

STATISTICAL DECISION THEORY - VI LINEAR MODELS AND DECISIONS

HYPOTHESIS TESTING

$$A = \{A_0, A_1\}$$

$d$  A NONRANDOMIZED DECISION RULE  $\Rightarrow d^{-1}(A_1) = W \subset X$  AND  $d^{-1}(A_0) = W^c = X - W$

THAT IS,  $d$  IS COMPLETELY DETERMINED BY A REGION  $W \subset X$ ,  $W$  IS CALLED A TEST, OR A CRITICAL REGION

$P_\theta\{W\}$ , THE POWER FUNCTION CORRESPONDING TO THE TEST  $W$ , = THE PROBABILITY THAT  $X$  WILL FALL IN  $W$  GIVEN  $\theta$

$$R(\theta, W) = (1 - P_\theta\{W\})L(\theta, A_0) + P_\theta\{W\}L(\theta, A_1) \\ = L(\theta, A_0) + P_\theta\{W\}[L(\theta, A_1) - L(\theta, A_0)]$$

CLASSICALLY, THE LOSS FUNCTION IS ASSUMED TO HAVE A SPECIAL FORM:

FOR SOME  $\theta_0, \theta_1$  WITH  $\theta_0 \cap \theta_1 = \emptyset$  AND  $\theta = \theta_0 \cup \theta_1$ ,

$$L(\theta, A_0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{IF } \theta \in \theta_1 \\ 0 & \text{IF } \theta \in \theta_0 \end{cases} \\ L(\theta, A_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{IF } \theta \in \theta_0 \\ 0 & \text{IF } \theta \in \theta_1 \end{cases}$$

IN SUCH A FRAMEWORK, THE TERMINOLOGY HAS ARISEN OF CALLING  $H_0: \theta \in \theta_0$  THE NULL HYPOTHESIS AND

$H_1: \theta \in \theta_1$  THE ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS. ONE OF THESE DISJOINT HYPOTHESES IS TRUE, WITH A LOSS OF ONE

FOR AN INCORRECT SELECTION, AND A LOSS OF ZERO FOR A CORRECT CHOICE,  $A_0$  IS CONSIDERED AS

THE ACTION "ACCEPT  $H_0$ " AND  $A_1$  THE ACTION "ACCEPT  $H_1$ " OR "REJECT  $H_0$ "

REJECT  $H_0$  WHEN TRUE = TYPE I ERROR ACCEPT  $H_0$  WHEN FALSE = TYPE II ERROR

IN SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES, RANDOMIZED DECISION RULES ARE MESSY, BUT THEY CAN BE REPLACED BY THE EQUIVALENT

CLASS OF BEHAVIORAL DECISION RULES  $\{\phi \mid \phi: X \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$ , WITH ACTION  $A_1$ , TAKEN WITH PROBABILITY  $\phi(x)$

AND ACTION  $A_0$  WITH PROBABILITY  $(1 - \phi(x))$ , FOR  $X = x \in X$

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{IF } x \in W \\ 0 & \text{IF } x \notin W \end{cases} \text{ CORRESPONDS TO A NONRANDOMIZED RULE}$$

$$R(\theta, \phi) = L(\theta, A_0) + E_\theta[\phi(X)][L(\theta, A_1) - L(\theta, A_0)]$$

A TEST  $\phi$  OF  $H_0: \theta \in \theta_0$  AGAINST  $H_1: \theta \in \theta_1$  IS SAID TO HAVE SIZE  $\alpha$  IF  $\sup_{\theta \in \theta_0} E_\theta[\phi(X)] = \alpha$  [PROB TYPE I ERROR]

[NOTE IMPLICIT AND CRUDE SUBJECTIVE CONSIDERATIONS OF LOSS FUNCTION IN CHOICE OF  $\alpha$ ]

A TEST  $\phi_0$  IS SAID TO BE UNIFORMLY MOST POWERFUL (UMP) OF SIZE  $\alpha$  FOR TESTING  $H_0: \theta \in \theta_0$  AGAINST  $H_1: \theta \in \theta_1$

IF  $\phi_0$  IS OF SIZE  $\alpha$  AND IF FOR ANY OTHER TEST  $\phi$  OF SIZE AT MOST  $\alpha$

$$E_\theta[\phi_0(x)] \geq E_\theta[\phi(x)] \text{ FOR } \forall \theta \in \theta_1 \text{ [i.e., MINIMUM } \beta = \text{PROB TYPE II ERROR]}$$

[POWER OF A TEST =  $1 - \beta$ ]

THE NEYMAN-PEARSON LEMMA PROVIDES A WAY OF FINDING UMP TESTS IN THE SPECIAL CASE  $\theta = \{\theta_1, \theta_2\}$ , AND IS

SOMETIMES USEFUL FOR THE GENERAL CASE, BUT, GENERALLY, UMP TESTS EXIST ONLY IN SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES

$\phi$  A TEST OF SIZE  $\alpha$  OF  $H_0: \theta \in \theta_0$  AGAINST  $H_1: \theta \in \theta_1$  IS UNBIASED IF  $E_\theta[\phi(X)] \geq \alpha \forall \theta \in \theta_0$

i.e., IF THE PROBABILITY OF REJECTING  $H_0$  WHEN FALSE IS NEVER SMALLER THAN THE PROBABILITY OF REJECTING  $H_0$  WHEN TRUE

UMP UNBIASED TESTS OFTEN DO EXIST [THAT IS, UMP AMONG UNBIASED TESTS]