

TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF SOME POLYGON SPACES

DONALD M. DAVIS

ABSTRACT. Let $\overline{M}_{n,r}$ denote the space of isometry classes of n -gons in the plane with one side of length r and all others of length 1, and assume that $n - r$ is not an odd integer. Using known results about the mod-2 cohomology ring, we prove that its topological complexity satisfies $\mathrm{TC}(\overline{M}_{n,r}) \geq 2n - 6$. Since $\overline{M}_{n,r}$ is an $(n - 3)$ -manifold, $\mathrm{TC}(\overline{M}_{n,r}) \leq 2n - 5$. So our result is within 1 of optimal. Similarly, and with much less effort, we prove that, if $n - r$ is not an odd integer, $\mathrm{TC}(N_{n,r}) = 2n - 5$, where $N_{n,r}$ denotes the space of $(1, \dots, 1, r)$ - n -gons in \mathbb{R}^3 , mod the action of $SO(3)$.

1. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

The topological complexity, $\mathrm{TC}(X)$, of a topological space X is, roughly, the number of rules required to specify how to move between any two points of X . A “rule” must be such that the choice of path varies continuously with the choice of endpoints. (See [3, §4].) We study $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ where $X = \overline{M}_{n,r}$ (resp. $N_{n,r}$) is the space of isometry (resp. oriented isometry) classes of n -gons in the plane (resp. \mathbb{R}^3) with one side of length r and all others of length 1. (See, e.g., [7, §9].) Here r is a real number satisfying $0 < r < n - 1$, and $n \geq 4$. Thus

$$\overline{M}_{n,r} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in (S^1)^n : z_1 + \dots + z_{n-1} + rz_n = 0\}/O(2)$$

and

$$N_{n,r} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in (S^2)^n : z_1 + \dots + z_{n-1} + rz_n = 0\}/SO(3).$$

If we think of the sides of the polygon as linked arms of a robot, we might prefer, instead of $\overline{M}_{n,r}$, the space $M_{n,r}$, in which we identify only under rotation, and not also under reflection. However, the cohomology algebra of $\overline{M}_{n,r}$ is better understood than that of $M_{n,r}$, leading to better bounds on TC.

Date: July 2, 2015.

Key words and phrases. Topological complexity, polygon spaces.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 58D29, 55R80, 70G40 .

If r is a positive real number, then $\overline{M}_{n,r}$ is a connected $(n-3)$ -manifold unless $n-r$ is an odd integer (e.g., [7, p.314] or [9, p.2]), and hence satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \text{TC}(\overline{M}_{n,r}) \leq 2n - 5$$

by [3, Cor 4.15].¹ Similarly, $N_{n,r}$ is a simply-connected $2(n-3)$ -manifold unless $n-r$ is an odd integer ([10]) and hence satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \text{TC}(N_{n,r}) \leq 2n - 5$$

by [3, Thm 4.16]. By [6, 6.2], if, for an integer k , $n - 2k - 1 < r < n - 2k + 1$, then $\overline{M}_{n,r}$ is diffeomorphic to $\overline{M}_{n,n-2k}$, and so we restrict our discussion to the latter spaces, and similarly for $N_{n,r}$.

In this paper, we obtain the following strong lower bound for $\text{TC}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k})$ followed by a sharp result for $\text{TC}(N_{n,n-2k})$.

Theorem 1.3. *If $2 < 2k < n$, then $\text{TC}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k}) \geq 2n - 6$.*

This result is within 1 of being optimal, using (1.1). The case $k = 1$ is special, as $\overline{M}_{n,n-2}$ is homeomorphic to real projective space RP^{n-3} , for which the topological complexity agrees with the immersion dimension, a much-studied concept, but not yet completely determined. See, e.g., [5], [1], or [8]. In fact, there are often large gaps between the upper and lower bounds for $\text{TC}(RP^{n-3})$. ([2])

Theorem 1.4. *If $2 \leq 2k < n$, then $\text{TC}(N_{n,n-2k}) \geq 2n - 5$ (and hence $\text{TC}(N_{n,n-2k}) = 2n - 5$ by (1.2)).*

The proof of Theorem 1.3 relies on the mod 2 cohomology ring $H^*(\overline{M}_{n,r}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, first described in [7], while the proof of Theorem 1.4 uses the integral cohomology ring $H^*(N_{n,r}; \mathbb{Z})$, also determined in [7]. Throughout the paper, all cohomology groups involving $\overline{M}_{n,r}$ have coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 , while those related to $N_{n,r}$ have integral coefficients. To prove Theorem 1.3, we will find $2n - 7$ classes $y_i \in H^1(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k})$ such that $\prod(y_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_i) \neq 0$ in $H^{n-3}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k}) \otimes H^{n-4}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k})$, and to prove Theorem 1.4, we will find $2n - 6$ classes $y_i \in H^2(N_{n,n-2k})$ such that $\prod(y_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y_i) \neq 0$

¹If $n - r$ is an odd integer, $\overline{M}_{n,r}$ is often not a manifold but still satisfies $\text{TC}(\overline{M}_{n,r}) \leq 2n - 5$, by [4, Theorem 4]. However, its cohomology algebra is not so well understood in this case, and so we do not study it here.

in $H^{2n-6}(N_{n,n-2k}) \otimes H^{2n-6}(N_{n,n-2k})$. This implies the theorems by the basic result that if in $H^*(X \times X)$ there is an m -fold nonzero product of classes of the form $y_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y_i$, then $\text{TC}(X) \geq m + 1$. ([3, Cor 4.40]) We show at the end of the paper that our cohomology result for $\overline{M}_{n,n-2k}$ is optimal, in that $(2n - 6)$ -fold products of $(y_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_i)$ are always 0. Thus, since the result for $N_{n,n-2k}$ is clearly optimal, we will have proved the following result. (See [4] for the definition.)

Theorem 1.5. *If $2 < 2k < n$, the zero-divisors-cup-length of $H^*(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k})$ equals $2n - 7$, while, if $2 \leq 2k < n$, that of $H^*(N_{n,n-2k})$ equals $2n - 6$.*

2. PROOF FOR $N_{n,n-2k}$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4. We begin by stating our interpretation of the cohomology ring $H^*(N_{n,n-2k})$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $k \geq 1$ and $n > 2k$.*

- (1) *The algebra $H^*(N_{n,n-2k})$ is generated by classes R, V_1, \dots, V_{n-1} in $H^2(N_{n,n-2k})$.*
- (2) *The product of k distinct V_i 's is 0.*
- (3) *If $d \leq n - 3$ and $S \subset \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ has $|S| < k$, then all monomials $R^{e_0} \prod_{i \in S} V_i^{e_i}$ with $e_i > 0$ for $i \in S$ and $\sum_{i \geq 0} e_i = d$ are equal. We denote this class by $T_{S,d}$. This includes the class $T_{\emptyset,d} = R^d$.*
- (4) *For every subset L of $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ with $n - k \leq |L| \leq d + 1$, there is a relation $\mathcal{R}_{L,d}$ which says*

$$\sum_{S \subset L} (-1)^{|S|} T_{S,d} = 0.$$

These are the only relations, in addition to those previously described.

Proof. A similar statement was given in [7, Theorem 6.4]. The first three parts of our theorem are immediate from the result stated there, although our $T_{S,d}$ notation is new, and our R is the negative of the R in [7]. This turns their relation $V_i^2 + RV_i$ into our equality $V_i^2 = RV_i$. The relations stated in [7] are in the form of an ideal,

whereas we prefer to make a listing of a basic set of relations. The result of [7] says that the relations in $H^*(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k})$ comprise the ideal generated by

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{S \subset L} (-1)^{|S|} T_{S,|L|-1} \text{ for } L \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\} \text{ with } n-k \leq |L| \leq n-2.$$

Multiplying this relation by R^t gives a relation $\sum_{S \subset L} (-1)^{|S|} T_{S,|L|-1+t}$. This yields, in degree d , exactly all of our claimed relations. Additional relations in the ideal can be obtained by multiplying (2.2) by V_ℓ . If $\ell \notin L$, this yields our $\mathcal{R}_{S \cup \{\ell\}, |L|} + \mathcal{R}_{S, |L|}$, while if $\ell \in L$, it equals 0. ■

The bulk of the work for proving Theorem 1.4 is contained in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *If $2 \leq 2k < n$, there is an isomorphism $\phi : H^{n-3}(N_{n,n-2k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\phi(T_{S,n-3}) = \binom{n-2-|S|}{k-1-|S|}$.*

Proof. To show that ϕ is well-defined, we must show that it sends each relation $\mathcal{R}_{L,n-3}$ to 0 for $n-k \leq |L| \leq n-2$. The image of $\mathcal{R}_{L,n-3}$ under ϕ is, with $\ell = |L|$,

$$\sum_{S \subset L} (-1)^{|S|} \phi(T_{S,n-3}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i \binom{\ell}{i} \binom{n-2-i}{k-1-i} = (-1)^{k-1} \sum_i \binom{\ell}{i} \binom{-n+k}{k-1-i} = (-1)^{k-1} \binom{\ell-n+k}{k-1}.$$

The top of this binomial coefficient satisfies $0 \leq \ell - n + k \leq k - 2$, and so the binomial coefficient equals 0. Thus ϕ is a well-defined surjection, since $\phi(T_{S,n-3}) = \pm 1$ when $|S| = k - 1$. Thus there is an isomorphism $H^{n-3}(N_{n,n-2k}) / \ker(\phi) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. But $H^{n-3}(N_{n,n-2k}) \approx \mathbb{Z}$ by Poincaré duality, and so $\ker(\phi)$ must equal 0. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The component of $(R \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes R)^{2n-6}$ in $H^{2n-6}(N_{n,n-2k}) \otimes H^{2n-6}(N_{n,n-2k})$ is $\pm \binom{2n-6}{n-3} R^{n-3} \otimes R^{n-3}$, which is nonzero by Lemma 2.3. Thus $\text{TC}(N_{n,n-2k}) \geq 2n - 5$ by [3, Cor 4.40]. ■

3. PROOF FOR $\overline{M}_{n,n-2k}$

In this section we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.5. We use the following result, which follows from [7, Cor 9.2] similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $k \geq 1$ and $n > 2k$.*

- (1) The algebra $H^*(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k})$ is generated by classes R, V_1, \dots, V_{n-1} in $H^1(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k})$.
- (2) The product of k distinct V_i 's is 0.
- (3) If $d \leq n - 3$ and $S \subset \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ has $|S| < k$, then all monomials $R^{e_0} \prod_{i \in S} V_i^{e_i}$ with $e_i > 0$ for $i \in S$ and $\sum_{i \geq 0} e_i = d$ are equal. We denote this class by $T_{S,d}$. This includes the class $T_{\emptyset,d} = R^d$.
- (4) For every subset L of $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ with $n - k \leq |L| \leq d + 1$, there is a relation $\mathcal{R}_{L,d}$ which says

$$\sum_{S \subset L} T_{S,d} = 0.$$

These are the only relations, in addition to those previously described.

Most of our proofs also utilize the following key result, which is totally analogous to Lemma 2.3. This was also proved in [9].

Lemma 3.2. *There is an isomorphism $\phi_1 : H^{n-3}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ satisfying $\phi_1(T_{S,n-3}) = \binom{n-2-|S|}{k-1-|S|}$.*

The following lemma will be useful.

Lemma 3.3. *There is a homomorphism*

$$\phi_2 : H^{n-4}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

satisfying $\phi_2(T_{S,n-4}) = \binom{n-2-|S|}{k-1-|S|}$.

Proof. We must show that ϕ_2 sends each of the relations $\mathcal{R}_{L,n-4}$ to 0. If $|L| = \ell$, then

$$\phi_2(\mathcal{R}_{L,n-4}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{\ell}{i} \binom{n-2-i}{k-1-i} = \sum_i \binom{\ell}{i} \binom{-n+k}{k-1-i} = \binom{\ell-n+k}{k-1}.$$

Since $n - k \leq \ell \leq n - 3$, we have $0 \leq \ell - n + k \leq k - 3$, and so $\binom{\ell-n+k}{k-1} = 0$. ■

To prove Theorem 1.3, we will find $2n - 7$ classes $y_i \in H^1(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k})$ such that $\prod (y_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_i) \neq 0$ in $H^{n-3}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k}) \otimes H^{n-4}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k})$. There will be four cases,

Theorems 3.5, 3.11, 3.12, and 3.17. All of them use the following notation, which pervades the rest of the paper.

Notation 3.4. Let $t \geq 0$ and $k = 2^t + k_0$, $1 \leq k_0 \leq 2^t$, and $n = k + 1 + 2^t B + D$ with $0 \leq D < 2^t$ and $B \geq 1$. Let $C = k_0 + D - 1$. Then $n = 2^t(B + 1) + C + 2$.

Every pair (k, n) with $k \geq 2$ and $n > 2k$ yields unique values of t , k_0 , B , and D .

Theorem 3.5. Let B be odd and

$$(3.6) P = (V_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V_1)^{2^t(B+1)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^C (V_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V_i) \\ \cdot \prod_{i=1}^C (V_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V_i)^2 \cdot (R \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes R)^{2^t(B+1)-C-2}.$$

If P_1 denotes the component of P in $H^{2^t(B+1)+C-1}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k}) \otimes H^{2^t(B+1)+C-2}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k})$, then $(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(P_1) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

The product notation here, which will be continued throughout the paper, means a product of C distinct factors with subscripts distinct from other subscripts involved elsewhere in the expression. Since P has $2n - 7$ factors, Theorem 3.5 implies Theorem 1.3 when B is odd.

Proof. Since B is odd, the third case of Lemma 3.9 applies. Note that $(V_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V_i)^2 = V_1^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V_i^2$, and that there are $2C$ factors F of this form or $V_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V_i$ in the middle of P . When P is expanded, the only terms \mathcal{T} for which $(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(\mathcal{T})$ might possibly be nonzero are those with exactly C of these factors F on each side of \otimes accompanied by a nontrivial contribution from the V_1 -part. (This uses Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, and 3.9.) Such a term \mathcal{T} which contains j of the V_i 's ($i > 1$) (and $(C - j)$ V_i^2 's) on the left side of \otimes will be of the form

$$(3.7) \quad \binom{2^t(B+1)-1}{e} \binom{2^t(B+1)-C-2}{2^t(B+1)-C-1+j-e} V_1^e V_{i_1} \cdots V_{i_j} V_{i_{j+1}}^2 \cdots V_{i_C}^2 R^{2^t(B+1)-C-1+j-e} \otimes Q,$$

where Q is the complementary factor. Here we must have $0 < e < 2^t(B + 1) - 1$, in order that there are $C + 1$ distinct V_i factors on both sides of \otimes . For this choice of (i_1, \dots, i_C) , let W denote the sum of all such terms as e varies, with i_1, \dots, i_C fixed.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(W) &= \sum_{e=1}^{2^t(B+1)-2} \binom{2^t(B+1)-1}{e} \binom{2^t(B+1)-C-2}{2^t(B+1)-C-1+j-e} \\
 (3.8) \quad &\equiv \binom{2^{t+1}(B+1)-C-3}{2^t(B+1)-C-1+j} + \binom{2^t(B+1)-C-2}{2^t(B+1)-C-1+j} + \binom{2^t(B+1)-C-2}{-C+j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The first of the three terms in the last line is what the sum would have been if the terms with $e = 0$ and $e = 2^t(B+1) - 1$ were included, while the other two terms are the two omitted terms. Mod 2, the first binomial coefficient is 0 by Lemma 3.10, since the case with $B = 1$ and $C = 2^{t+1} - 2$ does not satisfy $n > 2k$. The second binomial coefficient in (3.8) is 0 because its bottom part is greater than its top, and the third is 0 unless $j = C$. Thus there is a unique² W , namely

$$W = \sum_{e=1}^{2^t(B+1)-2} c_e V_1^e \left(\prod_{i=1}^C V_i \right) R^{2^t(B+1)-e-1} \otimes V_1^{2^t(B+1)-1-e} \left(\prod_{i=1}^C V_i^2 \right) R^{e-C-1},$$

with $c_e = \binom{2^t(B+1)-1}{e} \binom{2^t(B+1)-C-2}{2^t(B+1)-1-e}$, for which $\phi(W) = 1$, establishing the claim in this case. ■

The following lemmas were used above. All congruences are mod 2, unless specifically stated to the contrary.

Lemma 3.9. *In the notation of 3.4,*

$$\binom{n-2-i}{k-1-i} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & i = C+1 \\ 0 & k_0 \leq i \leq C \\ 0 & 0 \leq i \leq C \text{ if } B \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have $\binom{n-2-i}{k-1-i} = \binom{n-2-i}{2^t B + D}$ with $0 \leq D < 2^t$. If $i = C+1$, then $n-2-i = 2^t B + 2^t - 1$, and so the binomial coefficient is odd by Lucas's Theorem, which we will often use without comment. Decreasing i by $1, \dots, D$ increases the top of the binomial coefficient by that amount, yielding $\binom{2^t(B+1)+j}{2^t B + D}$ with $0 \leq j < D$. Such a binomial coefficient is even. If B is odd, decreasing i even more will leave the binomial coefficient even, as it will be either $\binom{B+1}{B} \binom{j}{D}$ with $j \geq D$ or $\binom{B+2}{B} \binom{j}{D}$ with $j \leq C - 2^t < D$. ■

²The uniqueness refers to the choice of which squared terms appear on the left side of \otimes in (3.7), given the choice of i 's in (3.6). The choice of which values of i occur in (3.6) is arbitrary, and far from unique.

Lemma 3.10. *If $0 \leq C \leq 2^{t+1} - 2$ and $0 \leq j \leq C$, then, mod 2,*

$$\binom{2^{t+1}(B+1) - C - 3}{2^t(B+1) - C - 1 + j} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } B \text{ is a 2-power and } C = 2^{t+1} - 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $C = 2^{t+1} - 2$, then the binomial coefficient is $\binom{2^{t+1}B-1}{2^tB+\Delta}$ with $|\Delta| < 2^t$. For $\Delta = 0$ this is odd iff B is a 2-power, as is easily seen using Lucas's Theorem. If the bottom part of the binomial coefficient is changed from $\Delta = 0$ by an amount less than 2^t , the binomial coefficient is multiplied by p/q with p and q equally 2-divisible. If $C = 2^{t+1} - 3$, then the binomial coefficient is of the form $\binom{2^{t+1}B}{2^tB+\Delta}$ with $|\Delta| < 2^t$. This is even for all B , similarly to the previous case. For smaller values of C , the result follows by induction on (decreasing) C , using Pascal's formula. Here it is perhaps more convenient to think of the binomial coefficient as $\binom{2^{t+1}(B+1)-C-3}{2^t(B+1)-j-2}$. ■

The case in which $D = 0$ and B is even is special because then $(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(M) = 1$ for every monomial M in $H^{n-3}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k}) \otimes H^{n-4}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k})$, and so for any appropriate product P , we have $(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(P) = \binom{2n-7}{n-3} = 0$ (unless $n-3$ is a 2-power.) So we modify ϕ_2 .

Theorem 3.11. *In the notation of 3.4, let B be even and $D = 0$. There is a homomorphism*

$$\phi_3 : H^{n-4}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

defined by

$$\phi_3(T_{S,n-4}) = \begin{cases} 1 & |S| < k-1 \\ 0 & |S| = k-1. \end{cases}$$

If

$$P = (V_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V_1)^{n-3} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{k-2} (V_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V_i) \cdot (R \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes R)^{n-k-2},$$

then $(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_3)(P) = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. To prove that ϕ_3 is well-defined, we must show that for $n-k \leq \ell \leq n-3$, we have $\sum_{i=0}^{k-2} \binom{\ell}{i} \equiv 0$. Then $2^t B < \ell \leq 2^t B + k - 2$. Since B is even and $k \leq 2^{t+1}$, the

$2^t B$ does not affect the binomial coefficient mod 2, and the sum becomes $\sum_{i=0}^{k-2} \binom{\ell}{i}$ for $0 < \ell \leq k-2$, and this equals 2^ℓ .

Since $\phi_1(M) = 1$ for every monomial in $H^{n-3}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k})$, $(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_3)(P)$ equals the sum of coefficients in

$$(1 + V_1)^{n-3} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{k-1} (1 + V_i) \cdot (1 + R)^{n-2-k}$$

of all monomials of degree $n-4$ which are not divisible by $V_1 \cdots V_{k-1}$. This equals $S_1 - (S_2 - S_3)$, where S_1 is the sum of all coefficients in degree $n-4$, S_2 is the sum of coefficients of terms divisible by $V_2 \cdots V_{k-1}$, and S_3 is the sum of coefficients of terms divisible by $V_2 \cdots V_{k-1}$ but not also by V_1 . Then $S_1 = \binom{2n-7}{n-4} \equiv 0$ since $n-3$ cannot be a 2-power here. Also $S_2 = \binom{2n-5-k}{n-4-(k-2)} = \binom{2^{t+1}B+k-3}{2^tB-1} \equiv 0$ since $k \leq 2^{t+1}$. Finally for S_3 the only monomial is $V_2 \cdots V_{k-1} R^{n-2-k}$, so $S_3 = 1$. ■

Let $\lg(-) = \lfloor \log_2(-) \rfloor$.

Theorem 3.12. *Theorem 3.5 is true if B is even and $C - 2^{\lg(C)} < 2^{1+\lg D}$.*

The first few cases of this hypothesis are ($D = 1$ and $C \in \{2^e, 2^e + 1\}$) and ($D \in \{2, 3\}$ and $C \in \{2^e, 2^e + 1, 2^e + 2, 2^e + 3\}$).

Proof. We consider first the portion P_2 of the expansion of P which has $V_1^{2^t(B+1)-1}$ on the left side of \otimes . If j (resp. g) denotes the number of other v_i 's (resp. v_i^2 's) on the left side of \otimes , then $(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(P_2)$ equals

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{j,g=0}^C \binom{C}{j} \binom{C}{g} \binom{2^t(B+1)-1+C-j-g}{2^tB+C+1-k_0} \binom{2^t(B+1)-(C-j-g)}{2^tB+C+1-k_0} \binom{2^t(B+1)-C-2}{C-j-2g}.$$

The third and fourth factors here are from $\phi_1(-)$ and $\phi_2(-)$, which satisfy

$$\phi_1(T_{S,n-3}) = \phi_2(T_{S,n-4}) = \binom{2^t(B+1)+C-|S|}{2^t+k_0-1-|S|} = \binom{2^t(B+1)+C-|S|}{2^tB+C+1-k_0}.$$

These two factors in our sum are of the form $\binom{2^t(B+1)-1+\Delta}{2^tB+D} \binom{2^t(B+1)-\Delta}{2^tB+D}$ with $-C \leq \Delta \leq C$ and $1 \leq D \leq 2^t - 1$. In positions less than 2^t , the top parts of these two binomial coefficients differ in every position, and so due to any position where D has a 1, one of the factors will be even. Thus (3.13) is 0 in \mathbb{Z}_2 . A similar argument works for the portion of the sum in which $V_1^{2^t(B+1)-1}$ is on the right side of \otimes .

Arguing similarly to (3.8), it remains to show that the following sum is 1 mod 2.

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{j,g=0}^C \binom{C}{j} \binom{C}{g} \binom{2^t(B+1)+C-j-g-1}{2^t B+D} \binom{2^t(B+1)-1-C+j+g}{2^t B+D}$$

$$(3.15) \quad \cdot \left(\binom{2^{t+1}(B+1)-C-3}{2^t(B+1)+C-1-j-2g} + \binom{2^t(B+1)-C-2}{2^t(B+1)+C-1-j-2g} + \binom{2^t(B+1)-C-2}{C-j-2g} \right).$$

Let $\ell = \lg(D)$. Note that $t \geq \ell + 1$. Keep in mind that B is even. It is easy to check that there is a nonzero summand due to the third term of (3.15) if $(j, g) = (C, 0)$, and one due to the first term of (3.15) if $t = \ell + 1$, $D = 2^{\ell+1} - 1$, $j + g = C = 2^{\ell+2} - 2$ with j even and $0 \leq j \leq C$, and B is a 2-power. The proof will be completed by showing that other terms are nonzero iff $C = 2^{\ell+2} - 1$, $t \geq \ell + 2$, and $|C - j - g| = 2^{\ell+1}$. The result will follow, as the total number of nonzero terms is odd in any case.

It is also easy to check that the terms of the third type give nonzero summands. For example, let $\ell = 2$, so we have $D \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$, $C = 15$, and $j + g = 7$ or 23. Then $7 \leq j + 2g \leq 14$ in the first case, and, since $j, g \leq 15$, we have $31 \leq j + 2g \leq 38$ in the second case. Also $t \geq 4$ and B is even. The latter two factors in (3.14) are $\binom{2^t(B+1)-1 \pm 8}{2^t B+D} \equiv 1$. Of the three terms in (3.15), the first will be even since it is either $\binom{2^{t+1}B+\alpha}{2^t B+\beta}$ with $0 < \alpha < 2^{t+1}$ and $0 < \beta < 2^t$, or $\binom{32B+14}{16B-\gamma}$ with $1 \leq \gamma \leq 8$. When $j + g = 7$, the second summand in (3.15) has bottom greater than top, while the third is of the form $\binom{16A+15}{b}$ with $1 \leq b \leq 8$, hence is odd. When $j + g = 23$, the second summand is of the form $\binom{16A+15}{16A+c}$ with $8 \leq c \leq 15$, while the third has its bottom part negative.

Now we show that all other terms in (3.14)-(3.15) are 0. Let $t \geq \ell + 1$, $2^e \leq C < 2^{e+1}$ with $e \leq t$ and $C - 2^e < 2^{\ell+1}$. We will show

(1) If

$$P_0 = \binom{C}{j} \binom{C}{g} \binom{2^t(B+1)+C-j-g-1}{2^t B+D} \binom{2^t(B+1)-1-C+j+g}{2^t B+D}$$

then P_0 is odd iff $\binom{C}{j}$ and $\binom{C}{g}$ are odd and $C - j - g \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\ell+1}}$ and $|C - j - g| < 2^t$.

(2) In the cases just noted where P_0 is odd,

$$(3.16) \quad \binom{2^{t+1}(B+1)-C-3}{2^t(B+1)+C-1-j-2g} \equiv \binom{2^t(B+1)-C-2}{2^t(B+1)+C-1-j-2g} \equiv \binom{2^t(B+1)-C-2}{C-j-2g} \equiv 0$$

except in the cases noted in the paragraph following (3.14)-(3.15).

To prove (1), let $E = C - j - g$. Then $-C \leq E \leq C$, but by symmetry, it suffices to consider $0 \leq E \leq C < 2^{t+1}$. It is easy to see that $E - 1$ and $-E - 1$ both have a 1 in the 2^ℓ -position iff $E \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\ell+1}}$. Since D has a 1 in the 2^ℓ -position, P_0 is even unless $E \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\ell+1}}$. Letting $E = 2^{\ell+1}E'$, and removing the lower parts of the binomial coefficients, we need for

$$\binom{2^t(B+1) + 2^{\ell+1}(E' - 1)}{2^t B} \binom{2^t(B+1) - 2^{\ell+1}(E' + 1)}{2^t B}$$

to be odd. If $2^{\ell+1}E' \geq 2^t$, the second binomial coefficient is 0. Otherwise, $2^{\ell+1}(E' + 1) \leq 2^t$, and then both binomial coefficients are odd,

For (2), we first study how the middle coefficient in (3.16) can be odd. If $t = \ell + 1$, then $C - j - g = 0$, and the binomial coefficient is 0 since its bottom part is greater than the top. Now assume $t \geq \ell + 2$. Let $C - j - g = -2^{\ell+1}K$. The binomial coefficient becomes $\binom{2^t(B+1) - 2 - C}{2^{\ell+2}K - j - 1}$. For this to be odd, in positions $< 2^{\ell+2}$ the 1's in (the binary expansion of) $(C + 1)$ must be contained in those of j . For $\binom{C}{j}$ to be odd, the 1's of j must be contained in those of C . The only way that the 1's of $(C + 1)$ can be contained in those of C in these positions is if $C + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\ell+2}}$. Since $C - 2^{\lg C} < 2^{1+\ell}$, the only such C is $2^{\ell+2} - 1$. Since $-2^{\ell+2} < C - j - g < 0$, we must have $C - j - g = -2^{\ell+1}$. Thus the only ways the middle coefficient of (3.16) can yield a nonzero value are those listed earlier.

The third coefficient in (3.16) is handled similarly. If $t = \ell + 1$, then $C - j - g = 0$ and $g = 0$, yielding a claimed condition. Now assume $t \geq \ell + 2$. Let $C - j - g = 2^{\ell+1}K$. The binomial coefficient becomes $\binom{2^t(B+1) - C - 2}{2^t(B+1) - 2^{\ell+2}K - j - 2}$. For this and $\binom{C}{j}$ to both be odd, either $C + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\ell+2}}$ or $j \equiv C \pmod{2^{\ell+2}}$. The former condition reduces to $C = 2^{\ell+2} - 1$, $C - j - g = 2^{\ell+1}$ similarly to the previous case. For the latter, if $C = j$, then $g = 0$ and we obtain one of the claimed conditions. Otherwise, write $C = 2^e + \Delta$ with $0 \leq \Delta < 2^{\min(e, \ell+1)}$. Then we must have $e \geq \ell + 2$ and $j = \Delta$, and, since $g \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\ell+1}}$ and $\binom{C}{g}$ is odd, we must have $g = 0$ or 2^e , neither of which make $\binom{2^t(B+1) - C - 2}{C - j - 2g}$ odd, since $e < t$.

For the first coefficient in (3.16), we first consider the situation when $t = \ell + 1$. Then $C - j - g = 0$ and the coefficient equals $\binom{2^{\ell+2}(B+1) - C - 3}{2^{\ell+1}(B+1) - 2 - j}$. For this and $\binom{C}{j}$ to both be odd, we must have $C \equiv -\varepsilon \pmod{2^{\ell+1}}$ with $\varepsilon \in \{1, 2\}$, and j even. If

$C = 2^{\ell+1} - \varepsilon$, then the coefficient is $\binom{2^{\ell+2}B+\alpha}{2^{\ell+1}B+\beta}$, with $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 2^{\ell+1}$, and thus is even, due to $\binom{2B}{B}$. If $C = 2^{\ell+2} - 2$ (its largest possible value) and B is not a 2-power, the coefficient can be written as $\binom{2^{\nu+1}(2A+1)-1}{2^{\nu}(2A+1)+\Delta}$ with $A > 0$ and $0 \leq \Delta < 2^{\nu}$, which is even since it splits as $\binom{2^{\nu+2}A}{2^{\nu+1}A} \binom{2^{\nu+1}-1}{2^{\nu}+\Delta}$.

If $t \geq \ell + 2$, let $C - j - g = 2^{\ell+1}K$ and write the coefficient as $\binom{2^{t+1}(B+1)-C-3}{2^t(B+1)-2-2^{\ell+2}K-j}$. For both this and $\binom{C}{j}$ to be odd, we must have $C \equiv -1$ or $-2 \pmod{2^{\ell+2}}$ and j even. Since $C - 2^{\lg C} < 2^{\ell+1}$, this implies $C = 2^{\ell+2} - 1$ or $2^{\ell+2} - 2$. The top part of the binomial coefficient splits as $2^{t+1}B + (2^{t+1} - 2^{\ell+2} - \varepsilon)$. Since $|2^{\ell+1}K| \leq C$, then $|2^{\ell+1}K| \leq 2^{\ell+1}$. Thus the bottom of the binomial coefficient is $2^tB + \alpha$ with

$$2^t - 2^{\ell+3} \leq \alpha \leq 2^t + 2^{\ell+2} - 2.$$

Since B is even, the binomial coefficient, mod 2, splits as $\binom{2^{t+1}B}{2^tB} \binom{2^{t+1}-2^{\ell+2}-\varepsilon}{\alpha} \equiv 0$ if $0 \leq \alpha < 2^{t+1}$. This is true if $t > \ell + 2$ or $(t = \ell + 2 \text{ and } \alpha \geq 0)$. If $t = \ell + 2$ and $\alpha < 0$, then the binomial coefficient is 0 by consideration of position $2^{\ell+2}$. ■

The final case for Theorem 1.3 is

Theorem 3.17. *In the notation of 3.4, and with $\ell = \lg D$, if B is even and $C - 2^{\lg C} \geq 2^{\ell+1}$, let $C = 2^{\ell+1}A + \gamma$ with $0 \leq \gamma < 2^{\ell+1}$, and $m = 2^t(B + 1) + 2^{\ell+1}A - 1$. If*

$$(3.18) \mathcal{P} = (V_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V_1)^m \cdot \prod_{i=1}^C (V_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V_i) \\ \cdot \prod_{i=1}^C (V_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V_i)^2 \cdot (R \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes R)^{2n-7-m-3C},$$

then $(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(P) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. Using the methods of our previous proofs, it suffices to prove that, under the hypotheses, with $\psi(i) = \binom{n-2-i}{k-1-i}$, the following mod-2 equivalences are valid.

(1) For all j and g ,

$$(3.19) \quad \binom{C}{j} \binom{C}{g} \psi(j+g) \psi(2C-j-g+1) \binom{2n-7-3C-m}{n-3-j-2g} \equiv 0$$

and

$$(3.20) \quad \binom{C}{j} \binom{C}{g} \psi(j+g+1) \psi(2C-j-g) \binom{2n-7-3C-m}{n-3-j-2g-m} \equiv 0.$$

(2) If $\binom{C}{j} \binom{C}{g} \psi(j+g+1) \psi(2C-j-g+1) \equiv 1$, then

- (a) $\binom{2n-7-3C}{n-3-j-2g} \equiv 0$;
- (b) $\binom{2n-7-3C-m}{n-3-j-2g} \equiv 0$;
- (c) $\binom{2n-7-3C-m}{n-3-j-2g-m} \equiv 1$ iff $g = 0$ and $j = \gamma$, in which case
 $\binom{C}{j}\binom{C}{g}\psi(j+g+1)\psi(2C-j-g+1) \equiv 1$.

The proof of (3.19) and (3.20) is similar to that for the corresponding terms in the proof of Theorem 3.12. The third and fourth factors will be of the form $\binom{2^t\alpha+x}{2^t\beta+D}$ and $\binom{2^t\alpha-1-x}{2^t\beta+D}$ with $0 < D < 2^t$. Their product is 0 mod 2 by the same reasoning as before.

The hypothesis of (2) implies $C - j - g \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\ell+1}}$ and $|C - j - g| < 2^t$, exactly as in the proof of 3.12. Write $C - j - g = 2^{\ell+1}K$ with $|2^{\ell+1}K| < 2^t$.

Part (2a) is like the first coefficient of (3.16) except that the constraint on $C - 2^{\lg C}$ is different. The argument when $t = \ell + 1$ is the same, since the constraint did not occur in that argument. So now assume $t \geq \ell + 2$ and write the binomial coefficient as $\binom{2^{t+1}(B+1) - 3 - C}{2^t(B+1) - 2 - 2^{\ell+2}K - j}$. As before, for both this and $\binom{C}{j}$ to be odd, we must have $C = 2^{\ell+2}Y - \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon \in \{1, 2\}$. We cannot have $C = 2^{t+1} - 2$ (which implies $D = 2^t - 1$) because of the assumption that $C - 2^{\lg C} \geq 2^{1+\lg D}$. Thus $2^{\ell+2}Y \leq 2^{t+1} - 2^{\ell+2}$. We have $2^{\ell+1}K = 2^t - 2^{\ell+1} - p$ with $p \geq 0$, and then $j \leq 2^{\ell+2}Y - \varepsilon - (2^t - 2^{\ell+1} - p)$, and hence

$$2^{\ell+2}K + j \leq 2^t - 2^{\ell+1} + 2^{\ell+2}Y - \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, $2^{\ell+1}K \geq -(2^t - 2^{\ell+1})$, and $j \geq -(C - j - g) = -2^{\ell+1}K$, so we have

$$2^{\ell+2}K + j \geq 2^{\ell+1}K \geq -2^t + 2^{\ell+1}.$$

Letting $B = 2B'$, the binomial coefficient becomes $\binom{2^{t+2}B' + 2^{t+1} - 2^{\ell+2}Y - 3 + \varepsilon}{2^{t+1}B' + x}$ with

$$2^{\ell+1} - 2^{\ell+2}Y + \varepsilon - 2 \leq x \leq 2^{t+1} - 2^{\ell+1} - 2.$$

If $x \geq 0$, this binomial coefficient splits, and is 0 due to $\binom{2^{t+2}B'}{2^{t+1}B'}$. If $x < 0$, the binomial coefficient splits as

$$\binom{2^{t+2}B'}{2^{t+1}(B'-1)} \binom{2^{t+1} - 2^{\ell+2}Y - 3 - \varepsilon}{2^{t+1} + x},$$

which is 0 since the second factor has bottom part greater than the top.

To prove (2b), with C , A , and γ as in the statement of the theorem, the binomial coefficient here is $\binom{p}{q}$ with $p = 2^t(B+1) - 2 - C - 2^{\ell+1}A$ and $q = 2^t(B+1) - 1 + C - j - 2g$. Then $q - p = 2(C - j - g) + j + 2^{\ell+1}A + 1$. Since $C - j - g \geq -C$ and is a multiple of $2^{\ell+1}$, $C - j - g \geq -2^{\ell+1}A$. Similarly to the previous case, this implies $2(C - j - g) + j \geq -2^{\ell+1}A$. Thus $q - p > 0$ and $\binom{p}{q} = 0$.

Finally, for (2c), the binomial coefficient becomes $\binom{2^t(B+1) - 2 - C - 2^{\ell+1}A}{\gamma - j - 2g}$. For this to be nonzero, we must have $j + 2g \leq \gamma$. But $j + g \equiv \gamma \pmod{2^{\ell+1}}$, and so we must have $g = 0$ and $j = \gamma$, in which case the binomial coefficient equals 1. Clearly $\binom{C}{j} \binom{C}{g} \equiv 1$. Also, $\psi(j + g + 1) = \psi(\gamma + 1) = \binom{2^t(B+1) + 2^{\ell+1}A - 1}{2^tB + D} \equiv 1$ and $\psi(2C - j - g + 1) = \psi(2C - \gamma + 1) = \binom{2^t(B+1) - 2^{\ell+1}A - 1}{2^tB + D} \equiv 1$. These use the fact that, since $D \leq 2^{\ell+1} - 1$, $C \leq 2^t + 2^{\ell+1} - 2$, and hence $2^{\ell+1}A \leq 2^t$.

■

We close by showing that all $(2n - 6)$ -fold products P of elements of the form $(y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y)$ in $H^*(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k} \times \overline{M}_{n,n-2k})$ are zero. This will complete the proof of Theorem 1.5. First note that all such products are invariant under the involution that interchanges factors. If m_1 and m_2 are monomials of degree $n - 3$ in the V_i 's and R , then $m_1 \otimes m_2 + m_2 \otimes m_1 = 0$ since m_i equals either 0 or the unique nonzero class. Thus it suffices to show that the coefficient of any $T_{S,n-3} \otimes T_{S,n-3}$ in P is 0. We prove this by induction on $|S|$. Note that the factors which we must consider are not just those of the form $(V_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V_i)$ and $(R \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes R)$, but also sums of these.

The coefficient of $R^{n-3} \otimes R^{n-3}$ in P is 0 if P contains any factors which do not contain terms $(R \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes R)$, while if all factors contain such terms, it is $\binom{2n-6}{n-3} \equiv 0$. This initiates the induction, as $|S| = 0$ here. Assume that all terms $T_{S,n-3} \otimes T_{S,n-3}$ in any product P are 0 if $|S| < s$. Let S be a subset with $|S| = s$. In P , we may omit all terms $(V_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V_i)$ for which $i \notin S$. If this omission makes any of the factors become 0, then the coefficient of $T_{S,n-3} \otimes T_{S,n-3}$ is 0. Otherwise, by the induction hypothesis, the coefficient of all $T_{S',n-3} \otimes T_{S',n-3}$ with S' a proper subset of S is 0, and since the sum of all coefficients in $H^{n-3}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k}) \otimes H^{n-3}(\overline{M}_{n,n-2k})$ is $\binom{2n-6}{n-3}$, which is even, the coefficient of the remaining term $T_{S,n-3} \otimes T_{S,n-3}$ must also be 0.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.M.Davis, *Real projective space as a space of planar polygons*, Morfismos **19** (2015) 1–6.
- [2] ———, *Immersion of real projective spaces*, Proceedings of Lefschetz Conference, Contemporary Math, Amer Math Soc. **58** (1987) 31–42.
- [3] M.Farber, *Invitation to topological robotics*, European Math Society (2008).
- [4] ———, *Topological complexity of motion planning*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **29** (2003) 211–221.
- [5] M.Farber, S.Tabachnikov, and S.Yuzvinsky, *Topological robotics: motion planning in projective spaces*, Intl Math Research Notices **34** (2003) 1853–1870.
- [6] J.-C.Hausmann, *Sur la topologie des bras articulés*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer **1474** (1989) 146–159.
- [7] J.-C.Hausmann and A.Knutson, *The cohomology rings of polygon spaces*, Ann Inst Fourier (Grenoble) **48** (1998) 281–321.
- [8] J.-C.Hausmann and E.Rodriguez, *The space of clouds in Euclidean space*, Experiment Math **13** (2004) 31–47.
- [9] Y.Kamiyama and K.Kimoto, *The height of a class in the cohomology ring of polygon spaces*, Int Jour of Math and Math Sci (2013) 7 pages.
- [10] A.Klyachko, *Spatial polygons and stable configurations of points in the projective line*, Algebraic Geometry and its Applications (Yaroslav, 1992), Aspects Math, Vieweg, Braunschweig (1994) 67–84.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LEHIGH UNIVERSITY, BETHLEHEM, PA 18015, USA
E-mail address: dmd1@lehigh.edu