$ face $F$ of $P^{n}$ a rank- $l$ subgroup $G_{F} \subset T^{n}$. Finally, let $p \in P^{n}$ and $F(p)$ be the unique face with $p$ in its relative interior. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $T^{n} \times P^{n}$ by $(g, p) \sim(h, q)$ if and only if $p=q$ and $g^{-1} h \in G_{F(p)} \cong T^{l}$. Then

$$
M^{2 n} \cong M^{2 n}(\lambda)=T^{n} \times P^{n} / \sim
$$

and, $M^{2 n}$ is a smooth, closed, connected, $2 n$-dimensional manifold with $T^{n}$ action induced by left translation ([14], page 423). The projection $\pi: M^{2 n} \rightarrow P^{n}$ is induced from the projection $T^{n} \times P^{n} \rightarrow P^{n}$. It is noted in [14] that every smooth projective toric variety has this description.

The goal of this section is an analogue of (1.3) for the $K O^{*}$-rings of certain toric manifolds $M^{2 n}$. For toric manifolds determined by a simple polytope and a characteristic map on its facets, a description of the $K O^{*}$-module structure of $K O^{*}\left(M^{2 n}\right)$ was given in [4] in terms of $H^{*}\left(M^{2 n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ as a module over $\mathrm{Sq}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}$. A more refined computation of the $K O^{*}$-module structure, for certain families of manifolds $M^{2 n}$, is presented in [18]. The $K O^{*}$-ring structure for families of toric manifolds known as Bott towers may be found in [12], without reference to $K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K))$.
6.2. The Steenrod algebra structure of toric manifolds. As in Section 2, let $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ denote the subalgebra of the Steenrod algebra generated by $\mathrm{Sq}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}$. Let $S^{0}$ denote the $\mathcal{A}_{1^{-}}$ module consisting of a single class in dimension 0 and the trivial action of $\mathrm{Sq}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-module with a class $x$ in dimension 0 , a class $y$ in dimension 2 and the action given by $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}(x)=y$.

According to (1.3), $H^{*}\left(M^{2 n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is concentrated in even degree and so, as an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-module, must be isomorphic to a direct sum of suspended copies of the modules $S^{0}$ and $\mathcal{M}$. That is, there is a decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}\left(M^{2 n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} s_{i} \Sigma^{2 i} S^{0} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} m_{j} \Sigma^{2 j} \mathcal{M}, \quad s_{i}, m_{j} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numbers $s_{i}$ and $m_{j}$ were labelled "BB-numbers" in [12, Section 5]. The $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}$-homology of $M^{2 n}, H^{*}\left(M^{2 n} ; \mathrm{Sq}^{2}\right)$, is zero precisely when $s_{j}=0$ for all $j$.

Examples 6.1. The toric manifolds $\mathbb{C} P^{2 k}$ are $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}$-acyclic for any positive integer $k$.
Examples 6.2. The toric manifolds $\mathbb{C} P^{2 k+1}$ have $s_{i}=0$ for $i \leq k$ and $s_{k+1}=1$, for any positive integer $k$. The terminally odd Bott towers of [12, Section 5] have $s_{1}=1$ and $s_{i}=0$ for $i \geq 2$; the totally even towers have $m_{j}=0$ for every $j$.
Examples 6.3. The non-singular toric varieties $X^{n}\left(r ; a_{r}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ constructed in [18] and satisfying $2 \leq r \leq n, a_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n-r$ odd are all $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}$-acyclic These varieties correspond to $n$-dimensional fans having $n+2$ rays .

Remark. The preprint [7] contains a construction of families of toric manifolds derived from a given one. Work is in progress to confirm that this construction can be done in such a way that the family of derived toric manifolds will each be $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}$-acyclic, though this property might not be satisfied by the initial one.

The next proposition is an immediate consequence of the calculation in [4].
Proposition 6.4. If $M^{2 n}$ is $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}$-acyclic, then the graded ring $K O^{*}\left(M^{2 n}\right)$ is concentrated in even degree and has no additive torsion.
6.3. The $K O$-rings of $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}$-acyclic toric manifolds. Recall from (1.1) the Borel fibration for toric manifolds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2 n} \xrightarrow{i} E T^{n} \times_{T^{n}} M^{2 n} \xrightarrow{p} B T^{n} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with total space $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{J}(K)$.

Theorem 6.5. For any $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}$-acyclic toric manifold $M^{2 n}$, there is an isomorphism

$$
K O^{*}\left(M^{2 n}\right) \cong K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K)) / r\left(J^{K U}\right)
$$

of $K O^{*}$-algebras, where $r$ is the realification map and $J^{K U}$ is the ideal defined in (1.3).
Remark 6.6. Notice that $r\left(J^{K U}\right)$, which is the realification of the ideal generated by the image of $\left.K U^{*}\left(B T^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{p^{*}} K U^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K))\right)$, is not the same as $J^{K O}$ which is the ideal generated by $p^{*}\left(K O^{*}\left(B T^{n}\right)\right)$; this represents a significant departure from the situation for complexoriented $E^{*}\left(M^{2 n}\right)$. As in Lemma 5.4, the non-multiplicativity of the map $r$ implies that $r\left(J^{K U}\right)$ is not in general an ideal but Theorem 6.5 confirms that $K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K)) / r\left(J^{K U}\right)$ is multiplicatively closed.

Proof of Theorem 6.5. The Bott sequences (1.7) for $M^{2 n}, \mathcal{D J}(K)$ and $B T^{n}$ link together to give the commutative diagram.

$$
\begin{align*}
& K O^{*-2}\left(M^{2 n}\right) \stackrel{i^{*}}{\longleftarrow} K O^{*-2}(\mathcal{D J}(K)) \stackrel{p^{*}}{\longleftarrow} K O^{*-2}\left(B T^{n}\right) \\
& K U^{*}\left(M^{2 n}\right) \stackrel{i_{K U}^{*} \text { onto }}{\longleftrightarrow} K U^{*}(\mathcal{D} \mathcal{J}(K)) \stackrel{p^{*}}{\longleftarrow} K U^{*}\left(B T^{n}\right)  \tag{6.3}\\
& K O^{*}\left(M^{2 n}\right) \quad \stackrel{i^{*}}{\longleftarrow} \quad K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K)) \stackrel{p^{*}}{\longleftarrow} \quad K O^{*}\left(B T^{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Recall now that Proposition 6.4 implies that $K O^{*}\left(M^{2 n}\right)$ is concentrated in even degrees and so all the Bott sequences are short exact. The lower left commutative square in (6.3) implies that the maps $i^{*}$ are onto. A diagram chase is needed next to identify the kernel of $i^{*}$.

Let $z \in K O^{*}(\mathcal{D} \mathcal{J}(K))$ and suppose $i^{*}(z)=0$. Since $r$ is onto, $y \in K U^{*}(\mathcal{D} \mathcal{J}(K))$ exists with $r(y)=z$. Then $r\left(i_{K U}^{*}(y)\right)=i^{*}(z)=0$. The exactness of the leftmost Bott sequence implies now that $x \in K O^{*-2}\left(M^{2 n}\right)$ exists with $\chi(x)=i_{K U}^{*}(y)$. The map $i^{*}$ is onto so $w \in K O^{*-2}(\mathcal{D J}(K))$ satisfying $i^{*}(w)=x$. Then

$$
i_{K U}^{*}(y-\chi(w))=i_{K U}^{*}(y)-i^{*}(\chi(w))=i_{K U}^{*}(y)-\chi\left(i^{*}(w)\right)=i_{K U}^{*}(y)-\chi(x)=0 .
$$

So $y-\chi(w) \in\left\langle p^{*}\left(K U^{*}\left(B T^{n}\right)\right)\right\rangle$ by (1.3) for $E=K U$. Finally, $r(y-\chi(w))=r(y)=z$ and so $z \in r\left(\left\langle p^{*}\left(K U^{*}\left(B T^{n}\right)\right)\right\rangle\right)$ as required.
6.4. Further examples. A few simple examples illustrate the fact that the situation is considerably more difficult when $M^{2 n}$ is not $\mathrm{Sq}^{2}$-acyclic. In all which follows, the number $s_{i}$ and $m_{j}$ are those defined by (6.1).

Manifolds $M^{2 n}$ for which all $m_{j}=0$, as is the case for the totally even Bott towers of Examples 6.2, have $K O^{*}\left(M^{2 n}\right)$ a free $K O^{*}$-module. Particularly revealing is the most basic case $M^{2 n}=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ with $n=1$. Recall from Section 4 that

$$
K O^{*} \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[e, \alpha, \beta, \beta^{-1}\right] /\left(2 e, e^{3}, e \alpha, \alpha^{2}-4 \beta\right)
$$

with $e \in K O^{-1}, \alpha \in K O^{-4}$ and $\beta \in K O^{-8}$.
Example 6.7. The classes $X_{1}^{(s)} \in K O^{-2 s}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)$ and $X_{i}^{(0)}=X_{i}$, specified in Definition 3.1, restrict to classes in $K O^{-2 s}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right)$ which also will be denoted by $X_{1}^{(s)}$ and $X_{1}$. The $K O^{*}$ algebra $K O^{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $K O^{*}[g] /\left(g^{2}\right)$ where $g \in \widetilde{K O}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right)$ is the generator arising from the unit of the spectrum $K O$. In particular

$$
e^{2} g=X_{1} \in K O^{(0)}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad 2 \beta g=X_{1}^{(3)} \in K O^{-6}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right)
$$

Now $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ is the smooth toric variety associated to the simplicial complex $K=\left\{\left\{v_{1}\right\},\left\{v_{2}\right\}\right\}$ in the manner described in Section 1. The fibration (6.2) specializes to

$$
\mathbb{C} P^{1} \xrightarrow{i} S(\eta \oplus \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{p} B T^{1},
$$

the total space of which is the sphere bundle of $\eta \oplus \mathbb{R}$. So $\mathcal{D J}(K)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \vee \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$. (Of course, this agrees with the description given by (5.3).) The map $i$ includes $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ into each wedge summand by pinching the equator.

It follows from [12, Section 4] that
(1) $i^{*}$ is an epimorphism onto $K O^{d}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right)$ for all $d \not \equiv 1,2 \bmod 8$.
(2) If $d=1-8 t$ then $e \beta^{t} g$ has order 2 but $K O^{d}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty} \vee \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)=0$.
(3) If $d=2-8 t$ then $2 \beta^{t} g \in \operatorname{Im}\left(i^{*}\right)$ but $\beta^{t} g \notin \operatorname{Im}\left(i^{*}\right)$.

These details combined with diagram (6.3) confirm that

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(i^{*}\right) \cong K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K)) / r\left(J^{K U}\right)
$$

in dimensions $\not \equiv 3,4 \bmod 8$.
Example 6.7 extends to an analysis of various toric manifolds with a single non-zero $s_{i}$ but unrestricted $m_{j}$.

Example 6.8. The projective space $\mathbb{C} P^{4 k+1}$ has $s_{2 k+1}=1$ and all other $s_{i}=0$. It is the smooth toric variety associated to the simplicial complex $K$ which is the boundary of the simplex $\Delta^{4 k+1}$.

The $K O^{*}$-algebra $K O^{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{4 k+1}\right)$ admits $K O^{*}\left(S^{8 k+2}\right)$ as an additive summand, generated by $h \in K O^{8 k+2}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{4 k+1}\right)$ such that $h^{2}=0$. In particular,

$$
e^{2} \beta^{k} h=X_{1}^{2 k+1} \in K O^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{4 k+1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad 2 \beta^{k+1} h=X_{1}^{2 k} X_{1}^{(3)} \in K O^{-6}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{4 k+1}\right)
$$

It follows from Example 6.7 that

$$
i^{*}: K O^{d}\left((\mathcal{D J}(K)) \longrightarrow K O^{d}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{4 k+1}\right)\right.
$$

is an epimorphism for $d \not \equiv 1,2 \bmod 8$. So the cokernel of $i^{*}$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ vector space generated by the elements $e \beta^{t} h$ and $\beta^{t} h$, whereas

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(i^{*}\right) \cong K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K)) / r\left(J^{K U}\right)
$$

in dimensions $\not \equiv 3,4 \bmod 8$.
Example 6.9. A terminally odd Bott tower $M^{2 n}$ has $s_{1}=1$ and all other $s_{i}=0$. In this case the simplicial complex $K$ is the boundary of an $n$-dimensional cross-polytope. As in Example 6.7, it follows that the cokernel of $i^{*}$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-vector space generated by the elements $e \beta^{t} g$ and $\beta^{t} g$ whereas

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(i^{*}\right) \cong K O^{*}(\mathcal{D J}(K)) / r\left(J^{K U}\right)
$$

in dimensions $\not \equiv 3,4 \bmod 8$.

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to Matthias Franz for stimulating and helpful conversations about moment-angle complexes, Davis-Januszkiewicz spaces and toric manifolds.

## References

[1] J. F. Adams, Stable Homotopy and Generalized Homology, University of Chicago Press, 1974.
[2] D. W. Anderson, The Real K-theory of Classifying Spaces, PNAS 1964 51: 634-636.
[3] M. F. Atiyah and G. B. Segal Equivariant K-theory and Completion, J. Differential Geometry 3 (1969): 1-18.
[4] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, The KO-theory of toric manifolds, Trans. AMS, 2000 352, no. 3, 1191-1202
[5] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F. R. Cohen, and S. Gitler, Decompositions of the polyhedral product functor with applications to moment-angle complexes and related spaces, PNAS 2009 106:12241-12244.
[6] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F. R. Cohen, and S. Gitler, The polyhedral product functor: A method of decomposition for moment-angle complexes, arrangements and related spaces. To appear in Advances in Mathematics.
[7] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F. Cohen and S. Gitler, On an Infinite Family of Toric Manifolds Associated to a Given One. In preparation.
[8] D. Bayen and R. Bruner, Real Connective K-Theory and the Quaternion Group, Trans. AMS, 348, (1996): 2201-2216.
[9] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan. Homotopy Limits, Completions and Localizations, Lecture notes in Mathematics 304, Springer Verlag, 1972.
[10] V. Buchstaber and T. Panov, Torus Actions and their Applications in Topology and Combinatorics, AMS University Lecture Series, volume 24, (2002).
[11] V. Buchstaber and N. Ray, An Invitation to Toric Topology: vertex four of a remarkable tetrahedron . Proceedings of the International Conference in Toric Topology, Osaka 2006, edited by Megumi Harada, Yael Karshon, Mikiya Masuda, and Taras Panov. AMS Contemporary Mathematics 460:1-27, 2008.
[12] Y. Civan and N. Ray, Homotopy Decompositions and Real K-Theory of Bott Towers, K-Theory 34 (2005), 1-33.
[13] V. I. Danilov, The Geometry of Toric Varieties, Russian Math. Surveys 33. (1978), 97-154; Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 33 (1978), 85-134.
[14] M. Davis, and T. Januszkiewicz, Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions, Duke Math. J. 62 (1991), no. 2, 417-451.
[15] G. Denham and A. Suciu, Moment-angle complexes, monomial ideals and Massey products, arXiv:math/0512497v4 [math.AT].
[16] A. Dobson, KO-theory of Thom complexes, University of Manchester thesis, 2007.
[17] M. Mahowald and N. Ray, A Note on the Thom Isomorphism, Proceedings fo the American Mathematical Society, 82 (2):307-308, 1981.
[18] Y. Nishimura, The Quasi KO-types of Toric Manifolds, In Proceedings of the International Conference in Toric Topology; Osaka City University 2006, 287-292. Edited by Megumi Harada, Yael Karshon, Mikiya Masuda, and Taras Panov. Contemporary Mathematics 460. AMS, Providence RI, 2008.
[19] D. Notbohm and N. Ray. On Davis-Januszkiewicz Homotopy Types I; formality and rationalization, Algebraic and Geometric Topology 5:31-51, ( 2005).
[20] T. Panov, N. Ray, and R. Vogt, Colimits, Stanley- Reisner Algebras, and Loop Spaces, In Algebraic Topology: Categorical Decomposition Techniques, Progress in Mathematics 215:261-291. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.

Department of Mathematics, Cinvestav, San Pedro Zacatenco, Mexico, D.F. CP 07360 Apartado Postal 14-740, Mexico

E-mail address: astey@math.cinvestav.mx
Department of Mathematics, Rider University, Lawrenceville, NJ 08648, U.S.A.
E-mail address: bahri@rider.edu
Department of Mathematics CUNY, East 695 Park Avenue New York, NY 10065, U.S.A.
E-mail address: mbenders@xena.hunter.cuny.edu
Department of Mathematics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14625, U.S.A.
E-mail address: cohf@math.rochester.edu
Department of Mathematics, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA 18015, U.S.A.
E-mail address: dmd1@lehigh.edu
Department of Mathematics, Cinvestav, San Pedro Zacatenco, Mexico, D.F. CP 07360
Apartado Postal 14-740, Mexico
E-mail address: sgitler@math.cinvestav.mx
Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, Northwestern University 2033
Sheridan Road Evanston, IL 60208-2730, USA
E-mail address: mark@math.northwestern.edu
School of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Oxford Road Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

E-mail address: nige@maths.manchester.ac.uk
School of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Oxford Road Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

E-mail address: Reg.Wood@manchester.ac.uk


[^0]:    1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 5E45, 14M25, 55N15, 55T15 Secondary: 55P42, 55R20, 57N65.

    Key words and phrases. Quasitoric manifolds, Davis-Januszkiewicz Space, $K O$-theory, $K$-theory, product of projective spaces, Stanley-Reisner ring, toric varieties, toric manifolds, classifying space of a torus.

