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Wind energy turbines
Wind turbines convert kinetic energy from wind into mechanical or electrical energy.

Environmental benefits of wind energy systems include near zero: air and water pollution, production of hazardous waste, radiation effects, use of water and climate change.

With an average annual growth rate > 30%, wind has been the fastest growing power source worldwide on a percentage basis from 1990-2002. Wind energy could supply about 20% of electricity in the US. The theoretical potential, however, is much higher. Wind energy is the lowest costly of the renewable energy sources (namely wind, hydroelectric and solar).

The installed grid-connected capacity has expanded more than five-fold between 1990 and 2000. Most of this increase occurred in Europe, due to incentives for the use of renewable energies.

The 1990s also marked an increased interest in offshore windpower.

Whereas most new wind farm installations remain onshore, The Netherlands, Denmark and Sweden have begun to develop their expertise in offshore applications. It now appears that offshore installations will probably become decisive for the future competitiveness of the wind power industry.

Environmental impacts

Three of the most commonly noted environmental impacts of wind turbines are noise, visual appearance and electromagnetic interference. They can also kill bird species (cf. American Bird Conservancy, …). The noise produced by wind turbines has diminished as the technology has improved. That is, as blade airfoils have become more efficient, more of the wind energy is converted into rotational energy and less into acoustic noise.
Most wind turbines include a **rotor** with **blades** (which convert the wind’s energy into rotational shaft energy); a **nacelle** (enclosure) containing a drive train; and a **tower** to support the rotor, the drive train and electronic equipment.

Figure 1  The world’s largest wind turbine (summer 2004) at Risoe National Laboratory test site, Høvsøre, Denmark. Tower height is 120 m, rotor diameter is 110 m, and generator power is 3.6 MW.

While there are small and large wind turbines, the latter are the majority of the presently installed machines.

The primary application for the small wind turbines has been for battery charging in non-grid-connected systems.

Improved electronics and controls, however, have provided numerous applications in small hybrid wind energy systems. These mini-grid systems are generally connected to a diesel engine generator and can also include a photovoltaic generating component.
The larger machines are grid-connected and their general design has converged to some degree. A fundamental aspect in the design of a wind turbine is the orientation of the rotor axis - horizontal or vertical. The overwhelming majority of modern wind turbines are horizontal axis machines, for which the rotor axis is parallel to the ground and they usually have three blades (some have two).

For a horizontal axis machine, the tower must be at least high enough to keep the blade tips from touching the ground as they rotate. In practice, towers are usually much higher than that.

Also, winds are almost always much stronger and less turbulent as elevation increases. Therefore, all other things being equal, the tower should be as high as practical.
The tendency is to keep the weight of the components low, for a variety of reasons. On the other hand, the resulting turbine must be strong enough to survive any likely extreme events and operate reliably with a minimum of maintenance for a long time.

Wind turbine components, because they are kept light and flexible, tend to experience relatively high, variable stresses. These periodic stresses result in fatigue damage, which eventually leads to failure of a component, requiring its repair or replacement. So, with increasing size of wind turbines, fatigue and extreme loads are becoming more and more critical design factors.
Wind turbines can have blades with up to 90 m in length!
The power output of a wind turbine is roughly proportional to the square of the turbine radius (~ blade length).

Representative size, height and diameter of wind turbines.

Use of glass

It is the material strength that ultimately governs the size of the turbine blades.

For wind turbine blades, lightweight and strong glass fiber reinforced composites (GFRCs) are normally used.

The glass fiber provides the necessary stiffness to the more compliant polymer (a thermoset or thermoplastic). These composites require high strength and durability.

Current turbine blades are usually composed of glass fiber reinforced polyester laminate. The polyester is a thermoset polymer resin.
The most commonly used glass fibers for the GRFCs are the so-called E-glass fibers. E-Glass, or electrical grade (high electrical resistivity) glass, was originally developed for insulators for electrical wiring. E-glass was later found to have excellent fiber forming capabilities and is now used almost exclusively as the reinforcing phase in the GFRC material, commonly known as fiberglass.

Hooke’s law: $\sigma = E \varepsilon$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiber</th>
<th>Density (g/cc)</th>
<th>Tensile Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Tensile Strength (GPa)</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T700 Carbon</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>Toray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1000G Carbon</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>Toray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Glass</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>OCF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-Glass</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>Vetrotex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2-Glass</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4.59(1)</td>
<td>OCF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollex</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3.45(1)</td>
<td>OCF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fused Silica</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>J. P. Stevens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevlar 49</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>DuPont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevlar 29</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>DuPont</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diagram showing stiffness versus density for all materials. The merit index for a beam $M_b = E^{1/2}/\rho$ is represented by sloping lines with $M_b$ equal to 0.003 (lower line) and 0.006 (upper line). The criterion for absolute stiffness $E = 15$ GPa is indicated by the horizontal line (4).

Composites (FRCs)


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Stiffness $E_f$ (GPa)</th>
<th>Tensile strength $\sigma_f$ (MPa)</th>
<th>Density $\rho_f$ (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>Volume fraction $V_f$</th>
<th>Orientation $\theta$</th>
<th>Stiffness $E_c$ (GPa)</th>
<th>Tensile strength $\sigma_c$ (MPa)</th>
<th>Density $\rho_c$ (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>Merit $E_c^{1/2}/\rho_c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glass-E</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aramid</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E-glass has only 0.5 % (Na + K) oxides.

It is basically an alkali-free, low silica Ca Mg Al B silicate glass.
**Glass fiber fabrication**

E-glass fibers are generally produced using melt spinning techniques. These involve melting the glass composition into a platinum crown which has small holes for the molten glass to flow. Continuous fibers can be drawn out through the holes and wound onto spindles, while short fibers may be produced by spinning the crown, which forces molten glass out through the holes centrifugally.

Fiber dimension and to some extent properties can be controlled by the process variables such as melt temperature (hence viscosity) and drawing/spinning rate. The temperature window that can be used to produce a E-glass melt of suitable viscosity is quite large, making this composition suitable for fiber forming.

Such kind of glass is usually called a “long” glass, because it has a long working range (working T interval), as opposed to “short” glasses which have a short working range. A long working range is usually associated with low values of the activation energy for viscosity, $E_\eta$. E-glass is a low silica glass (only 55 weight% SiO$_2$) which is relatively fragile, with low $E_\eta$ at high melt temperatures.
Effect of temperature and composition on glass viscosity

log $\eta = f(T)$

(Adapted from: Materials Science and Engineering, W.D. Callister, John Wiley, 1994)
The temperature dependence of the viscosity of fragile liquids cannot be described by the Arrhenius equation and more complex expressions become necessary, such as the Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann equation, valid for $T > T_o$ ($T_o$, adjustable parameter $\sim T_g$):

$$\eta = \eta_o \exp\left[\frac{Q}{(T-T_o)}\right]$$

(Q independent of T)
Fabrication of continuous glass fibers

In GRPCs, optimal strength properties are gained when straight, continuous fibers are aligned parallel in a single direction. To promote strength in other directions, laminate structures can be constructed, with fibers aligned in other directions.

As fibers are being produced, they are normally treated with sizing and coupling agents. These reduce the effects of fiber-fiber abrasion which can significantly degrade their mechanical strength. Coupling agents are used to promote adherence of the matrix material to the fiber.
Continuous glass fiber fabrication

The number of nozzles per bushing may vary from ~ 100 nozzles for the finest fibers (\(~ 3 - 5 \mu m\)) to \(\geq 6,000\) nozzles for the thickest. To the individual filaments, a size coating is applied first (to prevent abrasion) and they are then combined into one strand, which is wound on a rotating cylinder.

Adapted from: Commercial glasses, Advances in Ceramics, Vol. 18, ed. D.C. Boyd and J.F. MacDowell (The American Ceramic Society, Columbus, Ohio, 1986).
The GFRCs for wind turbine blades contain continuous E-fibers with diameters in the range of 5 – 20 μm, which are fabricated by feeding remelted glass marbles, or using a direct melt process where the molten glass is fed into a fiber-forming furnace, called bushing, which contains a large number of small orifices or nozzles (~1 – 3 mm in diameter) through which the molten glass is drawn into fibers at speeds up to ~ 200 km/h. The bushing is made of a Pt alloy and the furnace is electrically heated.

Schematic representation of mechanical attenuation for the forming of continuous glass fibres. The bushing is fed either with marbles, or directly with liquid glass from a forehearth.

Adapted from: P.J. Doyle, Glass-making today, Portcullis Press (Redhill, UK, 1979).
Using LFT (longfiber technology) reinforcements in the composite processing enables molders to produce structural parts, compounding the fiber glass in-line with injection or compression molding techniques.


**Single nozzle**

*The nozzle in a base plate of a bushing showing the meniscus formed during attenuation of the glass into fibre*
The cost of E-glass fiber composites is typically one to two orders of magnitude less than the other high-performance fibers. However, this and other glass composites suffer from inefficient fiber strength and degradation under stress-corrosion conditions. The exceptional tensile properties of glass filaments that are measured at low temperature, low-humidity environments demonstrate a large potential for improved composite performance in these lost-cost materials. The strength of pristine S2-glass filaments, e.g., is reported to be as high as 11.6 GPa at liquid nitrogen temperature.

The inferior performance of the low-cost E-glass fibers can be improved to some extent by retarding the stress-corrosion of the material due to moisture and practical approaches to mitigating this corrosion are being addressed. One such approach to improving the strength and the stress-rupture lifetimes of glass fibers at ambient conditions is through the use of coatings. (Studies of optical fibers have shown that extremely high strengths can be achieved at ambient conditions if the surface of the fiber is first dried and hermetically sealed with metal coatings).
The chemical durability, *weathering and environmental effects* on the glass (solarization) are ultimately *compositionally dependent* and are also influenced by the *geographic location* of the wind energy system.

Also, many flywheel designs are actually limited not by fiber failure, but by *matrix-dominated failure* modes.

**In summary:** *carbon* fibers are generally preferred for highest performance and *E-glass* fibers for lowest cost.

If *ultra-high strength*, high modulus *glass compositions*, including more environmentally friendly B-free formulations, are developed to replace *E-glass*, these *should* be able to *compete well with the carbon fibers.*
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