

REVIEW

Open Access



# Molecular mechanisms regulating formation, trafficking and processing of annular gap junctions

Matthias M. Falk<sup>1\*†</sup>, Cheryl L. Bell<sup>2</sup>, Rachael M. Kells Andrews<sup>1</sup> and Sandra A. Murray<sup>2\*†</sup>

From International Gap Junction Conference 2015  
Valparaiso, Chile. 28 March - 2 April 2015

## Abstract

Internalization of gap junction plaques results in the formation of annular gap junction vesicles. The factors that regulate the coordinated internalization of the gap junction plaques to form annular gap junction vesicles, and the subsequent events involved in annular gap junction processing have only relatively recently been investigated in detail. However it is becoming clear that while annular gap junction vesicles have been demonstrated to be degraded by autophagosomal and endo-lysosomal pathways, they undergo a number of additional processing events. Here, we characterize the morphology of the annular gap junction vesicle and review the current knowledge of the processes involved in their formation, fission, fusion, and degradation. In addition, we address the possibility for connexin protein recycling back to the plasma membrane to contribute to gap junction formation and intercellular communication. Information on gap junction plaque removal from the plasma membrane and the subsequent processing of annular gap junction vesicles is critical to our understanding of cell-cell communication as it relates to events regulating development, cell homeostasis, unstable proliferation of cancer cells, wound healing, changes in the ischemic heart, and many other physiological and pathological cellular phenomena.

**Keywords:** Annular, Clathrin, Connexin, Degradation, Dynamin, Endocytosis, Fission, Gap Junction, Lysosomes, Phosphorylation, Ubiquitination, ZO-1

## Background

Gap junctions are membrane channels composed of proteins termed connexins [1, 2]. These channels permit intercellular communication of regulatory molecules that are thought to play a pivotal role in regulating a vast number of normal and diseased cellular events, including those during development, differentiation, and functions of most cells of the body [3–5]. Many cells express more than one of the twenty members of the connexin family that have now been identified in humans [1, 2, 6] and channels composed of more than one connexin type

have been reported [1]. Gap junction channels generally form between cells of the same type, but they can also form between cells of different types [7, 8]. Connexin 43 (Cx43) gap junction protein, the most ubiquitously expressed connexin, has been shown to be synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), oligomerized into a hemichannel in the Golgi and then transported to the cell surface [6, 9–11]. On the cell surface, hemichannels (termed connexons) from apposing cells align and dock head-on into complete, double-membrane spanning gap junction channels [12]. These channels then aggregate into gap junction plaques [1]. Although an isolated gap junction hemichannel within the cell membrane may be functional [13, 14], most channels are thought only to be functional once within gap junction plaques [1, 15]. The availability of functional channels between apposing cells is needed for efficient cell-cell communication, and

\* Correspondence: mfalk@lehigh.edu; smurray@pitt.edu

†Equal contributors

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA 18049, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Cell Biology and Physiology, University of Pittsburgh, School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15261, USA

therefore the removal of gap junction channels from the cell surface critically impacts the capacity for communication. It is widely accepted that gap junction channel removal from the cell surface involves a distinctive gap junction plaque internalization process, which results in the formation of annular gap junction vesicles in the cytoplasm of one of two contacting cells (Fig. 1). Processing of annular gap junction vesicles for degradation by autophagosomal and endo-lysosomal pathways has been described [16–23]. A potential return of annular gap junction connexins back to the cell surface, a provocative yet appealing thought, has recently been given some attention as well. The events in the annular gap junction “life cycle” have been suggested to regulate the number of gap junction channels available for communication and thus cell physiological functions [24–28].

In this article, we will first discuss the morphological characteristics of annular gap junction vesicles as revealed over time with combined multi-morphological imaging techniques. Then, we will review the current knowledge on annular gap junction formation and subsequent processing including fission, degradation and potential

connexin protein recycling. We also discuss the known cellular proteins that regulate these processing events.


## Characterization of gap junction and annular gap junction structures

### Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)


While the first transmission electron microscopic images of gap junction plaques were acquired in the early 1960s [29–31], it was not until 1972 that the first ultra-structural evidence for the endocytosis of the gap junction plaque and annular gap junction vesicle was reported [32]. Annular gap junction vesicles were described as double-membrane vesicles surrounding a central lumen seen in the cytoplasm of cells [25, 33] (Fig. 2a, marked with arrowhead). The 2–4 nm “gap” seen between the double membranes of the vesicle was the same width as that measured between the membranes of the gap junction plaque [34–36]. The gap junction plaque was named as a result of the presence of this gap [37–40], and thus the annular gap junction also was named based on that consistent narrow gap and its annular morphology. Annular gap junction vesicles have also been termed connexosomes [41]. The appearance of the annular gap junction vesicle membranes, as well as the gap junction plaque membranes, is determined by the fixation and staining methods used to prepare the samples. Specifically, a three-layered gap junction membrane was described after osmium tetroxide fixation followed by lead citrate staining [42]. A five-layered gap junction membrane was reported after potassium permanganate fixation followed by uranyl acetate and lead citrate staining [29, 30]. A seven-layered membrane was detected following fixation with glutaraldehyde-osmium tetroxide and stained en bloc with uranyl acetate before alcohol dehydration [39]. These early ultra-thin section structural analyses unmistakably characterized gap junctions as novel cellular structures and unambiguously differentiated gap junctions from another cell-cell junction type, tight junctions [43].

### Freeze fracture electron microscopy

The first freeze fracture electron microscopic report describing annular gap junction vesicles was published in 1973 [44]. With freeze fracture, the cell membrane is split in the hydrophobic plane at the level of contact between the acyl chains of the phospholipid molecules that comprise the two leaflets of the membrane bilayer [45]. This results in a protoplasmic (P)-fracture face (which represents the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane bilayer that is still adherent to the underlying cytoplasm as observed from the extracellular space looking inward) and an extracellular (E)-fracture face (which refers to the inner leaflet of the fractured membrane bilayer that was adjacent to the extracellular space as seen looking



**Fig. 1** Schematic diagram illustrating the formation of an annular gap junction from a gap junction plaque and its subsequent processing. Newly synthesized connexin (Cx) proteins assemble into a six-protein oligomer called a connexon. Connexons are then transported to and inserted into the plasma membrane. During cell-cell contact, a hemichannel can dock head-on with a hemichannel from an apposing cell and cluster to form a gap junction plaque. Once the plaque is no longer needed for cell-cell communication, or a cell becomes migratory, a portion of the plaque (usually the central portion) or the entire plaque is internalized to form an annular gap junction. The annular gap junction may then be degraded via a number of processes, including endo-lysosomal, autophagosomal, or a fission process followed by lysosomal degradation (as shown). It has been suggested that annular gap junction vesicles or free connexin proteins in cytoplasmic membranes that are released during annular gap junction vesicle degradation may recycle back to the cell surface to participate in the formation of new, or the addition to existing gap junction plaques. Black arrows depict structural components, while maroon arrows depict cellular processes



**Fig. 2** Gap junction plaques (*arrows*) and annular gap junction vesicles (*arrowheads*) shown with transmission electron (a), freeze fracture electron (b), and immunofluorescence microscopy (c). Staining cortical actin (*green* in c) helps to define the cell borders. The protoplasmic (P) and extracellular (E) fracture faces have been labeled in the replica of the gap junction plaque (in b). Nucleus = n. Bars: 100 nm in (a), 60 nm in (b), and 10  $\mu$ m in (c). (a from ref. [58] and b from ref. [206])

outward from the cytoplasmic space) (Fig. 2b). Since the fracture face can jump from within one membrane to within the other membrane (as is the case in the gap junction plaque shown in Fig. 2b), freeze fracture allowed unambiguous identification of gap junction channels because they traversed both plasma membranes and gap junction channel halves (connexons) were present on both replicas [46]. The annular gap junction vesicle P- and E-fracture face appearance was the same as that seen for the gap junction plaque [47–49]. Specifically, freeze fracture disclosed aggregates of 8.5 nm particles on the P-fracture face and clusters of pits on the E-fracture face of the cytoplasmic vesicles [47, 49]. The annular gap junction vesicle however was distinguished from the plaque by its obvious location within the cytoplasm and its vesicular appearance [49]. Based solely on the early TEM and freeze fracture images, it was hypothesized that gap junction plaques were engulfed into one of two contacting cells [32, 33, 48, 49], but the definitive proof was yet to come.

It should be noted however, that in early years, the existence of annular gap junction vesicles was met with controversy. Some investigators suggested that the profiles seen in TEM were only cross sections through invaginations from the cell surface [50, 51]. However, meticulous serial sectioning through cells provided ultra-structural proof that there was a lack of continuity of the annular gap junction vesicle profile with the cell surface and thus confirmed that at least some of the observed structures were truly isolated vesicles within the cytoplasm [32, 44, 52].

#### Lanthanum infiltration

Further confirmation for the existence of annular gap junction vesicles rather than cross-sections of gap junction membrane invaginations came from lanthanum infiltration studies, which were used to demonstrate that the 2–4 nm “gap” of the annular gap junction membrane did not fill with lanthanum [52]. The lack of lanthanum in the “gap” between the inner and the outer membranes of the annular gap junction vesicles, thus confirmed that

they were vesicles within the cytoplasm and not invaginations of the cell plasma membranes.

Annular gap junctions were found in a number of different cell types (ovarian granulosa cells, SW-13 adrenocortical tumor cells, epithelial cells, uterine cells, etc.) [33, 48, 49, 52–55] and investigators hypothesized that their formation was influenced by extracellular factors including toxins [41], viral infection [56] and hormonal treatments [25, 54]. The detection of annular gap junctions required highly skilled TEM and freeze fracture sample preparation and careful, laborious microscopic observations. The early studies of the distribution and changes in annular gap junction vesicles were therefore limited by the time and difficulty of obtaining the sample size needed for quantitation. New methodologies were needed that allowed for the rapid and accurate identification of annular gap junction vesicles if information on the tissue distribution and mechanisms of regulation were to be obtained. Such new methodology arrived with the isolation, characterization and production of antibodies against the gap junction channel connexin proteins [2, 57].

#### **Immunofluorescence microscopy (two and three-dimensional analyses)**

With the availability of antibodies directed against the various connexin family members, it was possible to use immunofluorescence microscopy to demonstrate the vast tissue distribution of annular gap junction vesicles. Immunofluorescence, compared to TEM or freeze fracture, is a relatively straightforward and inexpensive method for detecting gap junction plaques and annular gap junction vesicles. By using an anti-connexin antibody and a secondary antibody (which has been conjugated to a fluorophore) it was possible to visualize annular gap junctions with a fluorescence microscope [24, 55, 58–61] (Fig. 2c, marked with arrowhead). Furthermore, colocalization procedures have been used to evaluate connexin-associated proteins and to predict the role of these proteins in annular gap junction processing [18, 58, 59, 61, 62]. Annular gap junction vesicles, detected with immunofluorescence, were generally  $> 0.5 \mu\text{m}$  in size [61] and were distinguished from either secretory vesicles [63], which generally are less than 200 nm in diameter, or from aggregated fluorescent material based on size and the annular staining morphology of the puncta [64, 65].

One disadvantage of two-dimensional immunofluorescence microscopic analyses is that false-positive colocalizations can occur when two molecules are in visual alignment (superimposed in the image) but are not in contact [66]. Three-dimensional confocal analysis is a major refinement of the immunofluorescence microscopic technique and it allows assessment of the colocalization (molecular interaction) of selected

molecules. That refinement consists of obtaining confocal “z-stacks”, which are multiple confocal images spaced equally in the “z” axis, in order to generate orthogonal x-z and y-z side views. This also allows for the generation of a 3D volume-view in which the positions and proximity of the molecules of interest can be ascertained (Fig. 3). The ability to view the cellular components from different angles provided by the rotation of the re-constructed volume view permits a highly critical analysis of “true” colocalization [58, 62, 67]. In Fig. 3, as an example, the association of clathrin with the gap junction plaque and annular gap junction vesicles has been displayed in several different angles. This allows for a detailed analysis of the morphology of the gap junction structures and their interactions with clathrin. A methods article, which describes in detail immunohistochemical procedures to analyze gap junction plaques and annular gap junction vesicles is forthcoming [68].


#### **Quantum dot immuno-electron microscopy**

Annular gap junctions and their associated proteins, detected with immunofluorescence have been accurately identified and correlated with quantum dot immuno-electron microscopy techniques. With quantum dot immuno-electron microscopy techniques, the characteristic gap junction membrane ultrastructure can be easily discerned and used to positively identify annular gap junction vesicles and their associated proteins (Fig. 4). As seen in Fig. 4a, for example, phosphorylated Cx43 can be observed associated with the annular gap junction vesicle while in Fig. 4b, clathrin can be seen decorating an annular gap junction (marked with arrows in both images). The annular gap junction can be positively identified in these images by the presence of the characteristic pentalaminar membrane (marked with arrowheads). A methods article which describes in detail quantum dot probes and procedures for imaging gap junction structures is forthcoming [68].

#### **Live cell imaging**

The field advanced further by the isolation of the jellyfish green fluorescent protein (GFP) [69], the coupling of GFP to connexins, and the expression of connexin-GFP fusion proteins in cells [63, 65, 70, 71]. The connexin-GFP fusion protein has been used for live cell imaging studies to investigate connexin protein dynamics [63, 65, 71]. Investigators applying live cell imaging [63, 65, 71, 72] have confirmed the suggestions of the earlier morphologists that annular gap junction vesicles indeed result from the internalization of gap junction plaques into one of the two contacting cells. Important to the discussion of annular gap junction vesicles is that clusters of gap junction channels can be removed from relatively small areas of the plaque, and that an entire gap junction plaque can be internalized to





**Fig. 3** Immunocytochemistry (a) and 3D-volume reconstructions (b-d) demonstrating in detail the association of clathrin (red) with a Cx43 (green) gap junction plaque. An area of the gap junction plaque can be appreciated in the rotated views as a bud that likely has not yet detached from the plaque (a-d arrowheads). It can be confirmed in the rotated views that an annular gap junction is intimately associated with clathrin (arrows a-d). A rotation around the Y-axis in the 3D-reconstruction images allows more information to be obtained on the morphology of the gap junction structures as well as their relationship to clathrin. The images seen in (b) and (c) (arrows) have been enlarged (b'-c'). The 3D-reconstruction was rendered with the Amira 3D Software for Life Sciences, FEI™ (Hillsboro, Oregon). Bar: 5  $\mu$ m in (a-d), 1.5  $\mu$ m in (b', c')


form annular gap junctions [58, 61, 73] (Figs. 5 and 6). The size of the formed annular gap junction was seen therefore to vary depending on the size of the gap junction plaque or the portion of the plaque that was internalized. The observations made with live cell imaging have contributed to the understanding of gap junction dynamics and the characterization of the numerous proteins that were demonstrated to be involved in their formation, fission into smaller vesicles and their

degradation. A methods article describing in detail live-cell probes and procedures to image gap junctions and annular gap junctions in living cells is forthcoming [74].


### Annular gap junction formation

#### Dynamic changes occurring in gap junction plaques during annular gap junction formation

The internalization of the gap junction plaque membrane is a critical step in the removal of gap junction



**Fig. 4** Quantum dot immuno-electron microscopy demonstrating annular gap junction vesicles decorated with phosphorylated Cx43 (a) and clathrin (b) (arrows). Note the characteristic annular gap junction double-membrane, which helps to distinguish the annular gap junction vesicle from other membraned cellular structures (see arrowheads). Bars: 100 nm




**Fig. 5** Internalization of a gap junction plaque. **a** Schematic depicting the internalization of a complete gap junction. The process leads to the formation of an annular gap junction (AGJ) vesicle in the cytoplasm of one of the coupled cells. **b, c** The process schemed in **(a)** imaged by time-lapse microscopy in Cx43-GFP expressing HeLa cells (only fluorescence shown in **(b)**, merged fluorescence and DIC channels shown in **(c)**). Note that the small gap junction plaque in **(b)** (depicted by the upper arrow) does not internalize and remains in the plasma membrane while the large gap junction (depicted by the lower arrow) invaginates into the left cell of the coupled pair and forms an annular gap junction; and that in **(c)** a new gap junction (depicted with arrow) forms at the location where the previous internalized gap junction plaque (depicted with arrowhead) was localized. Time in **(c)** is in hours:minutes. (B.N.G. Giepmans and C. Lehmann recorded time-lapse movie sequences shown in **(b)** and **(c)**, respectively when working in the Falk lab.)

plaques. Based on time lapse image analyses the gap junction plaque internalization process first involves the formation of a shallow curvature of the gap junction plaque [58, 61]. This invaginated area then deepens to form a U-shaped projection of gap junction plaque membrane. Over time, the U-shaped invagination develops into a full bud-like membrane area, which remains attached to the plasma membrane by a thin neck. The bud is subsequently detached from the plasma membrane to form a cytoplasmic annular gap junction vesicle [58, 61] (Figs. 5, 6 and 7). The frequency of gap junction plaque internalization and subsequent annular gap junction vesicle degradation is thought to impact a number of pathological conditions, including cancer and ischemia [48, 75, 76], and further may also be critical to numerous cellular functions, such as cell migration, proliferation, and wound healing [24, 77]. The steps in the gap junction plaque internalization process that result in the formation of annular gap junction vesicles is a highly regulated

phenomena which likely requires coordinated participation of numerous signaling machineries (Figs. 7 and 8).

#### Molecular signals that initiate annular gap junction formation


While the structure and formation of annular gap junctions is now well documented [33, 55, 59, 61, 78], still very little is known about the molecular signals that initiate gap junction internalization. Previous studies have shown that gap junction channels of a gap junction plaque appear to turn over continuously, an observation that correlates well with the short half-life of only 1–5 h observed for connexins and gap junctions in situ and in cultured cells [63, 72, 73, 79–81]. Numerous studies have shown that newly synthesized channels accrue along the outer periphery of gap junction plaques while older, and likely non-functional channels are simultaneously internalized from central plaque regions [58, 63, 72, 73, 82, 83] (Fig. 9a). Alternative methods of gap junction plaque assembly also have been suggested in which



connexons accrue and dock throughout plaques. In addition, the fusion of dispersed or clustered connexons and gap junction channels by lateral movement may exist as well [84–87]. Interestingly, a number of recent reports suggest that only a small portion of gap junction channels in a gap junction plaque is open and functional, while the majority seem to be permanently closed [15, 88–90]. These observations would correlate with a model that predicts that only newly accrued channels (in the plaque periphery) are functional, while older more central plaque portions are non-functional, remaining closed until they are removed via internalization (Fig. 9b).

However, in addition to internalizing non-functional, central plaque portions [65, 73], cells have been observed to internalize entire gap junction plaques while other gap junctions in these cells remained stable in the plasma membranes [59, 61, 67, 91, 92] (Fig. 5b). As described in detail above, several studies have shown that gap junction internalization utilizes components of the endocytic clathrin machinery [58, 59, 61, 82, 93, 94] (Figs. 7 and 8). As shown for a number of proteins, including gap junction connexins, clathrin does not interact with its cargo directly but indirectly via adaptors. Three different clathrin associated sorting proteins (CLASPs) have been identified to recruit clathrin to Cx43, the classical plasma membrane clathrin adaptor protein complex, AP-2, the alternative clathrin adaptor protein, Dab2 (disabled 2) [61], and the ubiquitin-interacting CLASP, Eps15 (Epidermal growth factor receptor substrate 15) [95]. Depleting cells of these adaptors by RNAi significantly reduced gap junction internalization [93, 95], which indicates that these adaptors are able to recruit clathrin to Cx43 for gap junction internalization. Three adaptor-protein binding sites (canonical tyrosine-based sorting signals of the type 'YXX $\Phi$ ', where  $\Phi$  is a bulky hydrophobic amino acid) termed S1, S2 and S3 (Y<sup>230</sup>VFF<sup>233</sup>, Y<sup>265</sup>AYF<sup>268</sup>, Y<sup>286</sup>KLV<sup>289</sup>) were identified in the connexin C-terminus (Cx43-CT), two of which (S2 and S3) were found to function cooperatively as AP-2 binding sites [96]. The S3 binding site is part of a previously identified internalization-relevant region termed proline-rich region [97]. Mutating or deleting the adaptor-protein binding sites abolished Cx43/adaptor protein/clathrin interaction, which results in increased gap junction size, longer Cx43 protein half-lives, and most importantly abolished gap junction internalization [95, 96]. From these observations, it seems clear that internalizing gap junction plaques and non-functional gap junction plaque portions (presumably central regions) need to interact with components of the endocytic machinery (CLASPs/clathrin), while stable, functional, gap junction plaques and gap junction plaque portions (presumably peripheral regions) do not. Such a selective Cx/CLASP/clathrin interaction would require significant structural differences in the connexins of internalizing gap junction plaques and plaque portions compared to their plasma membrane dwelling counterparts. What are these structural differences in internalizing versus stable gap junction-localized connexins that mediate CLASP/clathrin access and binding in such a precise and sophisticated manner? A number of connexin modifications including (1) connexin phosphorylation, (2) ubiquitination and (3) ZO-1-binding are known to directly or indirectly influence gap junction mediated intercellular communication (GJIC) and thus may also influence gap junction internalization and annular gap junction vesicle formation.





### Connexin phosphorylation

Cx43 and many other connexins are phospho-proteins and most, if not all steps in their 'life cycle' are regulated via phosphorylation/de-phosphorylation by various kinases [98–101]. At least 11 serine and two tyrosine residues located in the Cx43-C-terminus (CT) are known to be phosphorylated *in vivo* which result in either GJIC up-regulation (S325, S328, S330, S364/365, S373) or down-regulation (Y247, S255, S262, Y265, S279/282, S368) [98, 100, 101]. Serine phosphorylations that decrease GJIC are mediated by PKC (S368) [100, 102, 103], mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) (S255, S262, and S279/S282) [104–106], and by the cell-cycle dependent kinase CDC2 [91, 107–109], while tyrosine residues are phosphorylated by Src [110, 111]. Recently, MAPK- and PKC-mediated phosphorylation of Cx43 on serines 252, 262, 279/282, and 368 was directly linked to clathrin recruitment and gap junction endocytosis in mouse embryonic stem cells and in primary pulmonary artery endothelial cells (PAECs) in which gap junction endocytosis was induced by treatment with the growth factors, EGF and VEGF, respectively [112, 113]. Phosphorylations by both MAPK and PKC kinases were found to be essential for gap junction internalization [112, 113]. In addition, two other studies report that mutation of amino acids S279 and S282 into phospho-dead alanine residues increased Cx43 protein stability upon treatment with EGF [114] and that activation of PKC resulted in the phosphorylation of Cx43 S368 which


caused gap junction internalization and degradation [88]. Moreover, the Mehta lab published that phosphorylation on S279/282 regulates Cx43 gap junction endocytosis in pancreatic cancer cells [115]. Together, these studies are consistent with the suggestion that phosphorylation/de-phosphorylation events on at least two different sites in the Cx43-CT (S368 and S279/282) by two different kinases (PKC and MAPK) are directly linked to clathrin recruitment and gap junction internalization.

De-phosphorylation on Ser365 (initially phosphorylated by PKA) has been shown by the Lampe and Sorgen labs to be essential for allowing phosphorylation of Ser368 (termed 'gate-keeper' event) [116]. Interestingly, Ser365 de-phosphorylation causes a major conformational alteration of the Cx43 C-terminus that involves the upstream region around amino acid 280 [116, 117], the region we now know harbors the S2/S3 AP-2/clathrin binding sites. Thus, de-phosphorylation of Ser365, required for subsequent phosphorylation of Ser 368 and its link to the conformational alteration of the Cx43-CT, is likely to also play a direct role in gap junction internalization.


### Connexin ubiquitination

Another post-translational modification known to occur on Cx43 is ubiquitination. Ubiquitin (Ub) is a small, 8.5-kDa protein that is covalently attached to a lysine on a target protein by an enzyme cascade consisting of E1 (Ub-activating), E2 (Ub-conjugating) and E3 (Ub-ligase) enzymes [118]. A single Ub (mono), multiple mono, or a





**Fig. 8** Schematic representation of the signals and players that participate in the steps that lead to gap junction internalization, formation of annular gap junctions in the cytoplasm of the acceptor cell, and annular gap junction degradation through the phago-lysosomal (*bottom right*) or the endo-lysosomal (*bottom left*) pathway based on published studies. Abbreviations are: AGJ, annular gap junction; CLASPs, clathrin-associated sorting proteins; ESCRT, endosomal sorting complexes required for transport; GJ, gap junction; p62, sequestosome 1/SQSTM1; UBA, ubiquitin-associated domain; UIMs, ubiquitin-interacting motifs. (From ref. [182])



**Fig. 9** Gap junction plaque assembly and structure. **a** Photoconversion of Cx43-Dendra2 reveals accrual of newly synthesized gap junction channels (non-converted, *green*) along the outer edge of gap junction plaques (permanently photoconverted from green to red; shown for two gap junction plaques viewed en face/onto the plaque surface). (From ref. [73].) **b** Schematic model of a gap junction depicting our hypothesized plaque organization. **c** Cx43 gap junctions (*green*) colocalizing with the scaffolding protein, ZO-1 (*red*), generating a typical staining along the rim of gap junction plaques [130], shown in fixed endogenously Cx43 expressing primary pulmonary artery endothelial cells (PAECs). The boxed area is shown enlarged on the right. (From ref. [131])

chain of Ubs linked together (poly Ub) can be attached to a target protein. All 7 lysines in the Ub polypeptide (K6, 11, 27, 29, 33, 48, 63) are capable of forming structurally precise linkages to subsequent Ubs [119], and all serve different and specific cellular functions. Ubiquitination of Cx43 has been described for 20 years, and its role in signaling proteasomal Cx43 degradation has been well established [120]. However, Ub's role in the

internalization and degradation of gap junctions has only recently been studied [16, 22, 23, 95, 121]. We still know very little about the types of ubiquitination that occur in gap junction plaques, how many Ub moieties are linked to Cx43 polypeptides in gap junctions, how many connexin polypeptides in a gap junction channel need to be ubiquitinated (just one, or all 6 on one side of the plaque), and to which lysine residue(s) the Ub(s) is/are

linked. So far, the few published results indicate that multiple mono-Ubs are attached to connexins [22, 95], and very recently that K63-poly-ubiquitination also associates with connexins [122]. K63-linked poly-ubiquitination is known to signal intracellular trafficking, endo-lysosomal, and phago-/lysosomal degradation [123, 124]. This would suggest that Cx43 K63-poly-ubiquitination might also play a role in gap junction internalization. Lysine 303 in the Cx43-CT was identified in a proteome-wide survey of potential Ub sites [125] making this residue the most likely candidate for K63 poly-ubiquitination.


### ZO-1 binding and release

A large number of structural and cytoplasmic regulatory proteins are now known to interact with Cx43, predominantly at its C-terminus (reviewed in [98, 126]). One of these regulatory proteins is a MAGUK (membrane-associated guanylate kinase)-family member known as ZO-1 (zonula occludens-1). It is a well-characterized ubiquitous plasma membrane-associated scaffolding protein that has been shown to be involved in regulating gap junction plaque assembly. ZO-1 directly interacts with Cx43 and other connexins through its PDZ-2 domain [98]. The last 4 residues of Cx43 insert into a binding pocket on the PDZ-2 domain [127, 128]. Two PDZ-binding consensus sequence motifs, class 1 (-X-**S**/T-X-V/I/L-COOH) and class 2 (-X-V/I/L-X-V/I/L-COOH) have been identified that mediate PDZ-domain/binding protein interactions [129]. The minus one and the minus three position residues (bold) are of particular importance. The last four amino acid residues of the majority of the connexins contain these consensus sequence motifs suggesting the interaction of these connexins with ZO-1 [98]. Interestingly, ZO-1 only localizes to the periphery of Cx43 gap junction plaques, generating a typical rim staining [130, 131] (Fig. 9c). More recently, Rhett and colleagues showed that Cx43 connexons are bound to ZO-1 when they dock with connexons from apposed cells in the vicinity of plaques (a region termed the perinexus) and that ZO-1-binding down-regulates the rate of channels that are added to GJ plaques [83]. Dunn and Lampe recently showed that Cx43/ZO-1 binding is regulated via phosphorylation/de-phosphorylation of S373 by Akt kinase [132]. This finding correlates with the earlier observation of Chen and colleagues which implemented peptides corresponding to the Cx43-CT with serines 372 and 373 mutated into the phosphomimetic residue glutamic acid (E) to investigate Cx43/ZO-1 interaction in *in vitro* protein/protein binding assays [133]. Cx43-S373 that is phosphorylated by Akt kinase early on in the secretory pathway [134, 135] was found to be de-phosphorylated in Cx43-subunits that bind ZO-1 [132]. These results suggest that ZO-1 interaction with

connexins in gap junctions, in addition to phosphorylation and ubiquitination, plays a central role in gap junction plaque turnover.

Based on, and backed significantly by the observations made by us and others described above, we pieced together a working model depicting the molecular alterations that regulate GJ assembly and turnover: The model predicts that a series of consecutive phosphorylation/de-phosphorylation events on well-known C-terminal Cx43 amino acid residues including Ser373, Ser365, Ser368, and Ser279/S282 known to be phosphorylated by Akt, PKA, PKC, and MAP kinases, respectively, regulate [1] forward trafficking of connexons to the plasma membrane (secretion), [2] connexon docking and channel accrual, and [3] transition of functional into permanently closed gap junction channels that then are [4] 'primed' to interact with clathrin to [5] mediate their endocytosis. These 5 steps also involve and require Cx43-ubiquitination and ZO-1 binding and release. All steps, including the critical posttranslational modifications are shown schematically in Fig. 10. The 5 steps trigger and coordinate the transition from functional (green) to internalization-prone GJ channels (yellow, orange) that then can interact with clathrin components to internalize GJ channels (red). The 5 steps are as follows: [1] Early during secretion (ER, Golgi), newly synthesized connexons are phosphorylated by Akt kinase on S373 (and potentially S372) to prevent premature ZO-1 binding. These connexons are trafficked to the plasma membrane and may function as hemi-channels [13, 14] as S373 phosphorylation prevents their docking into double-membrane spanning gap junction channels. [2] Upon de-phosphorylation of S373 in the vicinity of gap junction plaques (the perinexus) they bind ZO-1, dock, and accrue to the periphery of gap junction plaques. [3] When gap junction channels age (permanently close) and move inward towards plaque centers, de-phosphorylation of S365 is initiated to allow phosphorylation of S368 to transition ZO-1-bound into ZO-1-unbound gap junction channels. [4] Conformational changes of the Cx43-CT triggered by de-phosphorylation of S365 allow MAPK to access and phosphorylate S279/282 (and potentially also S262 and S255), and E3-ubiquitin ligases (Nedd4, Smurf2, Trim21, Wwp1) [95, 136–138] to bind to and ubiquitinate Cx43 (potentially on K303). [5] These protein modifications then enable CLASPs (AP-2, Eps15) to access and bind to Cx43 to recruit clathrin and invaginate the central gap junction plaque portion. Dynamin (directly or indirectly) then likely scissors the invaginated gap junction bud from the plasma membrane [58, 82, 93, 94]. Acute internalization of entire gap junction plaques (e.g. induced by thrombin, endothelin, EGF, VEGF, TPA-treatment [88, 112, 113, 131, 139], or following hormonal changes [140]) may be achieved in a similar way by initiating






ZO-1 displacement on the endocytic side of gap junction plaques as observed by Gilleron and colleagues [67] and Baker and colleagues [131].

Note that the 5 transition steps described above group into two phases, “Early” (green) and “Late” (red) that are spatially separated on the Cx43 C-terminus (Fig. 11a). Early events (including S373 phosphorylation/de-phosphorylation, ZO-1 binding/release, S365 de-phosphorylation and S368 phosphorylation) occur on the far C-terminal portion of the Cx43 C-terminus juxtaposed to the ZO-1 binding site, while later events (S279/S282 phosphorylation, ubiquitination) occur further upstream around the CLASP/clathrin binding sites. Critical to our hypothesis is the above described observation published by the Lampe and Sorgen labs [116] that Ser365 de-phosphorylation (a prerequisite for S368 phosphorylation) causes a major conformational alteration of the Cx43 C-terminus that involves the upstream region that harbors the S2/S3 CLASP/clathrin binding sites. Based on this observation we hypothesize that the Cx43 amino acid region around the clathrin binding site is not accessible to CLASPs (based on folding and steric hindrance) in connexins of functional gap junction channels, while the region is rendered accessible (unfolded) to CLASPs and clathrin via phosphorylation and ubiquitination in gap junction channels that are to be endocytosed (Fig. 11b). MAPK-mediated phosphorylation (on S279/S282 and potentially on S262 and S255) and

ubiquitination (presumably on K303) in the vicinity of the CLASP/clathrin binding sites may increase hydration and thus may further enhance CLASP/clathrin access. Alternatively, Cx43-ubiquitination could regulate binding of CLASPs, such as AP-2 versus Eps15. Eps15 is a clathrin-binding protein that interacts with the target protein via direct interaction of its ubiquitin-interacting motif (UIM) with the Ub-modification that is surface localized and accessible in K63-poly-Ub chains [141], while AP-2's  $\mu$ 2-subunit interacts with tyrosine- and dileucine based amino acid sequence motifs [142] that are present within the Cx43-CT [96]. Such a shift from using tyrosine-/dileucine-based (AP-2) to Ub-based (Eps15) CLASPs has, for example, been described for EGF-receptor internalization and is believed to accommodate a switch from constitutive to acute receptor internalization [143]. [Note added in proof: During the typeset stage of this manuscript a study demonstrating that phosphorylation of connexin43 serine residues 279/282 increases affinity of the E3-ubiquitin ligase, Nedd4 by two-fold and thus appears to directly regulate Cx43-K63-polyubiquitination was published [144].]

Chen and colleagues Cx43-peptide/PDZ-domain binding assays also revealed that the Cx43/PDZ-2 interaction requires that two ZO-1 proteins undergo a structural dimerization known as “domain swap” as they bind two separate Cx43 C-terminal peptides. Such dimerization results in a structural assembly that is believed to make the



**Fig. 11** Scheme depicting how access of clathrin to Cx43 might be regulated. **a** Interestingly, all proposed Cx43 modifications relevant to Cx43 gap junction internalization cluster into two domains, 'early' occurring on residues located juxtaposed to the C-terminal ZO-1 binding site (shaded green), and 'late' occurring on residues located juxtaposed to the S2, S3 AP-2 (Eps 15)/clathrin binding sites (shaded red). The lowest energy 3D solution NMR structure of the Cx43-CT revealing the location of critical residues solved by Sorgen and colleagues [117] is shown. **b** We propose that a conformational change of the Cx43-C-terminal domain (CT) triggered by serine 365 de-phosphorylation [116] opens up the Cx43-CT allowing MAPK to access and phosphorylate S279/282 (and eventually also S262 and S255); and E3-ubiquitin ligases to bind to and ubiquitinate Cx43 (presumably on lysine 303) to promote AP-2 (and/or Eps15) to access the YXXΦ-binding motifs (S2, <sup>265</sup>YAYF<sup>268</sup>, S3, <sup>286</sup>YKLV<sup>289</sup>), recruit clathrin and internalize gap junctions/central gap junction plaque portions

PDZ-2/Cx43 interaction Cx43 specific [133]. Notably, these results indicate that at least two ZO-1 proteins will simultaneously interact with a connexon of a channel in a gap junction plaque. As ZO-1 is a very large, 220 kDa protein (almost the size of an entire Cx43-based connexon) dimerization forms a 440 kDa ZO-1 protein complex that dwarfs the size of the underlying bound connexon. Likely, this huge protein complex 'shields' the cytoplasmic surface of gap junction channels and thus will need to be removed from gap junction plaques before other proteins (kinases, phosphatases, E3-ubiquitin ligases) could gain access to gap junction channels and allow subsequent regulatory connexin modifications, including

transforming them for gap junction internalization. Several other functions of ZO-1 associations with Cx43 have been described as well that range from channel formation to docking and lateral movement of the channels in the plasma membrane [83, 131, 145–147]. That ZO-1 most likely has functions in addition to regulating channel accrual is not surprising, as ZO-1 is a scaffolding protein and thus is likely to interact with many different proteins at various times.

As gap junction plaque assembly and internalization consists of several steps, it furthermore is not surprising that multiple players and post-translational modifications are involved to achieve these events. In addition,

implementing a succession of different types of modifications likely increases specificity and flexibility, including aborting and reverting the chain of events in case such changed cellular conditions call for increased GJIC. Continued research will be essential to further substantiate this elegant and elaborate regulatory machinery described by our model. [Note added in proof: During the typeset stage of this manuscript a review article suggesting a similar model of the internalization of gap junctions was published lending further support to our gap junction internalization model presented here [148].]

#### **Annular gap junction translocation: actin and myosin-VI**

In time-lapse recordings of living cells, internalized annular gap junction vesicles have been observed as translocating away from the plasma membrane and deeper into the cytoplasm [18, 61]. Staining Cx43-GFP transfected HeLa cells with anti-myosin-VI antibodies revealed a robust colocalization of myosin-VI with gap junction plaques that apparently were in the process of internalization (curved plaques), and with newly generated annular gap junction vesicles [61]. Myosin-VI did not colocalize with “stable” gap junction plaques (straight plaques apparently not in the process of internalization) or with Cx43-GFP containing secretory vesicles. In addition, actin filaments (stained with rhodamine-phalloidin) were observed to colocalize with Cx43-GFP gap junction plaques and annular gap junction vesicles [61]. This actin filament/Cx43-GFP annular gap junction colocalization was confirmed by ultra-structural analyses and is consistent with the well-documented role of actin in gap junction stabilization and internalization [33, 149–151]. That myosin-VI drives the translocation of annular gap junction vesicles from the plasma membrane into the cytoplasm was indicated by the effect of stabilizing or disrupting actin filaments with the known actin drugs, jasplakinolide and latrunculin A, respectively; and by overexpressing myosin-VI in Cx43-GFP expressing HeLa cells [61].

Myosin-VI is the only motor protein known to migrate toward the pointed (minus) ends (located peri-nuclearly) of actin filaments [152]. Myosin-VI has been described to function in translocating endocytic vesicles generated by clathrin-dependent endocytosis from the plasma membrane through the peripheral actin meshwork into the cell body [153, 154]. Myosin-VI can interact directly through its C-terminal globular tail with the C-terminal serine- and proline-rich region of the clathrin-adaptor, Dab2, and thus can link cargo and endocytic vesicles to actin filaments [153–157]. Since newly generated annular gap junction vesicles in general are much larger in diameter than normal endocytic vesicles (often >0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  compared to <0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ ) it is not surprising that myosin-VI is recruited and aids in translocating annular gap junctions from the cell periphery deeper into the cell body. The involvement of the conventional plus-end directed actin-based motor, myosin-II,

suggested to colocalize with internalized annular gap junctions [78] could not be confirmed in a later study by Piehler and colleagues [61] and its role, if any, in annular gap junction formation/processing remains unclear.

#### **Annular gap junction scission**

##### **Fragmentation and budding**


Following internalization, annular gap junction vesicles have been reported to fragment or divide into smaller portions, or to bud smaller annular gap junction vesicles from a larger annular gap junction [58, 61] (Fig. 12). This fragmentation (scissoring) process results in the formation of typically appearing clusters of annular gap junctions visible in the cytoplasm in both fluorescence as well as EM images [58, 61, 158] (Fig. 12a-f and k-m). Indeed, by obtaining stacks of images, generating three-dimensional volume views, and collecting time-lapse microscopic images, annular gap junction scissoring has been confirmed [58, 61, 67]. In some cases near equal division of the annular gap junction was apparent (Fig. 12a-f). Both budding and annular gap junction scissoring resulted in a reduction of annular gap junction size. In the case of the small buds that are released from the annular gap junction vesicle, it is possible that some of these could be single rather than the typical double membrane that has been described for the annular gap junction vesicles [58]. Why annular gap junctions bud or scissor after their generation is currently not known, however it may prepare larger annular gap junctions for efficient degradation and potentially other uses. Dynamin is thought to facilitate the scissoring process.

##### **Dynamin's role in annular gap junction formation and scission**

Dynamin has been well documented to serve in the scissoring of numerous other types of non-junctional vesicles from membranes [159]. Specifically, dynamin has been shown to form a spiral around the neck of invaginated membrane during clathrin coated-pit formation [160–162]. Dynamin then tightens to constrict and eventually scissor the invaginated membrane from the surface [161, 163–167]. In the case of gap junction membrane scissoring, dynamin has been demonstrated to play a pivotal role in both the scissoring of the gap junction bud from the cell surface to result in annular gap junction vesicle formation [58, 61, 82, 93, 94] as well as in fission of annular gap junction vesicles to form smaller vesicles [58].

The evidence that dynamin facilitates scissoring of the gap junction plaque bud from the cell surface and thus annular gap junction formation is based on colocalization immunofluorescence studies in which dynamin was demonstrated to associate with Cx43-GFP gap junction





**Fig. 12** Annular gap junction vesicle fission. In the time-lapse imaging montage the splitting of an annular gap junction vesicle is demonstrated (a-f). Once the split occurs, the two annular gap junction vesicles (arrow and dashed arrow) move away from one another (d-f). The path and size of annular gap junction vesicles is depicted in the animated 3D reconstruction time-lapse tracking (g, h) and in the corresponding graphs (i, j). Note the yellow line depicting the annular gap junction movement path before (arrowhead) and after the split (arrow) (g) and the corresponding changes in size after fission (i). Inhibiting dynamin function blocks annular gap junction splitting (h, j). Clusters of annular gap junction vesicles, which would be consistent with the splitting/budding process, can be seen with fluorescence light microscopy in (k), and transmission electron microscopy (AGJ, marked with arrowheads in l, m) in the cytoplasm. Note the gap junction plaque (GJ) in the plasma membranes in (m). Membranes have been labeled with Dil (red in k). Bars: 10  $\mu\text{m}$  (a-f), 5  $\mu\text{m}$  (g, h, k), 100 nm (l, m). (a-j from ref. [58], and k-m from ref. [61])

plaques [58, 61], and on studies in which dynamin activity inhibition or reduction (by knocking down dynamin expression with RNAi, expression of a dominant negative dynamin mutant (K44A), or treatment with dynamin-inhibitory drugs such as Dynasore or GTP- $\gamma$ S in cells expressing Cx43-GFP) result in significantly fewer annular gap junction profiles [58, 61, 82, 93]. Furthermore, in the presence of dynasore, or in RNAi dynamin knockdown cells, the majority of gap junction buds failed to be released from the plasma membrane, in contrast to the relatively rapid release of these structures from plaques in the control populations [58].

By monitoring annular gap junction vesicles in control and dynasore treated cell populations and visualizing fission events by tracking the movement, morphological changes, and the size of individual annular gap junction vesicles over time, evidence has been provided that similar to its scissoring function in the release of gap junction plaque membrane buds, dynamin facilitates annular gap junction vesicle fission [58] (Fig. 12g-j). With time-lapse techniques, the average number of annular gap junction vesicles per hour seen to undergo fission was dramatically reduced in dynasore treated cell cultures compared to the number quantitated in control cell populations [58]. Consistent with these observations, immunocytochemical studies demonstrated dynamin at or near their equator area of some annular gap junctions [58, 82]. Based on dynamin's site of location with annular gap junction vesicles, and the decreased number of fission events in the treated cell populations, it is suggested that dynamin plays a major role in the annular gap junction vesicle fission process [58, 61, 82, 93].

Fission of the annular gap junction vesicle poses a topological challenge in that it has a double membrane, and unlike the thin neck of the gap junction bud, it has a larger area that has to be constricted to achieve vesicle fission. Similar challenges are faced by mitochondria, which can be as large as or larger than annular gap junction vesicles. Mitochondria, like annular gap junction vesicles, are double membrane-bound organelles. During the mitochondrial fission process, two members of the dynamin superfamily, dynamin-related proteins (Dnm1) in yeast, and dynamin-related protein (DRP1) in mammals, have been demonstrated to assemble into punctate structures on mitochondria surfaces [168–170]. These molecules facilitate the constriction and subsequent scissoring of the mitochondrion into two smaller mitochondria [169, 171, 172]. A similar process is suggested for the annular gap junction vesicle fission process. The current data is consistent with a role for dynamin in the scissoring needed to release annular gap junction vesicles into the cytoplasm as well as fission of the annular gap junction vesicle. Such fissions may be critical to gap junction protein degradation or recycling.

### **Annular gap junction degradation and potential recycling**

Cells have developed three principal degradation pathways: the proteasomal, the endo-lysosomal, and the phago-/lysosomal system (termed macroautophagy or simply autophagy) and all three serve specific cellular functions. The two latter degradation systems utilize the lysosome for final degradation. All three degradation systems have been implicated previously at various steps in the regulation of gap junction stability and connexin degradation [19, 173–178]. Since annular gap junction vesicles are highly oligomeric multi-subunit protein assemblies, their degradation by the proteasome (that is designed to degrade unfolded polypeptides that need to be inserted into the tubular core of the cytoplasmically located proteasome) appears unlikely, and to our knowledge, no evidence exists that would suggest proteasome-mediated degradation of assembled gap junction plaques, or of annular gap junction vesicles. Yet, inhibiting the proteasome can increase the number of gap junction channels and plaques in the plasma membrane [179, 180]. However this increase in gap junctions is most likely indirect due to decreasing degradation of connexin polypeptides shortly after their biosynthesis [10]. Both autophagosomal as well as endo-lysosomal degradation of annular gap junctions has been described and several recent reviews address annular gap junction degradation in great detail [92, 98, 121, 181–183]. We thus only briefly discuss this topic.

### **Autophagosomal degradation**

Several recent studies report the degradation of annular gap junctions by autophagy in situ as well as in cells in culture [16, 18–20, 158] (Fig. 8, bottom right). These studies correlate with older ultra-structural analysis in tissues [174, 177, 184, 185]. Autophagy degrades protein aggregates, organelles and other structures that are already present in the cytoplasm, under both starvation and unstarved conditions [92, 124, 186]. The structural characteristics of annular gap junctions together with the fact that autophagy serves as the default degradation pathway for cytoplasmically localized organelles and protein-aggregates suggests autophagy as the most logical cellular pathway for annular gap junction degradation. Multiple stages characteristic of autophagosome formation and maturation progressively encircling annular gap junction vesicles that coalesced into phagophores and fused with lysosomes have been detected to degrade annular gap junction vesicles in several independent ultrastructural analyses [18–20, 174, 177].

In addition, a large number of proteins essential or relevant for autophagosome formation and autophagic degradation (termed Atg-proteins) have been characterized and can be used as reliable markers for autophagic degradation. Of these, the ubiquitin-like proteins Atg12 (that is conjugated to Atg5) and LC3/Atg8, Atg7, and the PI3-kinase

complex-component Beclin-1 (BECN1)/Atg6 (essential for phagophore nucleation) are essential for autophagosome formation and autophagic degradation. All of them have been targeted and found important for annular gap junction degradation [16, 18–20], further supporting autophagic degradation of annular gap junctions.

The ubiquitin-binding protein, p62 or sequestosome 1 (p62/SQSTM1) was found to colocalize with gap junction plaques in HeLa, COS7, and primary pulmonary artery endothelial cells [16, 18, 20]. p62/SQSTM1 recognizes and interacts via its UBA-domain with polyubiquitinated proteins [187–189] and delivers polyubiquitinated (Lys63-linked) oligomeric protein complexes to the autophagic degradation pathway [190, 191]. Depleting cells of p62 by RNAi knockdown significantly increased the number of annular gap junction vesicles [18, 20] further supporting the concept that p62 targets annular gap junction vesicles to autophagic degradation.

#### Endo-lysosomal degradation

The endo-lysosomal system specifically degrades protein cargo that has been taken up at the plasma membrane in vesicles that mature into, or fuse with endosomes; or cargo that in other ways has entered endosomes. Membrane vesicles containing intact endocytosed gap junctions, or gap junctions apparently in the process of degradation have been observed in several ultrastructural studies [48, 151, 192–194], suggesting that annular gap junctions may fuse with lysosomes [151, 193, 194]. Also, the association of annular gap junctions with lysosomes, and the presence of acid phosphatase activity in annular gap junctions [48] further suggests that lysosomal degradation of annular gap junctions occurs following junctional internalization [33, 48, 49, 178]. More recently Leithe and colleagues [22] reported that upon TPA (a structural analog of the secondary messenger molecule diacylglycerol [DAG]) treatment of cells, internalized gap junctions are degraded by the endo-lysosomal pathway (Fig. 8, bottom left). The Leithe lab identified the protein Smurf2 (the HECT E3 ubiquitin ligase smad ubiquitination regulatory factor-2) as a critical factor that directs internalized gap junctions to the endo-lysosomal degradation pathway in TPA-treated cells [136].

Implicit in the degradation of annular gap junctions through the endo-lysosomal pathway is the fusion of a double-membrane vesicle containing densely packed gap junction channels (an annular gap junction vesicle) with a single-membrane endosome. The mechanism by which this is achieved has not been elucidated. In several reports it has been suggested that the inner membrane of the annular gap junction splits away from the outer membrane, generating a single-membraned cytoplasmic annular hemi-gap junction vesicle (with the inner

annular gap junction membrane remaining inside) and thus could fuse with the single-membrane endosome [22, 195–197]. However, the signals that would drive such a gap junction channel splitting of annular gap junctions shortly after internalization are not understood. It is possible that the small membrane separations devoid of gap junction channels that have been observed by ultra-structural studies in annular gap junctions [61, 92, 184] (schematically depicted in Figs. 5, 8 and 10), likely corresponding to the “neck” of the plasma membrane invagination before forming the annular gap junction aid the fusion of annular gap junction vesicles with endosomes as the annular gap junction membranes are separated within these regions [61, 92]. The outer membrane of this region could fuse with the single-membrane endosome generating a larger vesicle (part endosome on one side, part gap junction plaque on the other side). The inner layer of the gap junction could then split away from the outer layer (for example based on increasing acidification as is typical for cargo/-receptor separation), forming an internal vesicle (complementing the inner hemi-gap junction plaque) that then could be degraded via the fusion with a lysosome. Analysis of cells incubated in media containing fluorescently labeled wheat germ agglutinin (WGA), a plasma membrane impermeant lectin that binds sialic acid and N-acetylglucosamine demonstrated that about 50 % of annular gap junctions (probably the ones that were internalized during the WGA-labeling period) contained a punctum of fluorescently labeled WGA [92]. Sialic acid and N-acetylglucosamine are carbohydrate moieties that are common on the surface of glycosylated plasma membrane proteins. Since connexins are not glycosylated and are densely packed within gap junction plaques, this data lends support to the idea that the small membrane separation observed in annular gap junctions indeed could correspond to the membrane domains where fusion with endosomes would occur.

Interestingly, TPA is a known potent activator of protein kinase C (PKC), and to promote hyperphosphorylation and hyper-ubiquitination of Cx43 [22, 23, 110]. Based on these results it is tempting to speculate that the level of connexin phosphorylation and/or ubiquitination might allow cells to regulate which pathway endo-lysosomal versus phago-/lysosomal, endocytosed annular gap junction vesicles are sequestered and processed; an intriguing hypothesis considering that autophagosomal and endo-lysosomal processing could render different annular gap junction processing products.

#### Annular gap junction recycling

A recycling of annular gap junctions back to the plasma membrane has been recently suggested [82, 91, 158]. However the evidence supporting this hypothesis is



still questionable. Gilleron and colleagues demonstrated that buds released from annular gap junctions were positive for two GTPase Rab family members, Rab 4 and Rab 11 [82]. They suggested based on the colocalization of Cx43 with these Rab proteins, which are known to facilitate protein recycling, that connexins may recycle back to the plasma membrane. In these studies the buds were observed with immunofluorescence to be near gap junction plaques. Yet, the actual fusion/return of Cx43/Rab positive buds to the gap junction plaques/the plasma membrane remains to be demonstrated.

Carette and colleagues have, based on time-lapse imaging and transmission electron microscopic data, suggested that an entire annular gap junction vesicle may return to the plasma membrane to reform a gap junction plaque [158]. In addition, annular gap junction vesicles were suggested to release single membrane vesicles that could fuse with the plasma membrane and potentially participate in gap junction plaque formation [158]. While the possibility of gap junction protein recycling is intriguing, it should be noted that much of their suggested evidence was based on TEM observations of single membrane vesicles seen near or attached to gap junction plaques. It is not possible to definitely demonstrate movement (either to or from the gap junction plaques) with the still images collected with this technique. The time-lapse data provide convincing evidence for the dynamic nature of gap junction plaques and the annular gap junction vesicles. However, additional studies are needed in which the cell borders can clearly be discerned and internalization as well as re-insertion of gap junction components can be monitored convincingly to confirm the suggestions that annular gap junction vesicle connexins may indeed recycle back to the plasma membrane and importantly at what rate.

In addition to the proposed recycling of annular gap junction vesicle connexins or their buds, VanSlyke and colleagues have reported that cytosolic stress, induced by heat shock (42 °C), which did not alter the endocytosis of biotinylated Cx43 but rather inhibited Cx43 degradation, increased intracellular levels of connexin proteins and increased the accumulation of connexins into gap junction plaques. Based on these results, they suggested that high levels of connexin within intracellular compartments following cytosolic stress may allow for increased opportunities for connexins to recycle back to the plasma membrane to participate in gap junction plaque formation [180]. Finally, Boassa and colleagues using a tetracycline tag and successive FAsH and ReAsH labeling that allowed the discrimination between newer and older connexin polypeptides provided evidence for the recycling of Cx43 back to the cell surface and the formation of new gap junctions during cell division [91]. However, additional studies

are needed to definitively demonstrate gap junction protein recycling and the role of annular gap junction processing.

## Conclusions

It is now well accepted that gap junction internalization, which results in annular gap junction vesicle formation, is a major cellular pathway that significantly contributes to gap junction turnover and that this process utilizes the clathrin-mediated endocytosis machinery. Mutations in gap junction connexins can cause a number of devastating human diseases including inherited nonsyndromic hearing loss, X-linked Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy, congenital eye lens cataracts, cardiac diseases such as hypertrophy, ischemia, and heart failure, a number of acute skin disorders, as well as craniofacial bone and other developmental defects (recently reviewed in ref. [198–202]). It is thought that mis-regulated gap junction plaque internalization, degradation and stabilization on the plasma membrane, which all result in aberrant levels of GJIC, contributes to the disease phenotype. Indeed, it has been recently reported that altered, non-physiological degradation rates of different connexins may cause disease ([203, 204]; reviewed in [200, 205]). Such results lend important support to the hypothesis that alterations in gap junction turnover are directly related to the development of many diseases. Thus, it will be crucial to explore and decipher the turnover characteristics of connexin 43, and of other connexins and their disease-relevant mutants since mutations in most, if not in all connexins has been suggested to be related or cause diseased phenotypes [205]. This is of particular interest for connexin types that do not encode conserved, known, canonical AP-2 clathrin adaptor binding sites (such as Cx26, Cx31, Cx31.1, Cx40 and Cx46) (Fisher and Falk, unpublished), since it is not clear if gap junctions assembled from these connexins are capable of turning over on their own, and whether they also implement the clathrin endocytic machinery. Moreover, as gap junctions contribute to physical cell-cell adhesion, many patho-/physiological processes that involve cell migration and cell-cell separation (such as cell migration in development and wound healing, mitosis, apoptosis, leukocyte extravasation, ischemia, hemorrhage, edema, cancer metastasis and others) [92] require the removal of gap junctions from the plasma membrane, and mis-regulation of this process may further contribute to disease. This would be especially true during development when the need for migration, differentiation and cell-cell separation are critical.

Exploring annular gap junction degradation processes via autophagosomal versus endo-lysosomal mechanisms appears important as well, as degrading annular gap junctions by different cellular mechanisms bears the potential for different outcomes; an interesting hypothesis

especially when considering a potential re-use of connexin polypeptides, gap junction hemi-channels, or even entire gap junction channels, rather than their degradation. Finally, as gap junction biosynthesis is a complex, time-consuming and energetically costly process, the recently suggested concept that internalized gap junctions could be re-used by re-inserting them into the plasma membrane [158] is a provocative, yet intriguing concept. Research in the coming years promises to answer at least some of these exciting open questions.

#### Abbreviations

AGJ: annular gap junction; AKT: kinase Protein kinase B (PKB); AP-2: clathrin adaptor protein complex 2; CLASPs: clathrin associated sorting proteins; CME: clathrin mediated endocytosis; Cx: Connexin; Cx43-CT: carboxy-terminal domain of connexin 43; Dab2: disabled 2; DAG: diacylglycerol; E1: Ub-activating; E2: Ub-conjugating; E3: Ub-ligase enzyme; EGF: epidermal growth factor; Eps 15: epidermal growth factor receptor substrate 15; GFP: green fluorescent protein; GJ: gap junction; GJIC: gap junction intercellular communication; GTPase: enzyme that binds to guanosine triphosphate; K63-poly-Ub: a protein modification that mediates protein endocytosis and degradation; LAMP: lysosomal associated membrane protein; LC3: microtubule-associated protein light chain 3; MAGUK: membrane-associated guanylate kinase-family member; MAPK: mitogen-activated protein kinase; Nedd4: neuronal precursor cell-expressed developmentally down-regulated 4; PDZ-2: amino acid structural domain with the following three proteins: P (post synaptic density protein), D (*Drosophila* disc large tumor suppressor) & Z (Zonula occludens-1 protein); PKA: protein kinase A; PKC: protein kinase C; Q-dot: quantum dot; RNAi: RNA interference; S1, S2, S3: connexin 43 adaptor-protein 2 (AP-2) binding sites (canonical tyrosine-based sorting signals of the type 'YXXΦ' where Φ is a bulky hydrophobic amino acid); Smurf2: SMAD ubiquitination regulatory factor 2; SQSTM1: sequestosome 1; TEM: transmission electron microscopy; TPA: 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate; Trim21: tripartite motif-containing protein 21; Ub: ubiquitin; VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor; Wwp1: WW domain containing E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 1; ZO-1: zonula occludens-1 protein.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

CLB wrote sections of the manuscript, edited, and prepared figures; MMF wrote sections of the manuscript, prepared figures and edited the manuscript; RMKA prepared figures and edited the manuscript; and SAM wrote sections of the manuscript, prepared figures and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgments

This review provides only a sampling of the literature that is available on this topic and we apologize to authors whose original works have not been cited. Work in the authors' laboratories is supported by funds from the National Institutes of Health (NIGMS, grant GM55725) to MMF, and the National Science Foundation (NSF, grant# MCB-0444398) to SAM.

#### Declarations

The publication of this article was funded by NSF grant# MCB-0444398 to SAM, and NIH NIGMS grant # GM55725 to MMF.

This article has been published as part of *BMC Cell Biology* Volume 17 Supplement 1, 2016: Proceedings of the International Gap Junction Conference 2015. The full contents of the supplement are available online at <http://bmccellbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/supplements/volume-17-supplement-1>.

Published: 24 May 2016

#### References

- Goodenough DA, Goliger JA, Paul DL. Connexins, Connexons, and intercellular communication (Review). *Ann Rev Biochem.* 1996;65:475–502.
- Kumar NM, Gilula NB. Molecular biology and genetics of gap junction channels. *Semin Cell Biol.* 1992;3(1):3–16.
- Loewenstein WR, Rose B. The cell-cell channel in the control of growth. *Semin Cell Biol.* 1992;3(1):59–79.
- Wei CJ, Xu X, Lo CW. Connexins and cell signaling in development and disease. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol.* 2004;20:812–38.
- Grek CL, Rhett JM, Ghatnekar GS. Cardiac to cancer: connecting connexins to clinical opportunity. *FEBS Lett.* 2014;588(8):1349–64.
- Ahmad S, Diez JA, George CH, Evans WH. Synthesis and assembly of connexins in vitro into homomeric and heteromeric functional gap junction hemichannels. *Biochem J.* 1999;339(Pt 2):247–53.
- Carabatsos MJ, Sellitto C, Goodenough DA, Albertini DF. Oocyte-granulosa cell heterologous gap junctions are required for the coordination of nuclear and cytoplasmic meiotic competence. *Dev Biol.* 2000;226(2):167–79.
- Roscoe WA, Barr KJ, Mhawi AA, Pomerantz DK, Kidder GM. Failure of spermatogenesis in mice lacking connexin43. *Biol Reprod.* 2001;65(3):829–38.
- Falk MM, Buehler LK, Kumar NM, Gilula NB. Cell-free synthesis and assembly of connexins into functional gap junction membrane channels. *Embo J.* 1997;16(10):2703–16.
- Koval M. Pathways and control of connexin oligomerization. *Trends Cell Biol.* 2006;16(3):159–66.
- Musil LS, Goodenough DA. Multisubunit assembly of an integral plasma membrane channel protein, gap junction connexin43, occurs after exit from the ER. *Cell.* 1993;74(6):1065–77.
- Perkins GA, Goodenough DA, Sosinsky GE. Formation of the gap junction intercellular channel requires a 30 degree rotation for interdigitating two apposing connexons. *J Mol Biol.* 1998;277(2):171–7.
- Bruzzo S, Guida L, Zocchi E, Franco L, De Flora A. Connexin 43 hemichannels mediate Ca<sup>2+</sup>-regulated transmembrane NAD<sup>+</sup> fluxes in intact cells. *Faseb J.* 2001;15(1):10–2.
- Vergara L, Bao X, Bello-Reuss E, Reuss L. Do connexin 43 gap-junctional hemichannels activate and cause cell damage during ATP depletion of renal-tubule cells? *Acta Physiol Scand.* 2003;179(1):33–8.
- Bukauskas FF, Jordan K, Bukauskiene A, Bennett MV, Lampe PD, Laird DW, et al. Clustering of connexin 43-enhanced green fluorescent protein gap junction channels and functional coupling in living cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2000;97(6):2556–61.
- Bejarano E, Giro H, Yuste A, Patel B, Marques C, Spray DC, et al. Autophagy modulates dynamics of connexins at the plasma membrane in a ubiquitin-dependent manner. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2012;23(11):2156–69.
- Catarino S, Ramalho JS, Marques C, Pereira P, Giro H. Ubiquitin-mediated internalization of connexin43 is independent of the canonical endocytic tyrosine-sorting signal. *Biochem J.* 2011;437(2):255–67.
- Fong J, Kells R, Gumpert A, Marzillier J, Davidson M, Falk M. Internalized gap junctions are degraded by autophagy. *Autophagy.* 2012;8(5):794–811.
- Hesketh GG, Shah MH, Halperin VL, Cooke CA, Akar FG, Yen TE, et al. Ultrastructure and regulation of lateralized connexin43 in the failing heart. *Circ Res.* 2010;106(6):1153–63.
- Lichtenstein A, Minogue PJ, Beyer EC, Berthoud VM. Autophagy: a pathway that contributes to connexin degradation. *J Cell Sci.* 2011; 124(Pt 6):910–20.
- Martins-Marques T, Catarino S, Zuzarte M, Marques C, Matafome P, Pereira P, et al. Ischaemia-induced autophagy leads to degradation of gap junction protein connexin43 in cardiomyocytes. *Biochem J.* 2015;467(2):231–45.
- Leithe E, Kjenseth A, Simes S, Stenmark H, Brech A, Rivedal E. Ubiquitylation of the gap junction protein connexin-43 signals its trafficking from early endosomes to lysosomes in a process mediated by Hrs and Tsg101. *J Cell Sci.* 2009;122(Pt 21):3883–93.
- Leithe E, Rivedal E. Ubiquitination and down-regulation of gap junction protein connexin-43 in response to 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate treatment. *J Biol Chem.* 2004;279(48):50089–96.
- Defranco BH, Nickel BM, Baty CJ, Martinez JS, Gay VL, Sandulache VC, et al. Migrating cells retain gap junction plaque structure and function. *Cell Commun Adhes.* 2008;15(3):273–88.
- Larsen WJ, Tung HN, Polking C. Response of Granulosa cell Gap junctions to Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (hCG) at ovulation. *Bio Reprod.* 1981;25:119–34.
- Larsen WJ, Wert SE, Brunner GD. Differential modulation of rat follicle cell gap junction populations at ovulation. *Dev Biol.* 1987;122(1):61–71.
- Laws MJ, Taylor RN, Sidell N, DeMayo FJ, Lydon JP, Gutstein DE, et al. Gap junction communication between uterine stromal cells plays a critical role

- in pregnancy-associated neovascularization and embryo survival. *Development*. 2008;135(15):2659–68.
28. Pierce BT, Calhoun BC, Adolphson KR, Lau AF, Pierce LM. Connexin 43 expression in normal versus dysfunctional labor. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;186(3):504–11.
  29. Dewey MM, Barr L. A study of the structure and distribution of the nexus. *J Cell Biol*. 1964;23:553–85.
  30. Karrer HE. The striated musculature of blood vessels. II. Cell interconnections and cell surface. *J Biophys Biochem Cytol*. 1960;8:135–50.
  31. Robertson JD. The occurrence of a subunit pattern in the unit membranes of club endings in Mauthner Cell Synapses in Goldfish Brains. *J Cell Biol*. 1963;19:201–21.
  32. Espey LL, Stutts RH. Exchange of cytoplasm between cells of the membrana granulosa in rabbit ovarian follicles. *Biol Reprod*. 1972;6:891–705.
  33. Larsen WJ, Tung HN, Murray SA, Swenson CA. Evidence for the participation of actin microfilaments and bristle coats in the internalization of gap junction membrane. *J Cell Biol*. 1979;83(3):576–87.
  34. Caspar DL, Goodenough DA, Makowski L, Phillips WC. Gap junction structures. I. Correlated electron microscopy and x-ray diffraction. *J Cell Biol*. 1977;74(2):605–28.
  35. Larsen WJ. Structural diversity of gap junctions. A review. *Tissue Cell*. 1977;9(3):373–94.
  36. Maeda S, Nakagawa S, Suga M, Yamashita E, Oshima A, Fujiyoshi Y, et al. Structure of the connexin 26 gap junction channel at 3.5 Å resolution. *Nature*. 2009;458(7238):597–602.
  37. Brightman MW, Reese TS. Junctions between intimately apposed cell membranes in the vertebrate brain. *J Cell Biol*. 1969;40(3):648–77.
  38. Goodenough DA, Revel JP. A fine structural analysis of intercellular junctions in mouse liver. *J Cell Biol*. 1970;44(1):215–7.
  39. Revel JP, Karnovsky MJ. Hexagonal array of subunits in intercellular junctions of the mouse heart and liver. *J Cell Biol*. 1967;33(3):C7–12.
  40. Uehara Y, Burnstock G. Demonstration of "gap junctions" between smooth muscle cells. *J Cell Biol*. 1970;44(1):215–7.
  41. Leithe E, Brech A, Rivedal E. Endocytic processing of connexin43 gap junctions: a morphological study. *Biochem J*. 2006;393(Pt 1):59–67.
  42. Muir AR. Further observations on the cellular structure of cardiac muscle. *J Anat*. 1965;99:27–46.
  43. Farquhar MG, Palade GE. Junctional complexes in various epithelia. *J Cell Biol*. 1963;17:375–412.
  44. Merk FB, Albright JT, Botticelli CR. The fine structure of granulosa cell nexuses in rat ovarian follicles. *Anat Rec*. 1973;175(1):107–25.
  45. Branton D, Bullivant S, Gilula NB, Karnovsky MJ, Moor H, Muhlethaler K, et al. Freeze-etching nomenclature. *Science*. 1975;190(4209):54–6.
  46. Benedetti EL, Dunia I, Recouvreur M, Nicolas P, Kumar NM, Bloemendal H. Structural organization of gap junctions as revealed by freeze-fracture and SDS fracture-labeling. *Eur J Cell Biol*. 2000;79(8):575–82.
  47. Amsterdam A, Josephs R, Lieberman ME, Lindner HR. Organization of intramembrane particles in freeze-cleaved gap junctions of rat graafian follicles: optical-diffraction analysis. *J Cell Sci*. 1976;21(1):93–105.
  48. Murray SA, Larsen WJ, Trout J, Donta ST. Gap junction assembly and endocytosis correlated with patterns of growth in a cultured adrenocortical tumor cell (SW-13). *Cancer Res*. 1981;41(10):4063–74.
  49. Larsen WJ, Hai N. Origin and fate of cytoplasmic gap junctional vesicles in rabbit granulosa cells. *Tissue Cell*. 1978;10(3):585–98.
  50. Albertini DF, Anderson E. The appearance and structure of intercellular connections during the ontogeny of the rabbit ovarian follicle with particular reference to gap junctions. *J Cell Biol*. 1974;63(1):234–50.
  51. Raviola E, Goodenough DA, Raviola G. Structure of rapidly frozen gap junctions. *J Cell Biol*. 1980;87(1):273–9.
  52. Albertini DF, Fawcett DW, Olds PJ. Morphological variations in gap junctions of Ovarian granulosa cells. *Tissue Cell*. 1975;7:389–405.
  53. Archard HO, Denys FR. Development of annular gap junctions in guinea pig epithelia. *J Oral Pathol*. 1979;8(4):187–97.
  54. Burghardt RC, Matheson RL, Gaddy D. Gap junction modulation in rat uterus. I. Effects of estrogens on myometrial and serosal cells. *Biol Reprod*. 1984;30(1):239–48.
  55. Murray SA, Nickel BM, Gay VL. Endocytosis of connexin protein in adrenal cells. *Endocr Res*. 2004;30(4):647–54.
  56. Caputo R, Gasparini G, Innocenti M. Gap junctions in skin tumors of molluscum contagiosum. *Arch Dermatol Res*. 1980;267(3):265–73.
  57. Yeager M, Gilula NB. Membrane topology and quaternary structure of cardiac gap junction ion channels. *J Mol Biol*. 1992;223(4):929–48.
  58. Nickel B, Boller M, Schneider K, Shakespeare T, Gay V, Murray SA. Visualizing the effect of dynamin inhibition on annular gap vesicle formation and fission. *J Cell Sci*. 2013;126(Pt 12):2607–16.
  59. Nickel BM, DeFranco BH, Gay VL, Murray SA. Clathrin and Cx43 gap junction plaque endocytosis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2008;374(4):679–82.
  60. Oyoyo UA, Shah US, Murray SA. The role of alpha1 (connexin-43) gap junction expression in adrenal cortical cell function. *Endocrinology*. 1997;138(12):5385–97.
  61. Piehl M, Lehmann C, Gumpert A, Denizot JP, Segretain D, Falk MM. Internalization of large double-membrane intercellular vesicles by a clathrin-dependent endocytic process. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2007;18(2):337–47.
  62. Giepmans BN, Verlaan I, Hengeveld T, Janssen H, Calafat J, Falk MM, et al. Gap junction protein connexin-43 interacts directly with microtubules. *Curr Biol*. 2001;11(17):1364–8.
  63. Lauf U, Giepmans BN, Lopez P, Braconnot S, Chen SC, Falk MM. Dynamic trafficking and delivery of connexons to the plasma membrane and accretion to gap junctions in living cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2002;99(16):10446–51.
  64. Evans WH, Martin PE. Lighting up gap junction channels in a flash. *Bioessays*. 2002;24(10):876–80.
  65. Jordan K, Solan JL, Dominguez M, Sia M, Hand A, Lampe PD, et al. Trafficking, assembly, and function of a connexin43-green fluorescent protein chimera in live mammalian cells. *Mol Biol Cell*. 1999;10(6):2033–50.
  66. Falk MM, Lauf U. High resolution, fluorescence deconvolution microscopy and tagging with the autofluorescent tracers CFP, GFP, and YFP to study the structural composition of gap junctions in living cells. *Microsc Res Tech*. 2001;52(3):251–62.
  67. Gilleron J, Fiorini C, Carette D, Avondet C, Falk MM, Segretain D, et al. Molecular reorganization of Cx43, Zo-1 and Src complexes during the endocytosis of gap junction plaques in response to a non-genomic carcinogen. *J Cell Sci*. 2008;121(Pt 24):4069–78.
  68. Murray SA, Shakespeare TI. Immunofluorescence: Applications for Analysis of Connexin Distribution and Trafficking. In *Gap Junction and Pannexin Channels; CRC Methods in Signal Transduction Series* (D Bai and J C Saez, eds); in press.
  69. Shimomura O, Johnson F, Saiga Y. Extraction, purification and properties of aequorin, a bioluminescent protein from the luminous hydromedusa, *Aequorea* doi:10.1002/jcp.1030590302. *J Cell Comp Physiol*. 1962;59(3):223–39.
  70. Falk MM. Connexin-specific distribution within gap junctions revealed in living cells. *J Cell Sci*. 2000;113(Pt 22):4109–20.
  71. Jordan K, Chodock R, Hand AR, Laird DW. The origin of annular junctions: a mechanism of gap junction internalization. *J Cell Sci*. 2001;114(Pt 4):763–73.
  72. Gaietta G, Deerinck TJ, Adams SR, Bouwer J, Tour O, Laird DW, et al. Multicolor and electron microscopic imaging of connexin trafficking. *Science*. 2002;296(5567):503–7.
  73. Falk MM, Baker SM, Gumpert AM, Segretain D, Buckheit 3rd RW. Gap junction turnover is achieved by the internalization of small endocytic double-membrane vesicles. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2009;20(14):3342–52.
  74. Falk MM, Fisher CG, Kells Andrews RM, Kowal TJ. Imaging gap junctions in living cells. In *Gap Junction and Pannexin Channels; CRC Methods in Signal Transduction Series* (D Bai and J C Saez, eds); in press.
  75. Fiorini C, Gilleron J, Carette D, Valette A, Tilloy A, Chevalier S, et al. Accelerated internalization of junctional membrane proteins (connexin 43, N-cadherin and ZO-1) within endocytic vacuoles: An early event of DDT carcinogenicity. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2008;1778(1):56–67.
  76. Leithe E, Simes S, Omori Y, Rivedal E. Downregulation of gap junctions in cancer cells. *Crit Rev Oncog*. 2006;12(3-4):225–56.
  77. Chanson M, Derouette JP, Roth I, Foglia B, Scerri I, Dudez T, et al. Gap junctional communication in tissue inflammation and repair. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2005;1711(2):197–207.
  78. Murray SA, Williams SY, Dillard CY, Narayanan SK, McCauley J. Relationship of cytoskeletal filaments to annular gap junction expression in human adrenal cortical tumor cells in culture. *Exp Cell Res*. 1997;234(2):398–404.
  79. Beardslee MA, Laing JG, Beyer EC, Saffitz JE. Rapid turnover of connexin43 in the adult rat heart. *Circ Res*. 1998;83(6):629–35.
  80. Berthoud VM, Minogue PJ, Laing JG, Beyer EC. Pathways for degradation of connexins and gap junctions. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2004;62(2):256–67.



81. Fallon RF, Goodenough DA. Five-hour half-life of mouse liver gap-junction protein. *J Cell Biol.* 1981;90(2):521–6.
82. Gilleron J, Carette D, Fiorini C, Dompierre J, Macia E, Denizot JP, et al. The large GTPase dynamin2: a new player in connexin 43 gap junction endocytosis, recycling and degradation. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* 2011;43(8):1208–17.
83. Rhett JM, Jourdan J, Gourdie RG. Connexin 43 connexon to gap junction transition is regulated by zonula occludens-1. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2011;22(9):1516–28.
84. Johnson RG, Reynhout JK, TenBroek EM, Quade BJ, Yasumura T, Davidson KG, et al. Gap junction assembly: roles for the formation plaque and regulation by the C-terminus of connexin43. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2012;23(1):71–86.
85. Shaw RM, Fay AJ, Puthenveedu MA, von Zastrow M, Jan YN, Jan LY. Microtubule plus-end-tracking proteins target gap junctions directly from the cell interior to adherens junctions. *Cell.* 2007;128(3):547–60.
86. Stout Jr RF, Snapp EL, Spray DC. Connexin type and fluorescent protein fusion tag determine structural stability of gap junction plaques. *J Biol Chem.* 2015;290(39):23497–514.
87. Wang HY, Lin YP, Mitchell CK, Ram S, O'Brien J. Two-color fluorescent analysis of connexin 36 turnover: relationship to functional plasticity. *J Cell Sci.* 2015;128(21):3888–97.
88. Cone AC, Cavin G, Ambrosi C, Hakozi H, Wu-Zhang AX, Kunkel MT, et al. Protein Kinase C-mediated Phosphorylation of Connexin43 gap junction channels causes movement within gap junctions followed by vesicle internalization and protein degradation. *J Biol Chem.* 2014;289(13):8781–98.
89. Curti S, Hoge G, Nagy JI, Pereda AE. Electrical transmission between mammalian neurons is supported by a small fraction of gap junction channels. *J Membr Biol.* 2012;245(5-6):283–90.
90. Flores CE, Nannapaneni S, Davidson KG, Yasumura T, Bennett MV, Rash JE, et al. Trafficking of gap junction channels at a vertebrate electrical synapse in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2012;109(9):E573–82.
91. Boassa D, Solan JL, Papas A, Thornton P, Lampe PD, Sosinsky GE. Trafficking and recycling of the connexin43 gap junction protein during mitosis. *Traffic.* 2010;11(11):1471–86.
92. Falk MM, Fong JT, Kells RM, O'Laughlin MC, Kowal TJ, Thevenin AF. Degradation of endocytosed gap junctions by autophagosomal and endo-/lysosomal pathways: a perspective. *J Membr Biol.* 2012;245(8):465–76.
93. Gumpert AM, Varco JS, Baker SM, Piehl M, Falk MM. Double-membrane gap junction internalization requires the clathrin-mediated endocytic machinery. *FEBS Lett.* 2008;582(19):2887–92.
94. Xiao D, Chen S, Shao Q, Chen J, Bijian K, Laird DW, et al. Dynamin 2 interacts with connexin 26 to regulate its degradation and function in gap junction formation. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* 2014;55:288–97.
95. Girao H, Catarino S, Pereira P. Eps15 interacts with ubiquitinated Cx43 and mediates its internalization. *Exp Cell Res.* 2009;315(20):3587–97.
96. Fong JT, Kells RM, Falk MM. Two tyrosine-based sorting signals in the Cx43 C-terminus cooperate to mediate gap junction endocytosis. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2013;24(18):2834–48.
97. Thomas MA, Zosso N, Scerri I, Demareux N, Chanson M, Staub O. A tyrosine-based sorting signal is involved in connexin43 stability and gap junction turnover. *J Cell Sci.* 2003;116(Pt 11):2213–22.
98. Thévenin AF, Kowal TJ, Fong JT, Kells RM, Fisher CG, Falk MM. Proteins and mechanisms regulating gap junction assembly, internalization and degradation. *Physiology.* 2013;28(4):93–116.
99. Laird DW. Connexin phosphorylation as a regulatory event linked to gap junction internalization and degradation. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2005; 1711(2):172–82.
100. Solan JL, Lampe PD. Key connexin 43 phosphorylation events regulate the gap junction life cycle. *J Membr Biol.* 2007;217(1-3):35–41.
101. Solan JL, Lampe PD. Specific Cx43 phosphorylation events regulate gap junction turnover in vivo. *FEBS Lett.* 2014;588(8):1423–9.
102. Lampe PD, Lau AF. Regulation of gap junctions by phosphorylation of connexins. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 2000;384(2):205–15.
103. Lampe PD, TenBroek EM, Burt JM, Kurata WE, Johnson RG, Lau AF. Phosphorylation of connexin43 on serine368 by protein kinase C regulates gap junctional communication. *J Cell Biol.* 2000;149(7):1503–12.
104. Kanemitsu MY, Lau AF. Epidermal growth factor stimulates the disruption of gap junctional communication and connexin43 phosphorylation independent of 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate-sensitive protein kinase C: the possible involvement of mitogen-activated protein kinase. *Mol Biol Cell.* 1993;4(8):837–48.
105. Lau AF, Kanemitsu MY, Kurata WE, Danesh S, Boynton AL. Epidermal growth factor disrupts gap-junctional communication and induces phosphorylation of connexin43 on serine. *Mol Biol Cell.* 1992;3(8):865–74.
106. Warn-Cramer BJ, Lampe PD, Kurata WE, Kanemitsu MY, Loo LW, Eckhart W, et al. Characterization of the mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphorylation sites on the connexin-43 gap junction protein. *J Biol Chem.* 1996;271(7):3779–86.
107. Lampe PD, Kurata WE, Warn-Cramer BJ, Lau AF. Formation of a distinct connexin43 phosphoisoform in mitotic cells is dependent upon p34cdc2 kinase. *J Cell Sci.* 1998;111(Pt 6):833–41.
108. Kanemitsu MY, Jiang W, Eckhart W. Cdc2-mediated phosphorylation of the gap junction protein, connexin43, during mitosis. *Cell Growth Differ.* 1998; 9(1):13–21.
109. Sirnes S, Kjenseth A, Leithe E, Rivedal E. Interplay between PKC and the MAP kinase pathway in Connexin43 phosphorylation and inhibition of gap junction intercellular communication. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2009; 382(1):41–5.
110. Postma FR, Hengeveld T, Alblas J, Giepmans BN, Zondag GC, Jalink K, et al. Acute loss of cell-cell communication caused by G protein-coupled receptors: a critical role for c-Src. *J Cell Biol.* 1998;140(5):1199–209.
111. Solan JL, Lampe PD. Connexin 43 in LA-25 cells with active v-src is phosphorylated on Y247, Y265, S262, S279/282, and S368 via multiple signaling pathways. *Cell Commun Adhes.* 2008;15(1):75–84.
112. Fong JT, Nimlamool W, Falk MM. EGF induces efficient Cx43 gap junction endocytosis in mouse embryonic stem cell colonies via phosphorylation of Ser262, Ser279/282, and Ser368. *FEBS Lett.* 2014;588(5):836–44.
113. Nimlamool W, Kells Andrews RM, Falk MM. Connexin43 phosphorylation by PKC and MAPK signals VEGF-mediated gap junction internalization. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2015;26:2755–68.
114. Schmitt M, Leykauf K, Reinz E, Cheng H, Alonso A, Schenkel J. Mutation of Human Connexin43 Amino Acids S279/S282 increases protein stability upon treatment with epidermal growth factor. *Cell Biochem Biophys.* 2014;69(2):379–84.
115. Johnson KE, Mitra S, Katoch P, Kelsey LS, Johnson KR, Mehta PP. Phosphorylation on Ser-279 and Ser-282 of connexin43 regulates endocytosis and gap junction assembly in pancreatic cancer cells. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2013;24(6):715–33.
116. Solan JL, Marquez-Rosado L, Sorgen PL, Thornton PJ, Gafken PR, Lampe PD. Phosphorylation at S365 is a gatekeeper event that changes the structure of Cx43 and prevents down-regulation by PKC. *J Cell Biol.* 2007;179(6):1301–9.
117. Sorgen PL, Duffy HS, Sahoo P, Coombs W, Delmar M, Spray DC. Structural changes in the carboxyl terminus of the gap junction protein connexin43 indicates signaling between binding domains for c-Src and zonula occludens-1. *J Biol Chem.* 2004;279(52):54695–701.
118. Chen ZJ, Sun LJ. Nonproteolytic functions of ubiquitin in cell signaling. *Mol Cell.* 2009;33(3):275–86.
119. Fushman D, Wilkinson KD. Structure and recognition of polyubiquitin chains of different lengths and linkage. *F1000 Biol Rep.* 2011;3:26.
120. Laing JG, Beyer EC. The gap junction protein connexin43 is degraded via the ubiquitin proteasome pathway. *J Biol Chem.* 1995;270(44):26399–403.
121. Martins-Marques T, Ribeiro-Rodrigues T, Pereira P, Codogno P, Girao H. Autophagy and ubiquitination in cardiovascular diseases. *DNA Cell Biol.* 2015;34(4):243–51.
122. Ribeiro-Rodrigues TM, Catarino S, Marques C, Ferreira JV, Martins-Marques T, Pereira P, et al. AMSH-mediated deubiquitination of Cx43 regulates internalization and degradation of gap junctions. *FASEB J.* 2014;28(11):4629–41.
123. Komander D. The emerging complexity of protein ubiquitination. *Biochem Soc Trans.* 2009;37(Pt 5):937–53.
124. Komander D, Rape M. The ubiquitin code. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2012;81:203–29.
125. Wagner SA, Beli P, Weinert BT, Nielsen ML, Cox J, Mann M, Choudhary C. A proteome-wide, quantitative survey of in vivo ubiquitylation sites reveals widespread regulatory roles. *Mol Cell Proteomics.* 2011; 10(10):1074/mcp.M1111 013284, 013281-013213.
126. Herve JC, Derangeon M, Sarrouilhe D, Giepmans BN, Bourmeyster N. Gap junctional channels are parts of multiprotein complexes. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2012;1818(8):1844–65.
127. Giepmans BN, Moolenaar WH. The gap junction protein connexin43 interacts with the second PDZ domain of the zonula occludens-1 protein. *Curr Biol.* 1998;8(16):931–4.
128. Toyofuku T, Yabuki M, Otsu K, Kuzuya T, Hori M, Tada M. Direct association of the gap junction protein connexin-43 with ZO-1 in cardiac myocytes. *J Biol Chem.* 1998;273(21):12725–31.

129. Songyang Z, Fanning AS, Fu C, Xu J, Marfatia SM, Chishti AH, et al. Recognition of unique carboxyl-terminal motifs by distinct PDZ domains. *Science*. 1997;275(5296):73–7.
130. Hunter AW, Barker RJ, Zhu C, Gourdie RG. Zonula occludens-1 alters connexin43 gap junction size and organization by influencing channel accretion. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2005;16(12):5686–98.
131. Baker SM, Kim N, Gumpert AM, Segretain D, Falk MM. Acute internalization of gap junctions in vascular endothelial cells in response to inflammatory mediator-induced G-protein coupled receptor activation. *FEBS Lett*. 2008;582(29):4039–46.
132. Dunn CA, Lampe PD. Injury-triggered Akt phosphorylation of Cx43: a ZO-1-driven molecular switch that regulates gap junction size. *J Cell Sci*. 2014;127:455–64.
133. Chen J, Pan L, Wei Z, Zhao Y, Zhang M. Domain-swapped dimerization of ZO-1 PDZ2 generates specific and regulatory connexin43-binding sites. *EMBO J*. 2008;27(15):2113–23.
134. Park DJ, Freitas TA, Wallick CJ, Guyette CV, Warn-Cramer BJ. Molecular dynamics and in vitro analysis of Connexin43: A new 14-3-3 mode-1 interacting protein. *Protein Sci*. 2006;15(10):2344–55.
135. Park DJ, Wallick CJ, Martyn KD, Lau AF, Jin C, Warn-Cramer BJ. Akt phosphorylates Connexin43 on Ser373, a "mode-1" binding site for 14-3-3. *Cell Commun Adhes*. 2007;14(5):211–26.
136. Fykerud TA, Kjenseth A, Schink KO, Sirnes S, Bruun J, Omori Y, et al. Smad ubiquitination regulatory factor-2 controls gap junction intercellular communication by modulating endocytosis and degradation of connexin43. *J Cell Sci*. 2012;125(Pt 17):3966–76.
137. Chen VC, Kristensen AR, Foster LJ, Naus CC. Association of connexin43 with E3 ubiquitin ligase TRIM21 reveals a mechanism for gap junction phosphodegron control. *J Proteome Res*. 2012;11(12):6134–46.
138. Bashheer WA, Harris BS, Mentrup HL, Abreha M, Thames EL, Lea JB, et al. Cardiomyocyte-specific overexpression of the ubiquitin ligase Wwp1 contributes to reduction in Connexin 43 and arrhythmogenesis. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2015;88:1–13.
139. Rivedal E, Leithe E. Connexin43 synthesis, phosphorylation, and degradation in regulation of transient inhibition of gap junction intercellular communication by the phorbol ester TPA in rat liver epithelial cells. *Exp Cell Res*. 2005;302(2):143–52.
140. Shah US, Murray SA. Bimodal inhibition of connexin 43 gap junctions decreases ACTH-induced steroidogenesis and increases bovine adrenal cell population growth. *J Endocrinol*. 2001;171(1):199–208.
141. Hawryluk MJ, Keyel PA, Mishra SK, Watkins SC, Heuser JE, Traub LM. Epsin 1 is a Polyubiquitin-Selective Clathrin-Associated Sorting Protein. *Traffic*. 2006;7(3):262–81.
142. Jha A, Agostinelli NR, Mishra SK, Keyel PA, Hawryluk MJ, Traub LM. A novel AP-2 adaptor interaction motif initially identified in the long-splice isoform of synaptojanin 1, SJ170. *J Biol Chem*. 2004;279(3):2281–90.
143. Sigismund S, Woelk T, Puri C, Maspero E, Tacchetti C, Transidico P, et al. Clathrin-independent endocytosis of ubiquitinated cargos. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005;102(8):2760–5.
144. Spagnol G, Kieken F, Kopanic JL, Li H, Zach S, Stauch KL, Grosely R, Sorgen PL: Structural Studies of the Nedd4 WW Domains and Their Selectivity for the Connexin43 (Cx43) Carboxyl Terminus. *J Biol Chem*. 2016;291(14):7637–7650.
145. Wu JC, Tsai RY, Chung TH. Role of catenins in the development of gap junctions in rat cardiomyocytes. *J Cell Biochem*. 2003;88(4):823–35.
146. Barker RJ, Price RL, Gourdie RG. Increased co-localization of connexin43 and ZO-1 in dissociated adult myocytes. *Cell Commun Adhes*. 2001;8(4-6):205–8.
147. Barker RJ, Price RL, Gourdie RG. Increased association of ZO-1 with connexin43 during remodeling of cardiac gap junctions. *Circ Res*. 2002;90(3):317–24.
148. Solan JL, Lampe PD. Kinase programs spatiotemporally regulate gap junction assembly and disassembly: Effects on wound repair. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*. 2016;50:40–48.
149. Butkevich E, Hulsmann S, Wenzel D, Shirao T, Duden R, Majoul I. Drebrin is a novel connexin-43 binding partner that links gap junctions to the submembrane cytoskeleton. *Curr Biol*. 2004;14(8):650–8.
150. Murray SA, Pharrams SY. Comparison of gap junction expression in the adrenal gland. *Microsc Res Tech*. 1997;36:510–9.
151. Naus CC, Hearn S, Zhu D, Nicholson BJ, Shivers RR. Ultrastructural analysis of gap junctions in C6 glioma cells transfected with connexin43 cDNA. *Exp Cell Res*. 1993;206(1):72–84.
152. Hasson T, Mooseker MS. Porcine myosin-VI: characterization of a new mammalian unconventional myosin. *J Cell Biol*. 1994;127(2):425–40.
153. Aschenbrenner L, Naccache SN, Hasson T. Uncoated endocytic vesicles require the unconventional myosin, Myo6, for rapid transport through actin barriers. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2004;15(5):2253–63.
154. Dance AL, Miller M, Seragaki S, Aryal P, White B, Aschenbrenner L, et al. Regulation of myosin-VI targeting to endocytic compartments. *Traffic*. 2004;5(10):798–813.
155. Aschenbrenner L, Lee T, Hasson T. Myo6 facilitates the translocation of endocytic vesicles from cell peripheries. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2003;14(7):2728–43.
156. Hasson T. Myosin VI: two distinct roles in endocytosis. *J Cell Sci*. 2003;116(Pt 17):3453–61.
157. Morris SM, Arden SD, Roberts RC, Kendrick-Jones J, Cooper JA, Luzio JP, et al. Myosin VI binds to and localises with Dab2, potentially linking receptor-mediated endocytosis and the actin cytoskeleton. *Traffic*. 2002;3(5):331–41.
158. Carette D, Gilleron J, Denizot JP, Grant K, Pointis G, Segretain D. New cellular mechanisms of gap junction degradation and recycling. *Biol Cell*. 2015;107(7):218–31.
159. Ungewickell EJ, Hinrichsen L. Endocytosis: clathrin-mediated membrane budding. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*. 2007;19(4):417–25.
160. Conner SD, Schmid SL. Regulated portals of entry into the cell. *Nature*. 2003;422(6927):37–44.
161. Hill E, van Der Kaay J, Downes CP, Smythe E. The role of dynamin and its binding partners in coated pit invagination and scission. *J Cell Biol*. 2001;152(2):309–23.
162. Kirchhausen T, Macia E, Pelish HE. Use of dynasore, the small molecule inhibitor of dynamin, in the regulation of endocytosis. *Methods Enzymol*. 2008;438:77–93.
163. De Camilli P, Takei K, McPherson PS. The function of dynamin in endocytosis. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 1995;5(5):559–65.
164. Iversen TG, Skretting G, van Deurs B, Sandvig K. Clathrin-coated pits with long, dynamin-wrapped necks upon expression of a clathrin antisense RNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003;100(9):5175–80.
165. Rappoport JZ, Heyman KP, Kemal S, Simon SM. Dynamics of dynamin during clathrin mediated endocytosis in PC12 cells. *PLoS One*. 2008;3(6):e2416.
166. Robinson MS. The role of clathrin, adaptors and dynamin in endocytosis. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*. 1994;6(4):538–44.
167. Roux A, Antony B. The long and short of membrane fission. *Cell*. 2008;135(7):1163–5.
168. Elgass K, Pakay J, Ryan MT, Palmer CS. Recent advances into the understanding of mitochondrial fission. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2013;1833(1):150–61.
169. Hoppins S, Lackner L, Nunnari J. The machines that divide and fuse mitochondria. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 2007;76:751–80.
170. Scott I, Youle RJ. Mitochondrial fission and fusion. *Essays Biochem*. 2010;47:85–98.
171. Smirnova E, Griparic L, Shurland DL, van der Bliek AM. Dynamin-related protein Drp1 is required for mitochondrial division in mammalian cells. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2001;12(8):2245–56.
172. Strack S, Cribbs JT. Allosteric modulation of Drp1 assembly and mitochondrial fission by the variable domain. *J Biol Chem*. 2012;287:10990–1001.
173. Laing JG, Tadros PN, Westphale EM, Beyer EC. Degradation of connexin43 gap junctions involves both the proteasome and the lysosome. *Exp Cell Res*. 1997;236(2):482–92.
174. Leach DH, Oliphant LW. Degradation of annular gap junctions of the equine hoof wall. *Cell Anat*. 1984;120(4):214–9.
175. Leithe E, Rivedal E. Epidermal growth factor regulates ubiquitination, internalization and proteasome-dependent degradation of connexin43. *J Cell Sci*. 2004;117(Pt 7):1211–20.
176. Musil LS, Le AC, VanSlyke JK, Roberts LM. Regulation of connexin degradation as a mechanism to increase gap junction assembly and function. *J Biol Chem*. 2000;275(33):25207–15.
177. Pfeifer U. Autophagic sequestration of internalized gap junctions in rat liver. *Eur J Cell Biol*. 1980;21(3):244–6.
178. Qin H, Shao Q, Igdoura SA, Alaoui-Jamali MA, Laird DW. Lysosomal and proteasomal degradation play distinct roles in the life cycle of Cx43 in gap junctional intercellular communication-deficient and -competent breast tumor cells. *J Biol Chem*. 2003;278(32):30005–14.
179. Chen G, Zhao J, Liu C, Zhang Y, Huo Y, Zhou L. MG132 proteasome inhibitor upregulates the expression of connexin 43 in rats with adriamycin-induced heart failure. *Mol Med Rep*. 2015;12(5):7595–602.
180. VanSlyke JK, Musil LS. Cytosolic stress reduces degradation of connexin43 internalized from the cell surface and enhances gap junction formation and function. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2005;16(11):5247–57.
181. Su V, Lau AF. Ubiquitination, intracellular trafficking, and degradation of connexins. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 2012;524(1):16–22.

182. Falk MM, Kells RM, Berthoud VM. Degradation of connexins and gap junctions. *FEBS Lett.* 2014;588(8):1221–9.
183. Ribeiro-Rodrigues TM, Catarino S, Pinho MJ, Pereira P, Giraio H. Connexin 43 ubiquitination determines the fate of gap junctions: restrict to survive. *Biochem Soc Trans.* 2015;43(3):471–5.
184. Mazet F, Wittenberg BA, Spray DC. Fate of intercellular junctions in isolated adult rat cardiac cells. *Circ Res.* 1985;56(2):195–204.
185. Severs NJ, Shovel KS, Slade AM, Powell T, Twist VW, Green CR. Fate of gap junctions in isolated adult mammalian cardiomyocytes. *Circ Res.* 1989; 65(1):22–42.
186. Fernandez AF, Lopez-Otin C. The functional and pathologic relevance of autophagy proteases. *J Clin Invest.* 2015;125(1):33–41.
187. Ciani B, Layfield R, Cavey JR, Sheppard PW, Searle MS. Structure of the ubiquitin-associated domain of p62 (SQSTM1) and implications for mutations that cause Paget's disease of bone. *J Biol Chem.* 2003;278(39): 37409–12.
188. Seibenhener ML, Babu JR, Geetha T, Wong HC, Krishna NR, Wooten MW. Sequestosome 1/p62 is a polyubiquitin chain binding protein involved in ubiquitin proteasome degradation. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2004;24(18):8055–68.
189. Wilkinson CR, Seeger M, Hartmann-Petersen R, Stone M, Wallace M, Semple C, et al. Proteins containing the UBA domain are able to bind to multi-ubiquitin chains. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2001;3(10):939–43.
190. Bjorkoy G, Lamark T, Brech A, Outzen H, Perander M, Overvatn A, et al. p62/SQSTM1 forms protein aggregates degraded by autophagy and has a protective effect on huntingtin-induced cell death. *J Cell Biol.* 2005;171(4):603–14.
191. Pankiv S, Clausen TH, Lamark T, Brech A, Bruun JA, Outzen H, et al. p62/SQSTM1 binds directly to Atg8/LC3 to facilitate degradation of ubiquitinated protein aggregates by autophagy. *J Biol Chem.* 2007;282(33): 24131–45.
192. Ginzberg RD, Gilula NB. Modulation of cell junctions during differentiation of the chicken otocyst sensory epithelium. *Dev Biol.* 1979;68(1):110–29.
193. Gregory WA, Bennett MV. Gap junctions in goldfish preoptic ependyma: regional variation in cellular differentiation [published erratum appears in *Brain Res* 1988 Dec 1;44(2):320]. *Brain Res.* 1988;470(2):205–16.
194. Vaughan DK, Lasater EM. Renewal of electrotonic synapses in teleost retinal horizontal cells. *J Comp Neurol.* 1990;299(3):364–74.
195. Kjenseth A, Fykerud T, Rivedal E, Leithe E. Regulation of gap junction intercellular communication by the ubiquitin system. *Cell Signal.* 2010;22(9): 1267–73.
196. Kjenseth A, Fykerud TA, Sirnes S, Bruun J, Kolberg M, Yohannes Z, et al. The gap junction channel protein connexin43 is covalently modified and regulated by SUMOylation. *J Biol Chem.* 2012;287(19):15851–61.
197. Leithe E, Sirnes S, Fykerud T, Kjenseth A, Rivedal E. Endocytosis and post-endocytic sorting of connexins. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2012;1818(8):1870–9.
198. Abrams CK, Scherer SS. Gap junctions in inherited human disorders of the central nervous system. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2012;1818(8):2030–47.
199. Kleopa KA, Abrams CK, Scherer SS. How do mutations in GJB1 cause X-linked Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease? *Brain Res.* 2012;1487:198–205.
200. Laird DW. Syndromic and non-syndromic disease-linked Cx43 mutations. *FEBS Lett.* 2014;588(8):1339–48.
201. Pfenniger A, Chanson M, Kwak BR. Connexins in atherosclerosis. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2013;1828(1):157–66.
202. Yang YQ, Liu X, Zhang XL, Wang XH, Tan HW, Shi HF, et al. Novel connexin40 missense mutations in patients with familial atrial fibrillation. *Europace.* 2010;12(10):1421–7.
203. Minogue PJ, Beyer EC, Berthoud VM. A connexin50 mutant, CX50fs, that causes cataracts is unstable, but is rescued by a proteasomal inhibitor. *J Biol Chem.* 2013;288(28):20427–34.
204. Gemel J, Simon AR, Patel D, Xu Q, Matiukas A, Veenstra RD, et al. Degradation of a connexin40 mutant linked to atrial fibrillation is accelerated. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2014;74:330–9.
205. Kelly JJ, Simek J, Laird DW. Mechanisms linking connexin mutations to human diseases. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2015;360(3):701–21.
206. Murray SA, Davis K, Gay V. ACTH and adrenocortical gap junctions. *Microsc Res Tech.* 2003;61(3):240–6.
207. Pauly BS, Drubin DG. Clathrin: an amazing multifunctional dreamcoat? *Cell Host Microbe.* 2007;2(5):288–90.
208. Veiga E, Guttman JA, Bonazzi M, Boucrot E, Toledo-Arana A, Lin AE, et al. Invasive and adherent bacterial pathogens co-Opt host clathrin for infection. *Cell Host Microbe.* 2007;2(5):340–51.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at  
[www.biomedcentral.com/submit](http://www.biomedcentral.com/submit)

