Determination of the Chemical Nature of Active Surface Sites Present on Bulk Mixed Metal Oxide Catalysts†
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CH3OH temperature programmed surface reaction (TPSR) spectroscopy was employed to determine the chemical nature of active surface sites for bulk mixed metal oxide catalysts. The CH3OH-TPSR spectra peak temperature, Tp, for model supported metal oxides and bulk, pure metal oxides was found to be sensitive to the specific surface metal oxide as well as its oxidation state. The catalytic activity of the surface metal oxide was found to decrease upon reduction of these sites and the most active surface sites were the fully oxidized surface cations. The surface V5+ sites were found to be more active than the surface Nb5+ and Te4+ sites. Furthermore, the reaction products formed also reflected the chemical nature of surface active sites. Surface redox sites are able to liberate oxygen and yield H2CO, while surface acidic sites are not able to liberate oxygen, contain either H+ or oxygen vacancies, and produce CH3OCH3. Surface V5+, Mo6+, and Te4+ sites behave as redox sites, and surface Nb5+ sites are Lewis acid sites. This experimental information was used to determine the chemical nature of the different surface cations in bulk Mo−V−Te−Nb−O, mixed oxide catalysts (Mo0.6V1.5O3, Mo1.0V0.5Te0.16O3, Mo1.0V0.3Te0.16Nb0.12O3). The bulk Mo0.6V1.5O3 and Mo1.0V0.5Te0.16O3 mixed oxide catalytic characteristics were dominated by the cationic properties of the surface V5+ redox sites. The surface enrichment of these bulk mixed oxide by surface V5+ is related to its high mobility, V5+ possesses the lowest Tammann temperature among the different oxide cations, and the lower surface free energy associated with the surface termination of V=O bonds. The quaternary bulk Mo1.0V0.3Te0.16Nb0.12O3, mixed oxide possessed both surface redox and acidic sites. The surface redox sites reflect the characteristics of surface V5+ and the surface acidic sites reflect the properties normally associated with supported Mo6+. The major roles of Nb5+ and Te4+ appear to be that of ligand promoters for the more active surface V and Mo sites. These reactivity trends for CH3OH ODH parallel the reactivity trends of propane ODH because of their similar rate-determining step involving cleavage of a C−H bond. This novel CH3OH-TPSR spectroscopic method is a universal method that has also been successfully applied to other bulk mixed metal oxide systems to determine the chemical nature of the active surface sites.

Introduction

The foundation for a revolution in metal catalysis science took place during the 1960s and 1970s when CO, H2, O2, and N2O selective chemisorption methods were developed to quantitatively determine the number of exposed metal atoms in metal catalysts.1 The seed for this development was the pioneering studies by Emmett and Brunauer when they employed selective CO chemisorption to determine the number of exposed metallic iron atoms and CO2 chemisorption to determine the number of exposed basic potassium oxide promoter atoms on the surface of ammonia synthesis catalysts.2 Knowledge of the number of surface metal atoms allowed, for the first time, the systematic use of turnover frequency (TOF, the number of molecules reacted per surface metal atom per second) for comparison of catalytic reactions between different investigators as well as between large metallic single crystals and supported metal powders with large specific surface areas. This led to the concept of structure-sensitive and structure-insensitive catalytic reactions.1 Structure-insensitive reactions exhibit the same TOF values for all metal crystal sizes and are independent of specific crystallographic orientations. Structure-sensitive reactions, however, exhibit TOF values that are dependent on the metal crystal size and the specific crystallographic orientation. Furthermore, it was found that structure-insensitive catalytic reactions are not strongly affected by the nature of the metal, alloying, and poisoning and can be described by uniform surface kinetics. In contrast, structure-sensitive catalytic reactions are very strongly affected by the specific metal, alloying, and poisoning and cannot be described by uniform surface kinetics. Only kinetics based on nonuniform surface Temkin formalism is able to satisfactorily describe structure-sensitive catalytic reactions (e.g., ammonia synthesis from N2 and H2 over metallic Fe catalysts).

Djega-Mariadassou et al. stated in the early 1980s that the progress made in the case of metal catalysts should also follow for metal oxides,3 in particular, comparisons between the

1 Part of the special issue “Michel Boudart Festschrift”.
2 Lehigh University.
3 National Chung Hsing University.
4 Hokkaido University.
catalytic activity of large single crystals and powders with large specific surface areas. This development, however, has been slow in coming over the past two decades because satisfactory selective chemisorption techniques for metal oxides have not been developed and adopted by the catalysis researcher community. Djega-Mariadassou et al. and Sleight et al. independently demonstrated in the 1980s that alcohols are feasible for selective chemisorption studies of metal oxides with surfaces of preferred orientation. However, these initial selective chemisorption studies on ZnO, MoO₃, and Fe₃(MoO₄)₂ powders were not successfully extended to other metal oxide catalytic systems until very recently. A major hurdle in comparing large single crystal metal oxides and metal oxide powders with high surface areas is that the surfaces of the large single crystals are typically prepared in ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) and, consequently, do not form the same surface structures and functionalities as metal oxide powders. For example, metal oxide surfaces prepared in a vacuum do not terminate in M—OH functionalities and many times cannot easily be fully oxidized at lower O₂ pressures typically employed. Metal oxides are also much more complex catalytic materials than metals because of their variable oxidation states, variable coordination for each oxidation state, distribution of surface redox, basic and acidic sites, distribution among surface Lewis and Bronsted acidic sites, and participation of surface and bulk lattice oxygen atoms in catalytic oxidation reactions. Thus, this multidimensional issue for metal oxides requires not only selective chemisorption methods that provide information about the number of active surface sites but also information that is very rich in the chemical nature of the active sites. The present study demonstrates how this has been achieved for bulk Mo—V—Nb—Te—O mixed metal oxides by employing CH₃OH temperature programmed surface reaction (TPSR) spectroscopy.

Experimental Section

Catalyst Preparation. The Nb₂O₅ (BET = 57 m²/g) was synthesized by air calcination of niobium oxide hydrate (Nb₂O₅·nH₂O from CBMM, 99.9% purity) at 500 °C for 10 h. The resulting Nb₂O₅ was also employed as an oxide support for vanadia, molybdena, telluria, and their combinations. The supported V₂O₅/Nb₂O₅ catalysts were prepared by incipient-wetness impregnation of the Nb₂O₅ support with 2-propanol solutions of vanadium isopropoxide (Alfa Aesar, 97% purity). The preparation was formed inside a glovebox with continuously flowing N₂. After impregnation, the samples were kept inside the glovebox with flowing N₂ overnight. The samples were further dried in flowing N₂ at 120 °C for 1 h and calcined in flowing air at 450 °C for 2 h. The supported MoO₃/Nb₂O₅ and TeO₂/Nb₂O₅ samples were prepared by the incipient-wetness impregnation of the Nb₂O₅ support with aqueous solutions of ammonium heptamolybdate (Matheson, Coleman & Bell, 99.9% purity) and telluric acid (H₂TeO₄·2H₂O, Alfa Aesar), respectively. After impregnation, the samples were dried under ambient conditions for 48 h and calcined in air at 450 °C for 2 h. Combinations of these metal oxides on Nb₂O₅ were also prepared sequentially following the above syntheses procedures. The impregnation sequence procedure is reflected in the notation BOₓ/AX/Nb₂O₅, where the A component was first impregnated onto the Nb₂O₅ support, dried, and calcined and then the BOₓ component was impregnated onto the calcined AOₓ/Nb₂O₅ catalyst, dried, and calcined. The notation of BOₓ/AX/Nb₂O₅ was used to describe such double impregnated samples.

The bulk, pure metal oxides V₂O₅, MoO₃, and TeO₂ were prepared the same way as described above, but in the absence of the Nb₂O₅ support. The solutions were slowly precipitated by controlling the solution pH with ammonium hydroxide, dried, and finally calcined in air at 400 °C for 1 h. Raman characterization confirmed that the expected crystal phases were formed. The resultant BET surface areas were ~3–5 m²/g.

The bulk mixed metal oxides were prepared by hydrothermal synthesis. The starting materials were ammonium heptamolybdate, vanadyl sulfate, tellurium hydroxide, and niobium oxalate. These salts were used to make the initial aqueous solution slurry. The slurry was introduced into a stainless steel autoclave equipped with a Teflon inner tube. The hydrothermal reaction was carried out at 175 °C for different lengths of time for each combination of oxides: binary Mo—V—O for 20 h, tertiary Mo—V—Te—O for 48 h, and quaternary Mo—V—Te—Nb—O for 48 h. The resulting solids were separated by filtration, washed with distilled water, dried in air at 80 °C overnight, and calcined in a flowing N₂ stream at 600 °C for 2 h. The binary M—V—O mixed oxide was calcined at 500 °C in N₂ and the tertiary Mo—V—Te—O was precalcined in air at 280 °C prior to the high-temperature calculations in N₂. The resulting bulk mixed metal oxide catalysts exhibited the orthorhombic X-ray diffraction (XRD) structure and comparable BET surface areas of 6–7 m²/g.

Raman Spectroscopy. The in situ Raman spectrometer system consists of a quartz cell with a sample holder (Hastelloy C), a triple grating spectrometer (Spex, model 1877), a CCD detector (Jobin Yvon-Spex, ISA Inc., model Spectrum-1), and an argon ion laser (Spectra Physics, model 165). The sample typically contained 100–200 mg and was pressed into a disk shape so that it could be mounted in the sample holder. The sample holder was mounted onto a ceramic shaft that was rotated at 1000–2000 rpm by a 115 V dc motor. A cylindrical heating coil controlled the quartz cell temperature that was monitored by a thermocouple placed next to the catalyst sample inside the cell. The cell was capable of being heated to 600 °C, and gas flow rates of ~100 cm³/min at atmospheric pressure were typically employed.

CH₃OH-TPSR Spectroscopy. The CH₃OH-TPSR spectroscopy studies were performed with the AMI-100 temperature programmed system (Zeton-Altamira Instruments) equipped with an online mass spectrometer (Dycor DyMaxion, Ametek Process Instruments). Typically, about 25–100 mg of catalyst was loaded in a U-type quartz tube and pretreated at elevated temperatures to dehydrate the sample. The Nb₂O₅ and the niobia-supported metal oxides were pretreated in flowing dry air at 450 °C for 1 h. The bulk, pure oxides were pretreated in flowing dry air at 350 °C for 1 h. The bulk mixed metal oxides were treated in flowing He at 350 °C for 1 h to prevent their full oxidation. The supported and bulk, pure metal oxides were subsequently cooled in flowing O₂/He to ~200 °C and then switched to a flowing He stream to flush out any residual gas-phase O₂. In the case of the bulk mixed metal oxide catalysts, the catalysts were cooled in a flowing He stream. For those experiments where the effect of CH₃OH-TPSR reduction was also examined, all the catalysts were cooled in flowing He so that the reduced surface sites would not become oxidized upon cooling (referred to as CH₃OH-TPSR cycles in the paper). The metal oxide samples were all further cooled in He inert environments to the methanol adsorption temperature of ~50 °C. Methanol adsorption was achieved by flowing 2000 ppm CH₃OH/He for 30 min, and the system was then purged with flowing He for another 30 min. Afterward, the catalysts were heated at a constant heating rate of 10 °C/min to 400–500 °C in flowing He (30 mL/min). The gases exiting from the quartz tube reactor...
were analyzed with an online mass spectrometer, which was linked via a capillary tube, as a function of catalyst temperature. The following m/e ratios were employed for the identification of the various gases: CH$_3$OH, m/e = 31; H$_2$CO, m/e = 30; CH$_3$OCH$_3$, m/e = 45 and 15; H$_2$O, m/e = 18; HCOOCH, m/e = 60; (CH$_3$O)$_2$CH$_2$, m/e = 75. The formation of CO$_2$/CO is not reported in the current studies because these reaction products primarily originate from re-adsorption of formaldehyde (via formation of surface formate species) or adsorption of background carbonaceous molecules during the CHOH-TPSR studies. Furthermore, formation of CO$_2$/CO was essentially not observed at low conversions during methanol oxidation steady-state studies with these catalytic materials. The H$_2$CO and (H$_2$CO)$_2$CH$_2$ mass spectrometer signals were corrected for the minor contributions from the cracking of CH$_3$OH in the mass spectrometer.

Recent studies by Vohs et al. demonstrated that the same $T_p$, maximum peak temperature, values can be obtained from model single crystal and powdered supported metal oxide catalytic systems because a significant portion of the intermediate reaction products (e.g., H$_2$CO) are able to leave the powdered bed without becoming trapped on the catalyst surface and further oxidized to CO$_2$. Furthermore, these very significant studies also demonstrated that the Redhead equation, typically employed to obtain kinetic parameters from single-crystal temperature-programmed studies in ultrahigh vacuum (UHV), can also be applied to obtain quantitative kinetic parameters from the powdered samples during the CH$_3$OH-TPSR studies

$$E_a(\frac{RT_p^2}{B}) = \nu B \exp(-E_a(\frac{RT_p}))$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $R$ is the gas-phase constant, $B$ is the heating rate employed in the temperature programmed study, $E_a$ is the activation energy for the surface reaction, and $\nu$ is the first-order Arrhenius rate constant pre-exponential factor. Many previous CH$_3$OH-TPSR studies with single crystals have shown that formation of H$_2$CO from CH$_3$OH proceeds via a first-order reaction kinetics and $\nu$ is typically very close to $10^{13}$ s$^{-1}$. Consequently, this value for $\nu$ was selected to determine the activation energy, $E_a$, for the first-order surface reactions of methanol from the Redhead equation (eq 1).

**Steady-State Methanol Oxidation.** The steady-state methanol oxidation studies were conducted in an isothermal fixed-bed reactor operating under differential reaction conditions (methanol conversions less than 10%). The reactor consists of a 3.8 mm glass tube, and the catalyst powder, $\sim$25–100 mg, was held in place by glass wool (above and below the catalyst). The catalysts were pretreated in flowing O$_2$ at 300 °C prior to the methanol oxidation for the bulk, pure, and supported metal oxide catalysts described above. The bulk mixed metal oxide catalysts were not examined for methanol oxidation since preliminary studies demonstrated that TeO$_2$ was volatile under the oxidizing reaction conditions employed for methanol oxidation (methylolated/O$_2$/He mixture of 6/13/81). Analysis of the reaction products was performed with an online gas chromatograph (HP-5840A) containing two packed columns (Porapak R and Carbosieve SII) and two detectors (thermocouple detector and flame ionization detector). The steady-state reaction temperature was maintained at 230 °C.

The steady-state methanol oxidation catalytic data were expressed in terms of turnover frequency, TOF, by normalizing the reaction rate per surface metal oxide site. For the supported metal oxides, the reaction rate was normalized per number of active surface sites of the supported component, which assumed 100% dispersion and was independently confirmed with Raman spectroscopy. For the bulk metal oxides, the number of active surface sites was determined by methanol chemisorption and that value was multiplied by a factor of 3 to account for the known ratio of CH$_3$OH/M $\sim$ 3 due to lateral interactions in the chemisorbed methanol monolayer. The ratio of CH$_3$OH/M $\sim$ 3 was obtained with model supported metal oxide systems containing monolayer surface coverage, and it was assumed that the same stoichiometry also holds for bulk metal oxides. The steady-state methanol oxidation kinetic expression at low conversions and over an oxidized metal oxide surface has been shown to be

$$\text{TOF} = \frac{k_{ads} \cdot K_{ads} \cdot (P_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}})^{1} \cdot (P_{O_2})^0}{(s^{-1})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $k_{ads}$ is the first-order Arrhenius rate constant for the rate-determining-step, $K_{ads}$ is the methanol equilibrium adsorption constant, and $P_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}}$ is the methanol partial pressure in the gas phase. The reaction is zero-order in oxygen partial pressure in the gas phase because the catalyst surfaces are fully oxidized under reaction conditions. The value for the first-order surface rate constant, $k_{ads}$, is independently obtained from the CH$_3$OH-TPSR experiments. This independent kinetic information allows for direct determination of $K_{ads}$ from the steady-state methanol oxidation TOF value, eq 2, as shown below

$$K_{ads} = \text{TOF}(P_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}})^{1}/k_{ads} \ (L/mol)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Furthermore, the methanol equilibrium heat of adsorption on the catalyst, $\Delta H_{ads}$, can also be determined from the following relationship

$$\Delta H_{ads} = E_{app} - E_a \ (kcal/mol)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $E_a$ is the activation energy for the first-order surface reaction obtained from the CH$_3$OH-TPSR experiments (see eq 1 above) and $E_{app}$ is the apparent activation energy for steady-state methanol oxidation. Previous studies over many metal oxide catalysts revealed that the $E_{app}$ for methanol oxidation to formaldehyde was typically $\sim$20 kcal/mol, and consequently, this value for $E_{app}$ was employed to determine the methanol equilibrium heat of adsorption on the metal oxide surface.

**Results**

**CH$_3$OH-TPSR Studies with Model Supported Metal Oxide Catalysts.** Before the studies with the bulk Mo–V–Nb–Te–O mixed metal oxide catalytic materials were initiated, CH$_3$OH-TPSR studies with model supported metal oxide catalysts were undertaken because these model systems contain the supported active metal oxide components as a two-dimensional metal oxide monolayer (100% dispersion) and their surface compositions can be controlled. The model supported metal oxide catalysts investigated consisted of molybdena, vanadia, telluria, and their combinations supported on Nb$_2$O$_5$. Niobia was selected as the support since it is the only oxide present in the mixed Mo–V–Nb–Te–O metal oxide system that possesses high surface areas after calcinations at 450 °C. Raman characterization of the model niobia supported metal oxides demonstrated that the 6% MoO$_3$/Nb$_2$O$_5$, 7% V$_2$O$_5$/Nb$_2$O$_5$, 5% TeO$_2$/Nb$_2$O$_5$, 1% V$_2$O$_5$/6% MoO$_3$/Nb$_2$O$_5$, and 1% TeO$_2$/6% MoO$_3$/Nb$_2$O$_5$ catalysts did not possess crystalline phases and contained monolayer surface coverage of these two-dimensional surface metal oxide species.

The CH$_3$OH-TPSR spectrum for the Nb$_2$O$_5$ support is presented in Figure 1. The formation of dimethyl ether (DME,
The CH$_3$OH-TPSR spectra for the model supported 6% MoO$_3$/Nb$_2$O$_5$ catalyst are presented in Figure 2. The chemisorbed surface methoxy species yields H$_2$CO with a $T_p$ of $\sim$189 °C and CH$_3$OH with a $T_p$ of $\sim$135 °C over surface redox sites. Deuterium isotopic labeling studies demonstrated that the first-order rds involves breaking of the methyl C–H bond of the surface methoxy intermediate to form H$_2$CO and CH$_3$OH. The reaction products of DME, DMM, and MF are not observed during this CH$_3$OH-TPSR experiment. As the CH$_3$OH-TPSR cycles are repeated without reoxidizing the surface MoO$_x$ species (not shown for brevity), the H$_2$CO formation peak temperature shifts from 189 °C toward $\sim$211 °C as the surface Mo$^{5+}$ sites become reduced to lower oxidation states (formation of formaldehyde is accompanied by extraction of one oxygen atom from the surface MoO$_x$ species to make H$_2$O). The shift in $T_p$ to higher temperatures is reflected in an order of magnitude decrease in the Arrhenius $k_{rds}$ rate constant. In addition, the amount of H$_2$CO formed continuously decreases and the amount of CH$_3$OH formation continuously increases. The continuous decrease in the formation of H$_2$CO with repeated CH$_3$OH-TPSR cycles reveals that reduced surface MoO$_x$ species do not dissociatively chemisorb methanol as efficiently as the fully oxidized surface Mo$^{6+}$ redox sites. Furthermore, no DME is formed at $\sim$300 °C, which indicates that all the surface Nb acidic sites of the Nb$_2$O$_5$ support have been titrated by the surface molybdena species.

The CH$_3$OH-TPSR experiments for the model supported 7% V$_2$O$_5$/Nb$_2$O$_5$ catalyst give the same spectra as that for the corresponding model supported 6% MoO$_3$/Nb$_2$O$_5$ catalyst, with the exception of slightly different $T_p$ values and ratio of H$_2$CO/CH$_3$OH. The formation of H$_2$CO on the redox surface vanadia sites occurs at $\sim$177 °C rather than $\sim$189 °C over the redox surface molybdena sites, reflecting the higher activity of the surface vanadia sites than the surface molybdena sites on Nb$_2$O$_5$. Another difference between surface vanadia and molybdena species is the much higher production of H$_2$CO and lower production of CH$_3$OH from the 7% V$_2$O$_5$/Nb$_2$O$_5$ catalyst. These observations suggest that the methanol equilibrium adsorption constant, $K_{ads}$, is probably higher for surface vanadia sites than surface molybdena species. The H$_2$CO TPSR peaks also shift to higher temperatures as the surface vanadia sites are reduced by repeated cycles of CH$_3$OH-TPSR, but its reduction is also more sluggish. Vohs et al. nicely demonstrated by combining CH$_3$OH-TPSR and XPS analysis, on single crystals of TiO$_2$ and CeO$_2$ containing a surface vanadia monolayer, that H$_2$CO formation occurs with a $T_p$ $\sim$100 °C lower from surface V$^{5+}$ sites than on surface V$^{3+}$ sites.$^{11,12}$ This translates to at least 2 orders of magnitude in activity between the $k_{rds}$ values of surface V$^{5+}$ and V$^{3+}$ sites. The absence of DME formation reveals that the surface vanadia species cover the surface acidic sites of the Nb$_2$O$_5$ support.

The CH$_3$OH-TPSR spectrum for the model supported 5% TeO$_2$/Nb$_2$O$_5$ catalyst is shown in Figure 3. The selective oxidation reaction products from this oxidized surface are H$_2$CO ($T_p$ $\sim$ 263 °C) and CH$_3$OH ($T_p$ $\sim$ 144 and $\sim$272 °C). The simultaneous formation of H$_2$CO and CH$_3$OH at 263–272 °C reflects the redox nature of the surface TeO$_2$ species on the Nb$_2$O$_5$ support.$^{17}$ Desorption of CH$_3$OH with $T_p$ $\sim$ 144 °C originates from molecularly adsorbed methanol. The absence...
of DME formation demonstrates that the surface acidic sites on the Nb2O5 support are also titrated by the surface tellurium species. The surface tellurium species possess redox characteristics that exhibit a significantly lower activity relative to the other surface redox sites (V5+ > Mo6+ >> Te4+).

The above CH3OH-TPSR studies demonstrate that the individual oxides among Mo, V, Te, and Nb give rise to different reaction products, ratios of product to methanol, and specific Tp values and that the Tp values are also dependent on the specific oxidation states. These experiments, however, do not provide any information about what happens when multiple metal oxides are simultaneously present on the surface. To address this issue, studies with supported mixed metal oxide monolayer catalysts were also undertaken. The CH3OH-TPSR spectrum from the model supported 1% V2O5/6% MoO3/Nb2O5 catalyst is shown in Figure 4. Interestingly, the H2CO production at Tp ~ 183 °C is somewhat lower than that originally observed for 6% MoO3/Nb2O5 due to the addition of 1% V2O3 to the surface molybdena monolayer on niobia. This reveals that the surface chemistry of this mixed metal oxide monolayer is being dominated by the surface V5+ species and that the surface methoxy species are able to surface diffuse toward the surface V5+ sites during the TPSR experiment. The rapid surface diffusion of Mo−OCH3 and V−OCH3 was demonstrated in previous studies. Furthermore, the same Tp value for 5% V2O5/Nb2O5 and 1% V2O5/6% MoO3/Nb2O5 also reveals that the kinetics for methoxy decomposition of the surface vanadia species on Nb2O5 are not influenced by the presence of surface molybdena species. The increase in reactivity is also reflected in the much higher production of H2CO/CH3OH in the presence of surface vanadia. To examine the kinetic behavior of the introduction of a cation with lower activity, the model supported 1% TeO2/6% MoO3/Nb2O5 catalyst system was also investigated with CH3OH-TPSR. The resultant CH3OH-TPSR spectrum shown in Figure 5 is almost indistinguishable from the previous results for the surface Mo9+ sites on the Nb2O5 support (reflected in the same Tp values and ratio of H2CO/CH3OH). These findings again reflect the surface mobility of the surface methoxy intermediates and their preferred reaction pathway to H2CO formation via the most active surface sites (V5+ > Mo6+ >> Te4+).

The CH3OH-TPSR results above for the Nb2O5 supported metal oxide catalysts are collected in Table 1 for comparison: Tp, kads, Eps, and H2CO/CH3OH. DME/CH3OH, or CO2/CH3OH ratios.

CH3OH-TPSR Studies with Bulk, Pure Metal Oxides. The CH3OH-TPSR spectrum for bulk Nb2O5 was already presented in Figure 1 and revealed that only surface acidic sites are present on this metal oxide and form DME from the surface methoxy species. From pyridine-IR chemisorption studies, however, it was found that the calcined Nb2O5 did not possess surface Lewis or Bronsted acid sites as probed by pyridine. It appears that methanol is able to sense some weak surface acidic sites on the Nb2O5 since it exclusively forms DME on this metal oxide surface.

The CH3OH-TPSR spectra from bulk V2O5 are depicted in Figure 6 as a function of several TPSR cycles without exposure to gas-phase oxygen between each cycle. The formation of H2CO from the surface methoxy species always occurs with a Tp ~ 188 °C, and the peak temperature does not change position with each subsequent reducing cycle. The relatively constant Tp of ~188 °C suggests that primarily active surface V5+ redox sites are present on the bulk V2O5 powders. The ability to maintain the surface V5+ sites fully oxidized implies that oxygen anions are diffusing from the bulk lattice to the surface to reoxidize the surface V cations reduced by the CH3OH-TPSR experiment (Mars−van Krevelen mechanism). The decrease in the H2CO formation is due to some sintering of this thermally fragile material during the TPSR experiment. The decrease in the H2CO/CH3OH ratio with each CH3OH-TPSR cycle reveals that some surface reduced VOx sites are also present and that these reduced sites do not chemisorb methanol as readily as the more active surface V5+ redox sites. No DME formation was observed reflecting the absence of active surface acidic sites for this sample under the current experimental conditions.

The CH3OH-TPSR spectra from bulk MoO3 are shown in Figure 7 as a function of CH3OH-TPSR cycles. Unlike bulk V2O5 reduction cycles, the H2CO Tp for MoO3 initially occurs at ~196 °C and continuously increases toward 221 °C with each reduction cycle. This change is analogous to the reduction of surface V5+ to V4+/V3+ observed by Vohs et al. and reflects the reduction of the surface Mo species with each cycle. The ease of reduction of the surface Mo9+ sites to lower oxidation states with each cycle reflects the inability of bulk lattice oxygen of MoO3 to diffuse to the surface and fully reoxidize the reduced Mo cations under the current experimental conditions. The reduction in the amount of H2CO formed with each cycle is due to sintering of this thermally fragile metal oxide and the creation of surface reduced MoOx sites that decrease methanol chemisorption on the defective MoOx surface. The decrease in the H2CO/CH3OH ratio with each cycle reflects the lower methanol kads on the partially reduced molybdena surface. The significant shifts in Tp translate to about an order of magnitude decrease in kads for the conversion of surface methoxy to H2CO on MoO3. The lack of DME formation reveals the absence of surface acidic sites on the surface of MoO3 for the present catalyst and experimental conditions.
Unlike the fully oxidized bulk and model supported V$_2$O$_5$ and MoO$_3$ catalysts that gave similar $T_p$ temperatures for H$_2$-CO formation, the $T_p$ values for bulk TeO$_2$ and supported 5% TeO$_2$/Nb$_2$O$_5$ varied by 170 °C. This dramatic decrease in $T_p$ temperatures for the formation of these reaction products reveals that the niobia support is promoting the catalytic activity of the surface telluria species. Such a dramatic decrease in $T_p$ corresponds to an increase in $k_{\text{ads}}$ of more than 5 orders of magnitude. A closer examination of the bulk and supported vanadia and molybdena catalysts also shows that the $T_p$ values appear to slightly decrease when these metal oxides are deposited on the Nb$_2$O$_5$ support (e.g., V$_5^{5+}$ decreases from 188 to 177 °C and Mo$_6^{6+}$ decreases from 196 to 189 °C). The absence of surface acidic sites is reflected in the CH$_3$OH-TPSR product yield containing no DME.

The CH$_3$OH-TPSR results for the bulk, pure Nb$_2$O$_5$, V$_2$O$_5$, MoO$_3$, and TeO$_2$ metal oxides are presented in Table 1 for comparison:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>TOF (s$^{-1}$) $\times 10^{-3}$ at 230 °C</th>
<th>$T_p$ (°C) of HCHO</th>
<th>$T_p$ (°C) of DME</th>
<th>rel HCHO production with adsorption cycle</th>
<th>HCHO/CH$_3$OH</th>
<th>DME/CH$_3$OH</th>
<th>$k_{\text{ads}}$ (s$^{-1}$) @ 230 °C for HCHO not on Nb$_2$O$_5$</th>
<th>$K_{\text{ads}}$ (L/mol)</th>
<th>$E_a$ (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6% MoO$_3$/Nb$_2$O$_5$ (1st)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.6 $\times 10^2$</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% MoO$_3$/Nb$_2$O$_5$ (2nd)</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% MoO$_3$/Nb$_2$O$_5$ (3rd)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% MoO$_3$/Nb$_2$O$_5$ (4th)</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7% V$_2$O$_5$/Nb$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>4.7 $\times 10^{-1}$ * 1.2 $\times 10^2$</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% TeO$_2$/Nb$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% V$_2$O$_5$/6% MoO$_3$/Nb$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V$_2$O$_5$ (first)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>273.7</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V$_2$O$_5$ (second)</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3.5 $\times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoO$_3$ (first)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoO$_3$ (second)</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoO$_3$ (third)</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TeO$_2$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.72 $\times 10^{-1}$ * 6.0 $\times 10^4$</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>8.0 $\times 10^{-3}$ * 5.4 $\times 10^4$</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo$<em>{0.6}$V$</em>{1.5}$O$_x$</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo$<em>{1.0}$V$</em>{0.5}$Te$_{0.16}$O$_x$</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo$<em>{1.0}$V$</em>{0.5}$Nb$_{0.12}$O$_x$</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The TOF and $K_{\text{ads}}$ values were obtained from steady-state methanol oxidation studies and the remaining parameters were obtained from CH$_3$OH-TPSR studies.

Figure 6. CH$_3$OH-TPSR from bulk V$_2$O$_5$, several cycles.

Figure 7. CH$_3$OH-TPSR from bulk MoO$_3$, several cycles.

Figure 8. CH$_3$OH-TPSR from bulk TeO$_2$. Surface telluria species. Such a dramatic decreases in the $T_p$ corresponds to an increase in $k_{\text{ads}}$ of more than 5 orders of magnitude. A closer examination of the bulk and supported vanadia and molybdena catalysts also shows that the $T_p$ values appear to slightly decrease when these metal oxides are deposited on the Nb$_2$O$_5$ support (e.g., V$_5^{5+}$ decreases from ~188 to 177 °C and Mo$_6^{6+}$ decreases from 196 to 189 °C). The absence of surface acidic sites is reflected in the CH$_3$OH-TPSR product yield containing no DME.

The CH$_3$OH-TPSR results for the bulk, pure Nb$_2$O$_5$, V$_2$O$_5$, MoO$_3$, and TeO$_2$ metal oxides are presented in Table 1 for comparison: $T_p$, $k_{\text{ads}}$, $K_{\text{ads}}$, $E_a$, and H$_2$CO/CH$_3$OH or DME/CH$_3$OH ratios.

CH$_3$OH-TPSR Studies with Bulk Mixed Metal Oxides. Prior to undertaking the CH$_3$OH-TPSR experiments, the Raman spectra of the mixed metal oxides were examined for phase purity and structural changes. The Raman spectra of the bulk mixed metal oxides are compared against the reference MoO$_3$ and V$_2$O$_5$ crystalline Raman spectra in Figure 9. Comparison of the Raman spectra of bulk MoO$_3$ and V$_2$O$_5$ with that of bulk Mo$_{0.6}$V$_{1.5}$O$_x$ reveals that the binary mixed metal oxide phase is monophasic, does not contain separate small V$_2$O$_5$ or MoO$_3$ crystallites, and has a structure uniquely different from its two
pure metal oxide components. The Raman spectrum of bulk Mo0.6V1.5Ox appears to be similar to a distorted MoO3 structure since it exhibits a strong band at ~865 cm⁻¹, rather than 812 cm⁻¹, and a much weaker band at ~980 cm⁻¹, rather than 993 cm⁻¹. The bulk Mo0.6V1.5Ox Raman spectrum is dominated by the bulk vibrations of molybdena rather than vanadia because of the much higher Raman scattering cross section of molybdenum oxides than vanadium oxides. By analogy with the well-known vibrations of crystalline MoO3, the band at ~865 cm⁻¹ is assigned to Mo=O−Mo and Mo=O−V vibrations and the band at ~980 cm⁻¹ is assigned to Mo=O and/or V=O vibrations. The decrease in the relative intensity of the ~980 cm⁻¹ band with increasing Mo/V ratio suggests that this band probably arises mostly from V=O vibrations. As the Mo content of the mixed oxides is increased in the tertiary and quaternary mixed oxides, Mo/V ratio from 0.4 to 3.3, the corresponding Raman spectra do not change very much relative to that of the binary Mo0.6V1.5Ox, because of the higher molybdenum oxide contents, the much stronger Raman scattering cross section of the Mo=O vibrations (Mo > V=O > Nb=O > Te=O), and the similar bulk Mo oxide structures.

The influence of different reactive environments upon the bulk structure of the quaternary Mo1.0V0.5Te0.16Nb0.12Ox was also examined with in situ Raman spectroscopy, but the spectra are not shown for brevity. The quaternary mixed oxide bulk structure was found to be stable to dehydration in He at 350 °C, exposure to CH3OH/He at 250 °C, and various C3H8/O2 reaction environments (C3H8/O2 ratios from 6/1 to 1/1). A very small crystalline MoO3 Raman band was only found to be present under propane ODH conditions. However, this corresponds to only a trace of crystalline MoO3 because of the very strong Raman scattering cross section of this band. Thus, the mixed metal oxide bulk structure appears to be relatively stable under reactive environments that are not net oxidizing.

The surface of the binary bulk Mo0.6V1.5Ox mixed metal oxide was found to only yield H2CO product from surface methoxy species on surface redox sites at Tp ~ 173 °C (see Figure 10), which corresponds to the surface V5+ sites (Table 1). The shift of the H2CO Tp value from ~188 to ~173 °C from the pure V2O5 to the bulk Mo0.6V1.5Ox mixed metal oxide, respectively, reflects the promotion of V5+ sites by adjacent cation ligands. The surface of the tertiary bulk Mo1.0V0.5Te0.16Ox mixed metal oxide system was found to only yield H2CO at Tp ~ 175 °C, which is also characteristic of surface V5+ redox sites promoted by adjacent cation ligands (see Figure 11). The surface of the quaternary bulk Mo1.0V0.5Te0.16Nb0.12Ox mixed metal oxide was found to yield both DME (Tp ~ 146 °C) and H2CO (Tp ~ 173 °C), as shown in Figure 12. The surface redox sites for H2CO formation at Tp ~ 173 °C also correspond to surface V5+ sites promoted by the other cations that were already found for the binary and tertiary bulk mixed metal oxide catalysts. The surface acidic sites for DME formation at Tp ~ 146 °C correspond to surface Mo6+ sites promoted by the other cations. Although the supported 6% MoO3/Nb2O5 catalyst and bulk MoO3 did not give rise to DME formation in this low-temperature region, other supported molybdena catalysts (e.g., MoO3/Al2O3, MoO3/TiO2, and MoO3/ZrO2) did exhibit the formation of DME at the same temperatures. 19 The formation of DME in this temperature range was not observed for other supported metal oxide catalysts (e.g., V2O5, CrO3, Re2O7, WO3,
Thus, the surface V^{5+} sites are responsible for redox chemical properties of all three mixed metal oxides and only the quaternary mixed oxide system also exhibits the acidic chemical property typically associated with surface Mo^{6+} sites.

The CH₃OH-TPSR results for the bulk mixed metal oxides are presented in Table 1 for comparison: \( T_p \), \( k_{\text{ads}} \), \( k_{\text{ads}} \), \( E_a \), and \( \text{H}_2\text{CO/CH}_3\text{OH} \) or DME/CH₃OH ratios.

**Discussion**

**Surface Elemental Composition of Bulk Mixed Metal Oxide Catalysts.** The CH₃OH-TPSR spectra demonstrated that each of the fully oxidized surface Mo, V, Te, and Nb oxides possesses a different reactivity temperature window: V (\( T_p \approx 173–188 \) °C), Mo (\( T_p \approx 189–196 \) °C), Te (\( \sim 260–430 \) °C), and Nb (\( \sim 300 \) °C). Thus, it is possible to use this surface chemistry knowledge to discriminate among the different surface metal oxide species present for the mixed metal oxide catalysts. The CH₃OH-TPSR spectra, however, may sometimes also be dominated by the most active surface sites due to the high surface mobility of the surface *OCH₃* species and, consequently, may not provide as much information about less reactive surface catalytic sites. This appears to be the case for the less reactive surface Te⁴⁺ and Nb⁴⁺ oxides that are overshadowed by the more active surface V⁵⁺ and Mo⁶⁺ sites. Thus, to obtain a complete elemental analysis of the outermost surface layer of mixed metal oxides, it is also necessary to perform surface physical characterization with the surface sensitive low-energy ion-scattering spectroscopy (LEISS) to complement the CH₃OH-TPSR chemical characterization. In addition, the surface sensitive LEISS characterization investigations will also determine if various reactive environmental treatments (e.g., H₂, CH₃OH, C₃H₈, C₃H₈/O₂, etc.) can alter the surface composition of mixed metal oxides.

The surface enrichment in V⁵⁺ for bulk Mo₁₋₅V₁₅O₁₄ is not too surprising because of the high vanadia content (71 atomic %) of this bulk mixed oxide. The surface enrichment in V⁵⁺ for bulk Mo₁₋₅V₁₅O₁₄Te₀ₓ₁₆O₄ and Mo₁₋₅V₁₅O₁₄Te₀ₓ₁₇Nb₁₂O₄, however, is somewhat surprising since the bulk V atomic contents of these mixed oxides are only 30% and 19%, respectively. The enriched surface V⁵⁺ sites on the mixed metal oxide surfaces is related to the high mobility of the V⁵⁺ cation and the driving force to decrease to surface free energy of the mixed metal oxide system. The enhanced mobility of V⁵⁺ is associated with its much lower Tammann temperature, defined as half the melting point, of V₂O₅ (209 °C) compared to the other metal oxides; TeO₂ (230 °C), MoO₃ (261 °C), and Nb₂O₅ (620 °C). The Tammann temperature represents the temperature that surface atoms of a material begin to diffuse and suggests that the mobility of V⁵⁺ is greater than that of the other metal cations. The surface free energy of surfaces terminating with M=O bonds (e.g., V₂O₅ and MoO₃) is significantly less than surfaces terminating with M—OH bonds (e.g., TeO₂ and Nb₂O₅). Thus, the much higher mobility of V⁵⁺ relative to the other metal cations coupled with its ability to minimize the surface free energy of mixed metal oxide systems is apparently responsible for its surface enrichment of these bulk mixed metal oxides.

**Surface Oxidation States of Bulk Mixed Metal Oxide Catalysts.** For those active surface cations that dominate the CH₃OH-TPSR spectra, the \( T_p \) position also reflects the cation oxidation state. Vohs et al. nicely demonstrated that for supported vanadia monolayer catalysts the \( T_p \) value for the surface vanadia species varies ~100 °C depending on the vanadia cation oxidation state. A similar trend also occurs for reduced surface molybdena species (see Table 1). The cyclic CH₃OH-TPSR experiments with the molybdena catalysts also revealed that this method is also sensitive to the simultaneous presence of fully oxidized and partially reduced surface metal oxide cations. Furthermore, by performing several reducing cycles of CH₃OH-TPSR it is possible to determine if the surface cations are being reoxidized by bulk or surface lattice oxygen via a Mars—van Krevelen reaction mechanism. CH₃OH-TPSR cyclic studies over bulk V₂O₅ revealed that methanol oxidation during TPSR proceeds by a bulk Mars—van Krevelen mechanism involving bulk lattice oxygen since the same \( T_p \) value was obtained. In contrast, the CH₃OH-TPSR studies over bulk MoO₃ demonstrated that methanol oxidation during TPSR proceeds with the participation of primarily surface lattice oxygen since the \( T_p \) value increased with each reduction cycle. These findings reveal that O²⁻ diffusion through the bulk V₂O₅ lattice is much faster than O²⁻ diffusion through the bulk MoO₃ lattice. The current CH₃OH-TPSR experiments suggest that the surfaces of the bulk mixed metal oxide catalysts investigated in the present study consist of fully oxidized surface V and Mo sites after calcination in N₂.

**Surface Chemical Nature of Bulk Mixed Metal Oxide Catalysts.** For metal oxides, it is critical to know the chemical nature of the surface metal oxide cations, which cannot be provided by physical characterization methods. Fortunately, methanol oxidation over metal oxides produces different reaction products depending on the surface chemistry of the specific metal oxide: H₂CO from surface redox sites, DME from surface acidic sites, and CO₂ from surface basic sites. The latter assumes that CO₂ is the primary product and does not originate from overoxidation of H₂CO or from surface deposition of carbonaceous molecules from the background. Surface redox sites are able to readily give up their bridging oxygen in M—O—S bonds and surface acidic sites are not capable of giving up their bridging oxygen in bridging M—O—S bonds and possess either Brønsted acidity, H⁺, or Lewis acidity, oxygen vacancies that are electron deficient. Surface basic sites are electron rich and readily accept molecules containing H⁺ or that are electron poor. Steady-state methanol oxidation over the pure MoO₃, V₂O₅, TeO₂, and Nb₂O₅ demonstrated that only redox and acidic reaction products are obtained for low methanol conversions. From this information it is possible to conclude from CH₃OH-TPSR results that surface vanadia, molybdena, and telluria sites are redox and surface niobia sites are acidic in nature. Although higher alcohols, such as 2-propanol, can also determine the nature of active surface sites, they are much more sensitive to the acidic surface sites because of their more facile unimolecular dehydrogenation reactions compared to the more demanding bimolecular CH₃OH dehydrogenation reaction to form DME.

**Surface Reactivity of Bulk Mixed Metal Oxide Catalysts.** The first-order Arrhenius rate constants for the rate-determining-steps, \( k_{\text{ads}} \), of the various methanol oxidation surface reactions are quantified from the \( T_p \) values obtained from the CH₃OH-TPSR spectra and are presented in Table 1. It is clear that surface V⁵⁺ is about an order of magnitude more active than surface Mo⁶⁺, which in turn is ~100 times more active than Te⁴⁺, for methanol oxidation to H₂CO. The surface Nb⁵⁺ sites are not very active for methanol dehydrogenation to DME and reflect the presence of weak surface Lewis acid sites. The significant effect reduction has on the surface cation oxidation state is also apparent from the \( k_{\text{ads}} \) values. For example, surface V⁵⁺ is ~10⁵ times more active than surface V⁴⁺ and the \( k_{\text{ads}} \) spans a factor of ~10⁵ in going from V⁵⁺ to V⁴⁺. Furthermore, the effect of promoting ligands on the catalytic activity is directly quantifiable by the changes in the \( T_p \) values. For example, H₂CO formation from surface V⁵⁺
present on bulk V₂O₅ exhibits a \( T_p \sim 188 \) °C and from surface \( V^{5+} \) promoted by neighboring Mo\(^{6+}\) in the bulk mixed oxides exhibits a \( T_p \sim 173 \) °C, which translates to a kinetic factor of \( \sim 3 \) in the first-order \( k_{\text{ads}} \) value. This promotion is most probably related to the lower Sanderson electronegativity of adjacent Mo\(^{6+}\) (2.2) and Nb\(^{5+}\) (1.42) cations relative to the V\(^{5+}\) (2.51) and Te\(^{4+}\) (2.62) cations.\(^{22}\) The lower electronegativity of adjacent cations would increase the partial negative charge on the bridging \( \text{V} = \text{O} \)–M bonds (where M is either Mo or Nb). This would further increase the basic character of the bridging oxygen atom and enhance its affinity for accepting H atoms from the adsorbing methanol molecule and the surface methoxy intermediate. It also accounts for the activity enhancement observed when the surface Mo\(^{6+}\), V\(^{5+}\), and Te\(^{4+}\) are supported on Nb₂O₅, which possesses the lowest electronegativity among these four.

**Adsorption Equilibrium Constants of Bulk Mixed Metal Oxides.** Combination of the \( k_{\text{ads}} \) values obtained from the CH₃OH-TPSR and the corresponding steady-state reaction rates, TOF, allows for the direct determination of the \( K_{\text{ads}} \) values. This calculation assumes that the surface metal oxide sites are fully oxidized and, thus, cannot be applied to the partially reduced metal oxide surfaces. The methanol adsorption equilibrium constants, \( K_{\text{ads}} \), are shown in Table 1 for those oxide catalysts that have been examined for steady-state methanol oxidation. From the current limited available data in Table 1, it is not clear if any relationships exist between \( K_{\text{ads}} \) and the \( k_{\text{ads}} \) values for methanol oxidation. However, such a relationship was found to hold for supported vanadia catalysts with different oxide supports since both the dissociative CH₃OH chemisorption and surface CH₃OH\(^+\) decomposition to \( \text{H}_2 \text{CO} \) involve transfer of an H atom to basic oxygen.

**Lattice Oxygen Transport in Bulk Metal Oxides.** Cyclic CH₃OH-TPSR studies revealed the interesting observation that the surface of bulk V₂O₅ was readily reoxidized by bulk lattice oxygen after being reduced by CH₃OH, but that the surface of MoO₃ was not rapidly reoxidized by the bulk lattice oxygen and remained reduced after methanol reduction. This result is unexpected since previous studies of bulk lattice oxygen diffusion in V₂O₅ and MoO₃ indicated that the oxygen mobilities in these metal oxides were essentially identical.\(^{25,26}\) The origin of this difference in oxygen diffusion measurements may be that the current studies are only probing the outermost layer and a limited number of layers below the surface, the surface region, rather than solid-state diffusion of bulk lattice oxygen in bulk metal oxides. These interesting findings suggest that for catalytic applications the bulk lattice oxygen mobility in the surface region may be different than the more typical bulk lattice oxygen transport usually measured for bulk metal oxides.

**Oxidative Dehydrogenation of Propane to Propylene.** To examine the applicability of the CH₃OH-TPSR findings to other oxidation reactions, the bulk and supported \( \text{Mo} = \text{V} \)–Te–Nb–O mixed metal oxide catalysts were compared to earlier reactivity data for propane oxidative dehydrogenation to propylene.\(^{13}\) For propane ODH, the reactivity order of the fully oxidized bulk and niobia supported catalysts followed the pattern \( \text{V}^{5+} > \text{Mo}^{6+} > \text{Te}^{4+} \). The propane ODH findings exactly parallel the CH₃OH-TPSR reactivity pattern above that the surface \( \text{V}^{5+} > \text{Mo}^{6+} > \text{Te}^{4+} \) for methanol oxidative dehydrogenation to \( \text{H}_2 \text{CO} \). This parallel trend between propane and methanol oxidation is consistent in that the rate-determining steps in both oxidative dehydrogenation reactions being the breaking of a C–H bond. In situ Raman studies reveal that the surface metal oxide species present in the supported metal oxide catalysts are essentially fully oxidized under reaction conditions employing excess gas-phase oxygen.\(^{24}\) This observation is in agreement with the conclusion that for supported vanadia catalysts the surface lattice oxygen from the surface vanadia species is involved in propane ODH from oxygen isotopic labeling experiments and the zero-order dependence on the oxygen partial pressure.\(^{25}\) This catalytic reactivity pattern also seems to be applicable for propylene selective oxidation to acrolein studies over supported vanadia catalysts currently in progress.\(^{26}\) It has been shown that propane selective oxidation to acrylic acid over the bulk \( \text{Mo} = \text{V} \)–Te–Nb–O mixed metal oxide catalysts exhibits a zero-order dependence on the oxygen partial pressure and first-order dependence on the propane partial pressure,\(^{27}\) which implies a Mars–van Krevelen reaction mechanism using surface or bulk lattice oxygen from the catalyst in the rate-determining-step. The current bulk V₂O₅ and MoO₃ CH₃OH-TPSR cyclic reduction studies revealed that it would also be possible to discriminate between surface and bulk Mars–van Krevelen reaction mechanisms for this bulk mixed metal oxide catalyst. Thus, it seems that the CH₃OH-TPSR spectroscopy findings in the current investigation are not only limited to methanol oxidation studies but also can be generalized to other hydrocarbon oxidation reactions.

**Conclusions**

The CH₃OH-TPSR experiments have shown to be able to provide fundamental surface information about the nature of the active surface sites present on the outermost surface layer of bulk Mo–V–Te–Nb–O mixed metal oxides. The \( T_p \) values are sensitive to each specific cation and reflect surface compositional information. Furthermore, the \( T_p \) values are also sensitive to the presence of reduced surface cations since the \( T_p \) values shift to higher values from partially reduced surface cations. This latter characteristic can also be applied to determine if a Mars–van Krevelen reaction mechanism over bulk mixed oxides proceeds via a bulk or surface lattice oxygen. The chemical nature of the active surface sites is reflected in the methanol oxidation reaction products formed (e.g., \( \text{H}_2 \text{CO} \) from surface reox sites and DME from surface acidic sites). Determination of the \( T_p \) values allows for the direct quantification of the corresponding Arrhenius rate constant \( k_{\text{ads}} \), the surface reaction constant for the rate-determining step of the decomosition of the surface methoxy intermediate to formaldehyde. Changes in the \( T_p \) or \( k_{\text{ads}} \) values reflect the promotion of the active reox sites by neighboring cation ligands that influence the electron density on the bridging oxygen in M–O–S bonds. Knowledge of the corresponding steady-state TOF value also allows the determination of \( K_{\text{ads}} \), the equilibrium adsorption constant. The combination of CH₃OH-TPSR and LEISS studies is ideally suited to advance our fundamental knowledge about the chemical nature of the outermost layer of bulk mixed metal oxide catalysts in the coming years. Molecular structural information about the active surface sites present in the outermost layer of mixed metal oxides still awaits the development of novel physical spectroscopic surface characterization methods and the use of model mixed metal oxide systems.\(^{28}\)

Application of this approach to characterizing the surface of the bulk \( \text{Mo} = \text{V} \)–Te–Nb–O mixed metal oxides revealed that the active surface sites consisted of surface \( \text{V}^{5+} \) and Mo\(^{6+}\) cations and that the role of Nb\(^{5+}\) and Te\(^{4+}\) cations may primarily be as promoter ligands. The most active and selective bulk mixed metal oxide catalyst, the quaternary \( \text{Mo} = \text{V} \)–Te–Nb–O system, was found to possess both surface \( \text{V}^{5+} \) reox and surface Mo\(^{6+}\) acidic sites. Comparison of the current CH₃OH-TPSR
findings with corresponding propane ODH catalytic studies suggests that the findings from CH$_3$OH-TPSR are also be applicable to other hydrocarbon oxidation reactions. Thus, this new CH$_3$OH-TPSR spectroscopy approach to obtaining surface information about bulk mixed metal oxide catalysts is providing much new and needed rich chemical insights about the surface properties of bulk mixed metal oxide catalysts that previously were not attainable by other characterization methods.
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