

# Optical and Photonic Glasses

## Lecture 37:

### Non-Linear Optical Glasses I - Fundamentals

Professor Rui Almeida

**International Materials Institute  
For New Functionality in Glass**

Lehigh University



## Non-linear optical glasses

Photonic devices like all-optical switches and modulators are examples of non-linear optic (NLO) devices which find application in optical communications or optical computing.

Optical non-linearities in glass materials may be divided into the main categories of resonant and non-resonant. *Non-resonant NLO* glasses are, e.g., high refractive index / high dispersion glasses like heavy flint optical glasses, or heavy metal oxide glasses, or chalcogenide glasses. *Resonant NLO* glasses include semiconductor or metal particle doped glasses.

Stimulated emission (or stimulated Raman scattering) is also an example of NLO behavior, which was treated separately before, when dealing with glasses for lasers and amplifiers.

# NLO fundamentals

An optical non-linearity is a deviation from the linear relationship between a material's polarization response and the electric component of an applied electromagnetic field. Considering a non-magnetic medium ( $\mu \sim \mu_0$ ) where the velocity of light is  $v$ ,  $n = (\epsilon/\epsilon_0)^{1/2} = c/v$  and the linear polarization is:

$$P = \epsilon_0 \chi E$$

where  $\chi$  is the electric susceptibility (and  $\epsilon_0\chi$  is the polarizability per unit volume), such that:

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_0 (1 + \chi)$$

$$n = (1 + \chi)^{1/2}$$

In the transparency region of a dielectric isotropic material (with no permanent electric dipoles) like most glasses, between the ionic (vibrational) and the electronic excitation processes, the light frequency is too high for the ionic polarizability to follow the electromagnetic field oscillations and too low to resonate with the electronic excitations. However, electronic transitions may still take place through multiphoton processes; e.g., the probability of *two-photon* absorption will increase proportionally to the *square* of the electromagnetic field intensity.

The intensity dependence of the absorption and the refractive index (corresponding to the *non-linear refractive index*) of the material is a NLO process.

Another type of NLO behavior is observed in anisotropic materials that have permanent electric dipoles, such as non-centrosymmetric crystals and unlike glasses.

In general, unless the motion of the charged particles in a dielectric medium is very small, the electric polarization (or dipole moment per unit volume) is given as a power series of E:

$$\mathbf{P} = \epsilon_0 \chi^{(1)} \mathbf{E} + \epsilon_0 \chi^{(2)} \mathbf{E}^2 + \epsilon_0 \chi^{(3)} \mathbf{E}^3 + \dots$$

with  $\chi^{(2)}$ ,  $\chi^{(3)}$ , ... being the non-linear electric susceptibilities of the medium.

If the alternating electric field of light is given by  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0 \exp(i\omega t)$ , the second order term will give a polarization which varies with  $2\omega$ , enabling the phenomenon of Second Harmonic Generation (SHG), or *frequency doubling*, while the third order term will give rise to *frequency tripled* light, called third harmonic generation (THG).

In a centrosymmetric material, opposite directions about the inversion center are equivalent, so the polarization must change sign when the optical electric field is reversed, which implies that  $\chi^{(2)}$  must be zero. Although **glass is not centrosymmetric**, it is **isotropic** and thus  $\chi^{(2)} \sim 0$ . Only  $\chi^{(3)}$  is  $\neq 0$  and may lead to NLO behavior in glass.

The second order, third order, etc., terms of the electric polarization only become significant under high intensity light such as that available from laser sources and the values of  $\chi^{(n)}$  usually decrease rapidly as  $n$  increases. However, for centrosymmetric materials, or isotropic materials like glass,  $\chi^{(2)} = 0$  and then only  $\chi^{(3)}$  is significant.

The intensity dependent refractive index is generally given as:

$$n = n_0 + n_1 E + n_2 E^2$$

where  $n_0$  is the linear refractive index,  $n_1$  is the Pockel's coefficient (assumed negligible for glass, which is isotropic) and  $n_2$  is the so called *non-linear refractive index* or Kerr coefficient (from the optical Kerr effect). Since the intensity of the electric field of the light is equal to the square of its amplitude, one can also write:

$$n = n_0 + n_2'' I$$

In electrostatic units, one also has:

$$n_2 = 12 \pi \chi^{(3)} / n_0 \quad (\text{or } n_2'' = \chi^{(3)} / (\epsilon_0 c n_0^2))$$

The dominant non-linearity, in this case, is at a frequency well below the glass bandgap and this effect is called *non-resonant*.

*Nonlinear refractive indices and nonlinear susceptibilities of optical glasses and special glasses for laser systems*

Glass type	Refractive index $n$	Nonlinear refractive index $n_2$ ( $10^{-13}$ esu)	Nonlinear refractive index $n_2''$ ( $10^{-16}$ cm <sup>2</sup> /W)	Nonlinear susceptibility $ \chi^{(3)} $ ( $10^{-13}$ esu)
Optical glass				
<u>Fused silica</u>	1.456(d) [34], 1.458(D) [51] (d) [35] 1.452(d) [53]	0.99 [34], 0.95* [35, 41, 51], 0.85* [54]	2.73* [51]	0.28 [44, 59, 60]
FK-6	1.446(d) [34]	1.13 [34]		
<u>BK-7</u> (borosilicate)	1.517(d) [34] (D) [51]	1.46 [34], 1.24* [35, 51], 1.30* [54]	3.43* [51]	
SF-7 (PbO-silicate)	1.640(d) [34]	5.83 [34]		
LaK-3	1.694(d) [34]	2.57 [34]		
LaSF-7	1.914(d) [34], 1.85(d) [58]	6.20 [34]		0.45 [58]
FK-5 (fluorosilicate)	1.487(D) [51] (d) [35]	1.07* [35, 51]	3.01* [51]	
FK-51 (fluorosilicate)	1.487(D) [51]	0.69* [35, 51]	1.94* [51]	
SF-59 (PbO-silicate)	1.953(d) [53, 56], 1.91* [55, 57] 1.97 [61], 1.917 [53]		68* [55], 32 [57], 67.6 [62] 90 [61]	0.78# [53] 1.11# [53], 0.75 [56, 57], 0.66 [58]
SF-57 (PbO-silicate)	1.8467(d) [53], 1.81* [55]		41* [55]	0.51# [53], 0.225 [58]
<u>SF-6</u> (PbO-silicate)	1.8052(d) [53, 56], 1.77* [57]	8.0* [54]	22* [57]	0.45# [53], 0.44 [56, 57], 0.165 [58]
FD-60 (PbO-silicate)	1.8052(d) [53], 1.77* [55]		20* [55]	0.42# [53]
LaSF-30	1.8032(d) [53]			0.12# [53]
SF-58	1.917(d) [56], 1.88* [55, 57]		49* [55], 23 [57]	0.52 [56, 57], 0.495 [58]
SF-56	1.75* [55]		26* [55]	
FDS-9	1.81* [55]		12* [55]	
FD6	1.77* [55]		31* [55]	
FDS-90	1.81* [55]		22* [55]	
8463 (Corning)	1.94 [57], 1.97(D) [58]		42* [57]	1.00 [57], 0.825 [58]
Special Oxide glass				
FR-5 (Tb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> - aluminosilicate)	1.686(D) [51], 1.678* [52]	2.1* [35, 51], 1.93* [54]	5.2* [51]	
FR-4 (Ce <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -phosphate)	1.556* [52]	1.95* [52]		


(d): d line; (D): D line; \*: 1.06 μm; #: 0.65 μm.

(Adapted from: *Glasses for photonics*, M. Yamane and Y. Asahara, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000)

It is interesting to note that  $n_0$  and  $n_2$  are usually directly correlated, such that low index ( $n_0$ ) glasses, like certain fluorides and phosphates, have also low  $n_2$  and are usually important for high power applications like amplifiers in inertia confined fusion experiments, to minimize self-focusing and local damage to the optical glass.

On the other hand, for all-optical signal processing and switching devices, glasses with large  $n_0$  (thus large  $n_2$ ) are desirable, including heavy flint optical glasses with high index and high dispersion.

ChG's appear to have the largest non-resonant third order optical non-linearities reported to date.



Variation in NLO index coefficient (in pico-esu) as a function of linear index and Abbe number, according to Eq. (7.61) (Boling, Glass, and Owyong 1978).

(Adapted from: *Optical Materials*, J.H. Simmons and K.S. Potter, Academic Press, 2000)

Nonlinear refractive indices of chalcogenide glasses

Glass type	Refractive index ( $\lambda$ , $\mu\text{m}$ )	Nonlinear refractive index $n_2''$ ( $10^{-16}$ cm <sup>2</sup> /W)	Nonlinear susceptibility $ \chi^{(3)} $ ( $10^{-13}$ esu)	Nonlinear susceptibility $ \chi_{1111}^{(3)} $ ( $10^{-13}$ esu)	Measurement ( $\lambda$ , $\mu\text{m}$ )	Ref.
As <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>			14.8 (0.635)		DFWM	80
			22		THG (1.9)	80
	2.48 (1.06)			17.4	DFWM (1.06)	57
	2.53 (2)		72		THG (2)	81
	2.4 (1.06)	1400			Z (1.06)	82
			420	100	THG (1.9)	70
GeS <sub>3</sub>	2.38	40 (1.3)	120		KE (1.303)	83
						88
GeS <sub>2</sub> -10Ti <sub>2</sub> S			10		THG (1.9)	80
40As <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> -10I			7		THG (1.9)	80
90As <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> -10I			3		THG (1.9)	80
Ge-S(NOG-1)	2.05 (1.9)		20		THG (1.9)	81
Ge-As-S(NOG-2)	2.22 (1.9)		37		THG (1.9)	81
Ge-As-S(NOG-3)	2.35 (1.9)		46		THG (1.9)	81
Ge-As-S-Sc(NOG-4)	2.36 (1.9)		70		THG (1.9)	81
As-S-Sc(NOG-5)	2.55 (2.0)		141		THG (2)	81
As-S-Se	~2.47 (10.6)	~175 (1.55)				88
Ag <sub>2</sub> As <sub>30</sub> S <sub>50</sub>	2.43 (1.06)	$1.02 \times 10^5$			Z (1.06)	82
VA(La <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> -Ga <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> )	2.50			220 (0.6), 7.9 (1.25)		65
ACN(GeS <sub>2</sub> -Ga <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> -BaS)	2.22			190 (0.6), 2.2 (1.25)		65
XT(GeS <sub>2</sub> -Ga <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> )	2.19			300 (0.6), 2.7 (1.25)		65
As <sub>40</sub> S <sub>57</sub> Se <sub>3</sub>	2.5 (0.656)		140		THG (1.9 ~ 2.2)	70, 85, 87
Na <sub>2</sub> S-GeS <sub>2</sub>	2.03 ~ 2.08 (1.9)		~50		THG (1.9)	84
(PbS, Ag <sub>2</sub> S, Na <sub>2</sub> S)-La <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	2.13 ~ 2.41 (0.633)		11.1 ~ 32.4		THG (1.9)	86
Ge-As-Se-Te	2.50 (10.6)	140 (1.3)				88
Ge-As-S-Se	2.41 (10.6)	35 (1.55)				88
As-Sc-Sb-Sn	2.80 (10.6)	150 (1.33)				88
Ge-Sb-Se	2.60 (10.6)	80 (1.3)				88
GeS <sub>2</sub> -Ga <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> -CsI-Ag <sub>2</sub> S	1.99 ~ 2.16 (0.633)	165 ~ 750			Z (0.705)	89
As <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>		250			Z (1.00)	90
GeSe <sub>4</sub>		800			Z (1.06)	90
Ge <sub>10</sub> As <sub>10</sub> Se <sub>80</sub>		1020			Z (1.06)	90

THG, third harmonic generation; DFWM, degenerate four-wave mixing; Z, z scan; KE, optical Kerr effect.

(Adapted from: Glasses for photonics, M. Yamane and Y. Asahara, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000)

If a complex non-linear susceptibility is introduced:

$$\chi^{(3)} = \text{Re } \chi^{(3)} - i \text{Im } \chi^{(3)}$$

the imaginary part of  $\chi^{(3)}$  contributes to a change in the absorption coefficient, such that  $\alpha$  becomes a function of the light intensity:

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 + \beta I$$

where  $\beta$  is the non-linear absorption coefficient:


$$\beta = (32 \pi^2 \omega / c^2 n_0^2) \text{Im } \chi^{(3)}$$

In this case, non-linearities are predominantly at frequencies above the electronic absorption edge and are thus called *resonant*.


The values of  $\chi^{(3)}$  are usually measured by degenerate four-wave mixing (DFWM), by the Maker fringe method (THG method), or by the Z-scan method.

The third order non-linearity ( $\chi^{(3)}$ ) may be explored in phase conjugate mirrors, in Mach-Zehnder interferometer pulse selectors or in Fabry-Perot interferometers filled with a non-linear medium. The optical Kerr effect can also be used in optical switches.


# NLO devices




(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

Standard nonlinear optical devices and their response to optical power, (a) Mach-Zehnder interferometer – pulse selector. (b) Nonlinear directional coupler. (c) Fabry-Pérot interferometer – bistable switching and (d) Optical Kerr shutter.

(Adapted from: *Glasses for photonics*, M. Yamane and Y. Asahara, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1960)

## Non-linear response time

The measured response times of non-resonant NLO glasses have been measured between 100 fs and 1 ps.

The main practical application of non-resonant glasses is in ultra-fast all-optical switches, e.g., ChG fiber switches.

*Nonlinear response times of nonresonant glass materials*

Glass	$\lambda$ (nm)	$\chi^{(3)}$ (esu)	$n_2''$ (cm <sup>2</sup> /W)	$\tau$ (ps)	Ref.
SF59	650	$2.6 \sim 3.7 \times 10^{-14}$		0.17	53
SF59	1060		$7 \times 10^{-15}$	1	55
As <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	1319	$1.2 \times 10^{-11}$	$4.2 \times 10^{-14}$	5	83
As <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	1552		$2 \times 10^{-14}$	0.1	92
Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Glass	810	$5.8 \sim 9.3 \times 10^{-12}$		0.2	70
As <sub>20</sub> S <sub>80</sub>	810			0.2	87

(Adapted from: *Glasses for photonics*, M. Yamane and Y. Asahara, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000)