Semaphorin3d mediates Cx43-dependent phenotypes during fin regeneration
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A B S T R A C T

Gap junctions are proteinaceous channels that reside at the plasma membrane and permit the exchange of ions, metabolites, and second messengers between neighboring cells. Connexin proteins are the subunits of gap junction channels. Mutations in zebrafish cx43 cause the short fin (sof) phenotype which is characterized by short fins due to defects in length of the bony fin rays. Previous findings from our lab demonstrate that CX43 is required for both cell proliferation and joint formation during fin regeneration. Here we demonstrate that semaphorin3d (sem3d) functions downstream of Cx43. Semas are secreted signaling molecules that have been implicated in diverse cellular functions such as cell migration, cell proliferation, and gene expression. We suggest that Sema3d mediates the Cx43-dependent functions on cell proliferation and joint formation. Using both in situ hybridization and quantitative RT-PCR, we validated that sema3d expression depends on CX43 activity. Next, we found that knockdown of Sema3d recapitulates all of the sofb123 phenotype which is characterized by reduced fin length, reduced segment length, and reduced cell proliferation phenotypes observed in the sofb123 mutant. Of these, mphp2a, plxna1, and plxna3 are expressed in the regenerating fin. Morpholino-mediated knockdown of mphp2a caused fin overgrowth and increased cell proliferation, but did not influence joint formation. Moreover, morpholino-mediated knockdown of plxna3 caused short segments, influencing joint formation, but did not alter cell proliferation. Together, our findings reveal that Sema3d functions in a common molecular pathway with Cx43. Furthermore, functional evaluation of putative Sema3d receptors suggests that Cx43-dependent cell proliferation and joint formation utilize independent membrane-bound receptors to mediate downstream cellular phenotypes.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Connexins are the subunits of gap junction channels that direct cell-cell communication of ions and metabolites (≤ 1200 Da). Each connexin is a four-pass transmembrane spanning domain protein. Six connexins oligomerize to form a hemichannel, or connexon. Two connexons dock at the plasma membrane of adjacent cells to form a complete gap junction channel. Gap junction intercellular communication (GJIC) contributes to numerous developmental processes, including skeletogenesis. For example, mutations in human CX43 result in oculodentodigital dysplasia (ODDD, Paznekas et al., 2003). ODDD is an autosomal dominant disease causing craniofacial bone deformities and limb abnormalities (Paznekas et al., 2003; Flenniken et al., 2005). Skeletal defects in the CX43−/− knockout mouse include delayed ossification of the axial and craniofacial skeletons (Lecanda et al., 2000). However, the underlying mechanisms by which CX43-based GJIC leads to skeletal disease phenotypes are largely unknown.

Importantly, the function of CX43 in skeletal morphogenesis is conserved. Indeed, our lab has found that mutations in zebrafish cx43 cause the short fin (sof) phenotype (lovine et al., 2005). The sof allele is characterized by defects in the length of the bony fin ray segments, leading to short fins. The sof allele exhibits reduced cx43 mRNA levels without a lesion in the coding sequence (lovine et al., 2005). However, three additional alleles generated by non-complementation express missense mutations that cause reduced GJIC (Hoptak-Solga et al., 2007). During fin regeneration, the cx43 mRNA is up-regulated in the population of dividing cells. Indeed, all four sof alleles exhibit reduced levels of cell proliferation in addition to short segments (Hoptak-Solga et al., 2008). Furthermore, morpholino-mediated cx43 knockdown completely recapitulates the reduced fin length, reduced segment length, and reduced cell proliferation phenotypes observed in the
sof alleles (Hoptak-Solga et al., 2008). Together, these data reveal that reduced mRNA expression (sof<sup>b123</sup>), reduced protein expression (sof<sup>b123</sup> and morpholino-mediated knockdown), or reduced Cx43-based GJIC (three missense alleles) cause the same set of phenotypes. Thus, we refer to any loss of Cx43 function as a loss of Cx43 activity.

Given the observation that any loss of Cx43 activity leads to both reduced cell proliferation and short segments, it may be natural to speculate that reduced cell proliferation causes short segments. However, reduced signaling via the Shh or Fgf1 signaling pathways also causes reduced cell proliferation and reduced fin length, but does not influence segment length (Qint et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2005). Thus, reducing the level of cell proliferation is not sufficient to impact segment length. We suggest instead that Cx43 plays an additional role in the regulation of segment length, perhaps by regulating joint formation and/or bone growth and skeletal patterning.

Our analyses of the global changes in gene expression occurring downstream of Cx43-based GJIC influences gene expression (Stains et al., 2003). To identify how gap junctions influence tangible cellular outcomes such as cell proliferation and cell differentiation? One hypothesis is that Cx43-dependent genes. Here we provide molecular and functional validation of one gene identified by this microarray.

A long-standing question with regard to connexin mutations is, how do gap junctions influence tangible cellular outcomes such as cell proliferation and cell differentiation? One hypothesis is that Cx43-based GJIC influences gene expression (Stains et al., 2003). To identify global changes in gene expression occurring downstream of Cx43, we utilized a novel microarray strategy. We focused on the subset of genes both down-regulated in sof<sup>b123</sup> and up-regulated in alf<sup>dy86</sup> to enable the identification of Cx43-dependent genes. Here we provide molecular and functional validation of one gene identified by this microarray.

Semaphorin acts functionally downstream of Cx43 provides tangible insights into how skeletal morphogenesis may be influenced by Cx43 activity.

### Materials and methods

#### Fish maintenance

Zebrafish were raised at constant temperature of 25 °C with 14 light: 10 dark photoperiod (Westerfield, 1993). Wild-type (C32), sof<sup>b123</sup> (Iovine and Johnson, 2000) and alf<sup>dy86</sup> (van Eeden et al., 1996) were used in this study.

#### RNA isolation, fluorescent cRNA synthesis and microarray hybridization

Total RNA of wild-type, sof<sup>b123</sup> and alf<sup>dy86</sup> 5 dpa regenerating fins were extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen, San Diego). RNA quantity and quality were determined by nanodrop and Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent) analyses. Only samples in yield higher than 50 ng/µL RNA, having sharp 28S and 18S rRNA peaks shown in the Bioanalyzer electropherogram, and 260/280 ratios > 1.7 were used. Fluorescent cRNAs were generated using the Agilent Low RNA Input Linear Amplification Kit and Qiang RNA easy mini columns to purify the fluorescent target. Experimental Cy5 labeled samples (alf<sup>dy86</sup> sof<sup>b123</sup>) were competitively hybridized against equal amounts of Cy3 labeled wild-type cRNAs on an Agilent 4×44 K zebrafish 60-mer oligo microarray (G25190F-015064). After washing the microarray was scanned in an Agilent microarray scanner and red (Cy5) and green (Cy3) signal intensities were evaluated and processed with Agilent Feature Extraction software (v 7.5). The relative expression value of a gene for two different samples was represented by base 2 log ratios of the two signal intensities. Further data normalization, transformation and filtering for differential gene expression were performed using Agilent Genespring GX (v7.5).

#### In situ hybridization

Probes were prepared from linear DNA generated from PCR products where the reverse primer contained the binding site for the T7 RNA polymerase (see Table 1 for sequences). Five days post amputation (dpa) regenerating fins from wild-type, sof<sup>b123</sup>, or alf<sup>dy86</sup> were fixed overnight with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS and dehydrated in 100% methanol at −20 °C. Gradual aqueous washes were completed in methanol/PBST. Fins were then treated with 5 µg/ml proteinase K for 45 min and re-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 min. Extensive washes in PBS were followed by prehybridization process in HYB + solution (HYB + solution is 50% formamide, 5 X SSC, 10 mM citric acid, 0.1% Tween20, heparin and tRNA) at 65 °C for 30 min–1 h. Hybridization in the presence of

#### Table 1

**Primer and morpholino sequences.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primers for ISH</th>
<th>Morpholinos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sema3d</td>
<td>F-CAAGTCTATGATGCATTACAGG</td>
<td>MO-TGTCGCCGCTCCTGCGACTCCTCAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RT7-ATATCAGCACTATAAGGCCAGG</td>
<td>5SM-TTGCGCGTGCTCCCCTCCATCTTCTCAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>npi2a</td>
<td>F-CAACAAATACATTCGCAGG</td>
<td>MO-CCAAAATACATTCGCAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RT7-ATATCAGCACTATAAGGCCAGG</td>
<td>5SM-CACAAAATACATTCGCAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phex1</td>
<td>F-AAGTTCCTGCTGCGCAGG</td>
<td>MO-GCCGATATCAGCGACTCCTCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RT7-ATATCAGCACTATAAGGCCAGG</td>
<td>5SM-MCCGAGATATCAGCGACTCCTCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phex3</td>
<td>F-AAGTTCCTCAGGAGGACAC</td>
<td>MO-ATACAGCGAGGCAAGAGACTCCCAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RT7-ATATCAGCACTATAAGGCCAGG</td>
<td>5SM-ATACAGCGAGGCAAGAGACTCCCAGT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The RNA polymerase T7 binding site is underlined in the reverse primers.  
MO—targeting morpholino; 5SM—control morpholino with 5 mismatch pairs to target sequence.
digoxigenin-labeled antisense probes was completed overnight at 65°C. The next day, the fins were washed gradually in HYB to 2X SSC to 0.2X SSC and finally to PBST. Anti-digoxigenin Fab fragments (pre-absorbed against zebrafish tissue) were used at 1:5000 overnight. On day 3, extensive washes in PBST were performed before three short washes in staining buffer (100 mM Tris, 9.5, 50 mM MgCl2, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween20, pH 9.0). Fins were next transferred to staining solution (staining buffer plus NBT and BCIP) and development proceeded until a purple color was observed. For final result, fins were then washed with color, pre-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS and mounted onto microscope slides. Labeled fins were examined on a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope. Images were collected using a digital Nikon camera.

Following whole mount in situ hybridization, fins were embedded in 1.5% agarose/5% sucrose, and equilibrated overnight in 30% sucrose. Fins were mounted in OCT and cryosectioned (18–20 μm sections) using a Reichert–Jung 2800 Frigocut cryostat. Sections were collected on Superfrost Plus slides (Fisher) and mounted in 100% glycerol.

**Morpholino knockdown and electroporation**

Injection and electroporation experiments were performed as described (Thummel et al., 2006; Hoptak-Solga et al., 2008; Sims et al., 2009). Targeting morpholinos were targeted against the start codon and modified with fluorescein (Gene Tools, LLC) to provide a charge and for detection. Sequences for the targeting and control morpholinos can be found in Table 1.

Adult fish were first anesthetized using Tricaine-S. Fin amputation was performed under a dissecting microscope. At 3 dpa, morpholinos were injected using a Narishige IM 300 Microinjector. Approximately 50 nl of morpholino (i.e. targeting or control 5 MM morpholinos) was injected per ray (5–6 fin rays per fin, the other rays were uninjected control). Immediately following injection, both dorsal and ventral halves were electroporated using a CUY21 Square Wave Electroporator (Protech International, Inc.). The following parameters were used: ten 50-ms pulses of 15 V with a 1 s pause between pulses. At 24 hpe (hours post electroporation), success was evaluated by monitoring fluorescein uptake under fluorescence microscope. Fins were harvested either at 1 dpe for H3P detection and for qRT-PCR or at 4 dpe for ZNS5 detection. Three to five fins were injected per morpholino (i.e. targeting or mismatch); the un-injected side served as an independent control. Each morpholino was tested in at least three independent experiments to ensure reproducibility. The graphs in Fig. 2 are based combined data from two comparable experiments (n=7). Statistical significance was determined using the student’s t-test (p < 0.05).

**Immunofluorescence**

Fins were harvested after morpholino knockdown experiments (for ZNS5 detection staining, fins were harvested 4 dpe; for H3P detection staining, fins were harvested 1 dpe). Fins were then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 2 h at RT and then dehydrated in methanol. During processing, fins were washed gradually in methanol/PBS followed by 3 washes in block solution (50 ml PBS, 1 g BSA, 250 μl triton). Either the mouse ZNS5 (Zebrafish International Resource Center: http://zebrafish.org/zirc/home/guide.php, 1:200) or the rabbit antibody against histone-3-phosphate (anti-H3P, Millipore, 1:100) were incubated with fins overnight at 4°C. Next day, antibodies were removed and fins were washed in block solution 3 × 10 min. Secondary antibodies (i.e. anti-mouse Alexa 488 for ZNS5 detection or anti-rabbit Alexa 546 for H3P detection) were diluted in 1:200 blocking solution and incubated overnight at 4°C. Following 3 × 10 min treatment in blocking solution, fins were washed in PBS and then mounted onto slides in glycerol. Labeled fins were examined on a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope. Images were collected using a digital Nikon camera.

**Measurements**

Fins were imaged on a Nikon SMZ1500 dissecting microscope at 4X (regenerate length) or 10X (segment length or the number of dividing cells). Photographs were taken using a Nikon DXM1200 digital camera. For regenerate length, segment length, and the number of dividing cells, all measurements were taken from only the longest fin rays (i.e. the third ray from either the dorsal or ventral end) since that was previously established as a standard (Iovine and Johnson, 2000). Student’s t-tests were performed in Excel to determine if experimental conditions were significantly different from control conditions.

Regenerate and segment length was measured using ImagePro software. Fin ray length was measured from the amputation plane (clearly visible in bright field) to the end of the fin. Segment length is measured as the distance between two joints where joints are identified (i.e. and clearly distinguished from breaks) following ZNS5 staining (Sims et al., 2009).

The mitotic cells were first detected by H3P staining as described above (i.e. Histone-3 is phosphorylated on Ser10 only during mitosis, Wei et al., 1999). H3P positive cells were counted from within the distal-most 250 μm of each ray (Hoptak-Solga et al., 2008).

**qRT-PCR analysis**

qRT-PCR analysis was performed as described (Sims et al., 2009). In brief, Trizol reagent was used to isolate mRNA from 5 dpa wild-type, sema3d (sof b123), or alfαδty86 regenerating fins and 1 dpe (i.e. cx43-knockdown fins) (5 fins per pool). First strand cDNA was synthesized using oligodT (12–15) and reverse transcriptase. Dilution of template cDNA (1:10) was prepared. Oligos flanking introns were designed for sema3d (F-5’TGGATGAGGACAGAAGGCG-GAT GAT C-3’T; R-S’CGAGGCAGCTCAACATTITTTT 3’) using Primer Express software (primers for cx43 and keratin4 can be found in Sims et al., 2009). The sema3d, cx43, and keratin4 amplions were amplified independently using the Power SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems). Samples were run in triplicate on the ABI7300 Real Time PCR system and the average cycle number (Ct) was determined for each amplion. Delta Ct (ΔCt) values represent normalized sema3d levels with respect to keratin4, the internal control. The relative level of gene expression was determined using the delta delta Ct (ΔΔCt) method (i.e. 2^−ΔΔCt). A minimum of three trials were run to ensure the reproducibility of the results.

**Results**

**Sema3d functions downstream of cx43**

We completed a novel microarray strategy designed to identify genes acting downstream of cx43. We took advantage of our findings that cx43 expression is reduced in sof b123 and increased in alfαδty86 in order to identify a group of genes that are both down-regulated when cx43 is down-regulated (i.e. in sof b123) and up-regulated when cx43 is up-regulated (i.e. in alfαδty86). Importantly, the cx43 gene is found among the top 50 genes identified using this strategy, strongly suggesting that this approach identified relevant genes of interest (supplemental data, Table S1). Another gene found in the top half of the microarray was the secreted semaphorin gene, sema3d. Given the importance of semaphorins
in a diversity of signaling pathways, we were intrigued at the possibility that Sema3d signaling mediates Cx43 activities.

In order to validate sema3d as a downstream target of cx43, we first examined sema3d expression in wild-type, sof^{b123} and alf^{dry86} regenerating fins by whole mount in situ hybridization. As anticipated, sema3d mRNA expression appeared down-regulated in sof^{b123} and up-regulated in alf^{dry86} (Fig. 1). Next, we determined the tissue-specific expression of sema3d as revealed by cryosectioning. The outer cell layers of the fin are epidermis; the basal layer of the epidermis is identified as a row of cuboidal-shaped cells closest to the mesenchymal compartment. Within the mesenchyme, the skeletal precursor cells (i.e. pre-osteoblasts and pre-joint-forming cells) are located laterally. The regeneration blastema, the specialized population of dividing cells contributing to new fin outgrowth, is medially adjacent to the skeletal precursors. This population of cells up-regulates cx43 expression during fin regeneration (Hoptak-Solga et al., 2008). In contrast, cryosectioning of stained sema3d-positive fins revealed that sema3d is expressed in both the lateral skeletal precursor cells and in the lateral basal layer of the epidermis (Fig. 1). Since sema3d expression is not up-regulated in the cx43-positive cells, sema3d appears not to be a direct target of Cx43 activity.

To confirm the observed qualitative differences in sema3d expression we performed quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). We find that sema3d is reduced in sof^{b123} and increased in alf^{dry86} (Table 2). Moreover, we find that sema3d expression is reduced in wild-type fins treated for cx43-knockdown, providing independent evidence that sema3d expression is influenced by Cx43 activity. Together, these data support the hypothesis that sema3d expression is regulated by the level of Cx43.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Trial 1</th>
<th>Trial 2</th>
<th>Trial 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sof^{b123}</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alf^{dry86}</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cx43-KD fins</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fold-difference with respect to wild-type is shown for each of three trials.

To provide additional evidence that sema3d functions in a common pathway with cx43, we next attempted to rescue the joint formation phenotype of alf^{dry86}. Indeed, sema3d knockdown rescued the joint failure phenotype of alf^{dry86}, causing reduced segment length (Fig. 2D). Until now, only reduced cx43 function has been associated with segment length phenotypes and with rescue of joint formation in alf^{dry86}. Therefore, the finding that sema3d knockdown caused short segments in wild-type and rescued segment length in alf^{dry86} is striking. Together these data indicate that cx43 and sema3d function in a common pathway to regulate cell proliferation and joint formation. Thus, Sema3d signaling mediates Cx43-specific effects.

Identification of putative Sema3d receptors

Neuropilins (Nrps) and Plexins (Plxns) are likely receptors for Semaphorin signaling (reviewed in Zhou et al., 2008). Indeed, Nrps and Plxns may hetero-oligomerize to transduce Sema signals. Nrps are believed to bind Semas directly (although Plxns also contain a sema domain), but have a very short intracellular domain that may not be sufficient to transduce intracellular signals. Plxns, on the other hand, have an extensive intracellular domain (reviewed in Zhou et al., 2008). Since both Nrps and Plxns are the best known receptors for Semas, we initiated a candidate gene search of these gene families. The zebrafish genome contains 4 neuropilin (nrp) genes (nrp1a, nrp1b, nrp2a, and nrp2b, Yu et al., 2004). In addition, Plexins in the A and D families are candidate receptors for secreted Semas (Zhou et al., 2008). The zebrafish genome contains plexina1...
(plxna1), plxna3, plxna4, and plxnd1. Of these 8 candidate genes, only npr2a, plxna1, and plxna3 appear to be expressed in regenerating fins by in situ hybridization (Fig. 4). The expression of npr2a appears mainly in the blastema, perhaps more heavily localized distally. The distal-most blastema has been proposed to regulate fin outgrowth during regeneration (Nechiporuk and Keating, 2002). There is also apparent staining in the skeletal precursor cells, and sporadic but strong staining in individual cells of the outer layers of the epithelium. The identity of these cells is not known. The plxna1 gene is expressed primarily in the distal blastema and also in the distal basal layer of the epidermis. In contrast, plxna3 appears to be expressed primarily in the skeletal precursor cells and throughout the medial compartment of the regenerate.

PlxnA3 and Nrp2a mediate independent Sema3d functions

Next we completed functional analyses to determine which, if any, of these receptors contribute to the Cx43-Sema3d pathway. Receptors that mediate Sema3d function are expected to exhibit similar knockdown phenotypes as cx43 and sema3d. However, knockdown of plxna1 did not appear to influence either cell proliferation or joint formation (Figs. 2 and 3), suggesting that PlxnA1 does not participate in Cx43-Sema3d-dependent skeletal morphogenesis. In contrast, knockdown of plxna3 caused short segments (Fig. 2C and Fig. 3) but had no effect on cell proliferation (Fig. 2B). There is some influence of plxna3 knockdown on fin length, as the length of the regenerate was statistically shorter than the controls (Fig. 2A). Since there was no effect on cell proliferation, we conclude that the small plxna3-dependent effect on fin length is due to its influence on segment length, and not on fin growth. To provide further support for the functional relationship between PlxnA3 and cx43-dependent joint formation, we evaluated the effect of plxna3-knockdown in alfβ2γ6 regenerating fins. As anticipated, plxna3-knockdown rescued the joint formation phenotype, recapitulating the cx43- and sema3d-knockdown effects (Fig. 2D). These data suggest that PlxnA3 contributes to Sema3d-mediated joint formation. Therefore, we have now identified a third gene (i.e. plxna3), predicted to function downstream of Cx43-Sema3d, whose function is required for appropriate joint formation.

Knockdown of npr2a caused increased fin growth and increased cell proliferation (Fig. 2A and B and Fig. 3), suggesting that signaling via Nrp2a negatively influences cell division. There was no effect on segment length following npr2a gene knockdown (Fig. 2C), indicating that Nrp2a signaling does not mediate Sema3d effects on joint formation. Since knockdown of cx43 and sema3d both cause reduced growth and reduced cell proliferation, it was anticipated that Nrp2a knockdown would similarly cause reduced growth and cell proliferation. Since this was not the case, we suggest instead that Sema3d binding to the Nrp2a receptor inactivates its activity, thereby positively regulating cell division by inhibiting a negative signal. We attempted to provide evidence for this hypothesis by evaluating Nrp2a knockdown in alfβ2γ6 fins, which express less sema3d (Fig. 1 and Table 2). For example, if Sema3d is required to block the effects of Nrp2a signaling, then the increase in cell proliferation associated with Nrp2a...
knockdown should be attenuated when Sema3d is reduced, as in sofb123. This is what we find. Nrp2a knockdown in wild-type regenerating fins causes a 30% increase in dividing cells, while Nrp2a knockdown in sofb123 regenerating fins has no effect on the number of dividing cells (Fig. 5). Further studies will be required to demonstrate unequivocally that Sema3d acts as a ligand for Nrp2a. However, our current findings provide support for the conclusion that Sema3d can mediate negative regulation of Nrp2a and thereby promote cell proliferation. Note that the observed Nrp2a effects may be mediated in conduction with an as yet unidentified Plxn co-receptor since Nrps appear not to encode intracellular signaling domains.

Together, our analyses of the plxna1, plxna3, and nrp2a genes suggest that Nrp2a and PlxnA3 mediate Sema3d-dependent events, while PlxnA1 does not appear to function in Sema3d-mediated events. Moreover, we suggest that Nrp2a and PlxnA3 mediate distinct Cx43- and Sema3d-dependent phenotypes, where Nrp2a mediates the Cx43-dependent effects on cell proliferation and PlexinA3 mediates the Cx43-dependent effects on joint formation.
predict a specific receptor complement/pathway for Sema3d. It is therefore not possible to interact with a variety of receptors in order to mediate a diversity of downstream cellular events. Thus, Sema3d appears to function. However, it is also not difficult to envision how Sema3d signaling could be responsible for mediating multiple independent signaling events during fin regeneration.

The finding that Sema3d functions downstream of Cx43 is supported by multiple independent lines of evidence. First, the Sema3d gene exhibits differential expression in sofb123 and alfδ6δ8δ9 regenerating fins by in situ hybridization and by qRT-PCR. Second, cx43-knockdown in wild-type fins is sufficient to reduce Sema3d gene expression. Third, we provide functional evidence that Sema3d acts downstream of cx43 since Sema3d-knockdown recapitulates all of the cx43-dependent phenotypes, including rescue of joint formation in alfδ6δ8δ9. Thus, Sema3d is both molecularly and functionally downstream of Cx43. Moreover, we identify two putative Sema3d receptors, Nrp2a and PlxnA3. Remarkably, these receptors appear to independently mediate Cx43-Sema3d-dependent cell proliferation and joint formation. The described functional analyses for Sema3d and its putative receptors utilized translation-blocking morpholinos. Since antibodies are not currently available, we are unable to demonstrate that protein translation of the targets is inhibited following morpholino-mediated gene knockdown. However, the specificity of Sema3d- and plxnA3-knockdown to Cx43-dependent phenotypes is provided by our findings that Sema3d- and plxnA3-knockdown both cause short segments and also rescue joint failure in alfδ6δ8δ9 (i.e. prior to this report, these findings were specific for cx43 mutations or knockdown). Similarly, the finding that sofb123 abrogates the effects of nRP2a-knockdown provides specificity for the role of Nrp2a in Cx43-Sema3d-dependent cell proliferation. We did not observe Cx43-dependent phenotypes following plxnA1-knockdown. However, until we can demonstrate that the PlxnA1 protein has been successfully reduced, we cannot formally rule out the possibility that PlxnA1 also contributes to Sema3d signaling events.

Based on our current and published findings (summarized in Table 3), we suggest the following model for Cx43 activity during fin regeneration (Fig. 6). Prior studies from our lab have shown that Cx43 both promotes cell proliferation and suppresses joint formation (Hoptak-Solga et al., 2008; Sims et al., 2009). Here we find that Sema3d signaling contributes to these Cx43-dependent activities in a pathway that bifurcates after Sema3d (Fig. 6A). Indeed, functional analyses of Cx43 and Sema3d provide evidence that cell proliferation and joint formation are coupled, while functional analyses of the putative Sema3d receptors

**Fig. 4.** Gene expression of candidate receptors for Sema3d. Left: expression of nrp2a is primarily located in the distal blastema and in skeletal precursor cells. Staining of individual cells of the outer epithelial cells is also observed (*). Middle: expression of plxnA1 is primarily in the distal blastema and in the distal cells of the basal epidermis. Right: expression of plxnA3 is located in both the skeletal precursor cells and in the blastema. Arrows identify the basal layer of the epidermis (ble), arrowheads identify skeletal precursor cells.

**Fig. 5.** Nrp2a-knockdown effects are abrogated in sofb123. Nrp2a-mediated gene knockdown causes an increase in cell proliferation when Sema3d is present at typical levels. In sofb123, where Sema3d expression is reduced, Nrp2a is unable to enhance the level of cell proliferation. MO, gene-targeting morpholino. MM, 5 mismatch/control morpholino.

**Discussion**

Much of what is known about sema3d has been determined during development of the central nervous system in zebrafish. In the embryonic nervous system sema3d has been found to exert multiple diverse functions. For example, sema3d may act as an axonal repellent or as an axonal attractant (Wolman et al., 2004). Alternatively, sema3d function can modify cell adhesion via influencing the expression of the adhesion protein L1 (Wolman et al., 2007). Further, sema3d has been found to influence the population of neural crest cells by promoting proliferation (Berndt and Halloran, 2006), and by regulating their migration (Yu and Moens, 2005). It has been suggested that the different functions of sema3d may depend on the receptors expressed on the responding cells. Indeed, depending on the cell-type, sema3d has been found to interact with nrp1a (Wolman et al., 2004), with nrp1a/nrp2b (Wolman et al., 2004), or via nrp-independent mechanisms (Wolman et al., 2007). Thus, Sema3d appears to interact with a variety of receptors in order to mediate a diversity of downstream cellular events. It is therefore not possible to predict a specific receptor complement/pathway for Sema3d function. However, it is also not difficult to envision how Sema3d signaling could be responsible for mediating multiple independent signaling events during fin regeneration.
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant/morphant</th>
<th>cx43</th>
<th>sema3d</th>
<th>Fin length</th>
<th>Segment length</th>
<th>Cell proliferation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| alf(+/+)
| alf(+/−) | high | high | long | long | increased |
| cx43-KD | low | low | short | short | reduced |
| sema3a-KD | n/c | low | short | short | reduced |
| plxnA3-KD | n/d | n/d | short | short | n/c |
| nrp2a-KD | n/d | n/d | long | n/c | increased |

Changes in cx43 and sema3d gene expression were evaluated by qRT-PCR. All knockdowns (KD) listed were completed in wild-type regenerating fins. No change (n/c); not done (n/d).

Fig. 6. Model of how Cx43-Sema3d influences skeletal morphology. (A) Proposed pathway of Cx43-Sema3d and downstream receptors (text colors are coordinated with the cartoon in B). Cx43 activity in the dividing cells influences sema3d gene expression in the lateral skeletal precursors and basal layer of the epidermis. Secreted Sema3d promotes cell proliferation (dotted arrow) in the cx43-positive compartment by inhibiting a negative signal from Nrpa2a in the distal blastema. Sema3d suppresses joint formation in the skeletal precursor cells by its interaction with Plxna3. (B) Cartoon illustrating the compartments of gene expression in the cx43-Sema3d pathway (e, epithelium; m, mesenchyme; basal layer of the epidermis is dotted). The cx43 mRNA is up-regulated in the blastema (red), adjacent to the sema3d-positive cells in the skeletal precursor cells and in the lateral basal layer of the epidermis (green). Cx43-dependent up-regulation of sema3d in the lateral compartment allows secreted Sema3d to signal back to the blastema via Nrpa2a (yellow), relieving the Nrpa2a inhibition of cell proliferation. Sema3d signaling via Plxna3 in the skeletal precursor cells (blue circles) inhibits joint formation in the skeletal precursor cells, perhaps by influencing osteoblast/joint-forming cell differentiation.

Conclusions

The identification of Sema3d acting downstream of Cx43 provides tangible insights into how cellular outcomes are coupled in order to coordinate bone growth with skeletal patterning. We find that the Cx43-Sema3d pathway diverges via distinct receptors to influence two cellular outcomes: cell proliferation and joint formation. Continued validation of additional genes identified by our microarray will fill the gaps of molecular events occurring both between Cx43 activity and Sema3d signaling as well as events occurring downstream of the putative Sema3d receptors that mediate changes in cell division and joint formation.
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