A phenomenological description of the rate of the aluminum/oxygen reaction in the reaction-bonding of alumina
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Abstract

The isothermal reaction kinetics of aluminum oxidation in loose Al/Al₂O₃ powder was studied in the temperature range 455–555 °C using thermogravimetry. The powder compositions ranged from 30 to 65 vol.% aluminum, with average Al particle sizes in the range 1.4–2.7 μm. The oxygen mole fraction was varied between 20 and 100%. It was found that only 70–80% of the aluminum can be oxidized below the melting point of 660 °C. The weight gain curves were well fitted by assuming that the rate of oxidation was first order with respect to the amount of aluminum, which can be oxidized below 660 °C, left in the sample. The reaction rate was observed to be independent of both the partial pressure of oxygen and the aluminum particle size. It was shown that the same kinetics relationship could also be applied to a broad range of experimental oxidation data in the literature, yielding a common value of the Arrhenius constant and activation energy. The kinetic model was successfully applied to the controlled processing of RBAO powder compacts for constant temperature hold and constant reaction rate cases.
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1. Introduction

The reaction-bonded aluminum oxide (RBAO) process was developed by the Advanced Ceramics Group of the Technical University of Hamburg-Harburg in Hamburg, Germany. The RBAO process involves heat treating attrition-milled Al/Al₂O₃ or Al/Al₂O₃/ZrO₂ powder compacts in an oxidizing atmosphere to produce Al₂O₃-based ceramics. RBAO precursor powders are made using 30–80 vol.% aluminum powder, 20–70 vol.% alumina powder, and 0–20 vol.% zirconia powder. The addition of zirconia improves the microstructure and mechanical properties of the final ceramic.

The oxidation of aluminum occurring during the RBAO process is given by the reaction

4Al + 3O₂ → 2Al₂O₃

(1)

This reaction is extremely exothermic and results in volume expansion and weight gain. Due to the weight gain, the reaction rate can be determined using thermogravimetry (TG). Reaction behavior of a typical RBAO powder heated in air is shown in Fig. 1. The furnace temperature was increased at 1 °C/min. The weight gain is plotted against the furnace temperature, which may be different from the actual sample temperature. After the initial weight loss, associated with the evaporation of fugitive species, there is a rapid acceleration of the rate of reaction at a furnace temperature of about 450 °C. The reaction rate reaches a maximum in the furnace temperature range of 500–550 °C. Under these conditions, the sample ignites and there are temperature excursions of up to 1000 °C. As the furnace temperature increases above 550 °C
the reaction slows down to the point that no further conversion will occur if the furnace temperature does not exceed the melting point of aluminum at 660°C, when total conversion will occur. It is the uneven volume gains and sharp thermal gradients associated with the ignition phenomena that cause stresses which result in sample cracking.2–4,6,7 Cracking can be avoided by using low heating rates and isothermal temperature holds that avoid reaction runaway.2–4,6,7 Knowledge of the reaction kinetics of the oxidation of aluminum can be used to avoid uncontrolled reactions.

The purpose of the present study is to determine the oxidation kinetics of RBAO powders comprising a mixture of Al and Al₂O₃. The oxidation of the Al was studied using thermogravimetry, and kinetic constants were determined as a function of aluminum concentration, oxygen partial pressure, and aluminum particle size. Note that in previous modeling studies, the reaction rate had been assumed to be second-order with respect to aluminum, and first order with respect to oxygen, but this had not been confirmed experimentally.3,6

As will be seen, the data from the present study yields a kinetic model which, in addition to being directly pertinent to RBAO conversion, has general applicability to studies of Al oxidation in the literature.

2. Background

2.1. Oxidation of aluminum

The oxidation of aluminum has been the subject of many studies.11–21 Most of them have used thin, flat samples of aluminum, but some work has also been reported in powder samples. The rate of oxidation of aluminum has been observed to be extremely temperature sensitive, and various kinetic models with different mechanisms have been used to describe the oxidation at various temperatures.

Cabrera and Mott22 developed theories for the mechanisms which control the oxidation of metals for thick, thin, and very thin oxide layers. For thick oxide films and sufficiently high temperatures, either the metal or oxygen ions are soluble in the oxide and diffuse through the oxide layer. The driving force is a concentration gradient that is inversely proportional to the film thickness leading to the classic parabolic rate law of the form

\[ X^2 = 2At \]  

where \( t \) is the time, \( X \), proportional to the amount of aluminum converted and \( A \), a constant proportional to the species diffusivity across the oxide. Since oxygen ions have poor solubility in aluminum oxide, the rate of diffusion of aluminum ions is commonly assumed to control the reaction rate. Thus, the rate of oxidation can be considered to be relatively independent of the oxygen pressure.

Isothermal weight gain experiments below 450°C resulted in weight gain curves that fit a parabolic rate law.3,11–13,22 As discussed above this corresponds to the growth of an amorphous oxide layer which is controlled by aluminum ion diffusion through the layer.14,22 This is also valid for the initial weight gain region above 450°C, but as will be discussed below the fit does not extend to higher conversions.

An Arrhenius plot of the rate coefficients from data fitting parabolic kinetics below 450°C and in the initial region...
above 450 °C for various studies is shown in Fig. 2. Rate coefficient data of Gulbransen and Wysong, Smeltzer, and Aylmor et al. are closely clustered. Values collected by Beck et al. and Suvaci et al. are significantly lower. Nevertheless, when the data points are considered together, they form a band which suggests a common activation energy. Shown in Table 1 is a wide range of rate constants and activation energies reported by the original authors. The apparent differences disappear when the actual rate coefficients are presented on the same plot. The same phenomenon will be observed with the rate data at higher conversions and temperatures. This is because there are two constants, \( k \) and \( E \), that can be used to fit the data and many combinations of these two give good fits. Only when the experimental results are plotted together does the similarity between the rates becomes apparent.

At temperatures above 450 °C, and higher conversions, the parabolic model is no longer valid. The observations of an amorphous oxide layer up to about 450 °C with the growth of a crystalline oxide layer at the metal-amorphous oxide interface at higher temperatures indicate that the change from parabolic kinetics is probably due to the nucleation and growth of crystalline alumina. This suggests that the second and final regions of the weight gain curves correspond to the nucleation, growth, and cessation of growth of crystalline oxide. Oxygen is transported through the amorphous oxide layer to the metal–oxide interface for the formation of crystalline alumina.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Temperature range (°C)</th>
<th>Activation energy (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Arrhenius constant (g^2/cm^4 s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulbransen and Wysong</td>
<td>350–450</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>7.8 × 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smeltzer (thin film range)</td>
<td>400–600</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>1.6 × 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smeltzer (thick film range)</td>
<td>400–600</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>8.0 × 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aumann et al.</td>
<td>280–375</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>6.0 × 10^{-15}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck et al.</td>
<td>450–575</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>7.0 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suvaci et al.</td>
<td>450–575</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>1.9 × 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Experimental procedure

3.1. Powder processing

RBAO powders were prepared from starting powders of Al (spherical powder, 99%, ~40 μm, Aldrich Chemical Co. Inc., Milwaukee, WI) and Al₂O₃ (AKP-30, ~99.99%, 0.4 μm, Sumitomo Chemical Co. Ltd., Japan). The three powder compositions processed comprised 30, 45, and 65% volume aluminum, with Al₂O₃ as the remaining portion. These compositions will be designated as Al30, Al45, and Al65, respectively. Zirconia, which is often a component of RBAO powder, was not added because the possible formation of Al₃Zr₂ would confuse interpretation of the TG data.

Appropriate proportions of the starting powders were mixed and attrition-milled (Laboratory model type 01HD Szegvari Attritor System, Union Process, Akron, OH) in 400 ml of mineral spirits using 2.5 kg of high purity, 3 mm Al₂O₃ balls. The stirring arm rotation speed was maintained at 580 rpm.

Two hundred grams of the ABO and Al45 powders were milled for 8 h, and 100 g of Al65 powder were milled for 7 h. After milling, the Al₂O₃ balls were separated from the powder slurry using a coarse strainer and rinsed with acetone. The powder was separated from the mineral spirits and acetone by vacuum filtration. The resulting filter cake was left to dry overnight in a fume hood. Once dry, the cake was ground using a mortar and pestle and passed through a 180 μm sieve.

3.2. Powder morphology and size

The particle size distribution and average particle size were measured for each powder using a laser-scattering particle size distribution analyzer (Model LA-910, Horiba Instruments, Japan). A small quantity of powder was diluted in ethanol in a small beaker, then placed in an ultrasonic bath to break up any agglomerates. The analyzer was filled with ethanol and then the diluted powder was added until the transmittance of light through the optical cell was in the range 85-95%. The sample was continuously circulated through the optical cell, stirred, and subjected to ultrasonic vibration to maintain the particle dispersion. A measurement was taken once the particle size distribution reached a steady distribution. The average particle sizes and size distribution for the three powders, respectively, are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 3.

Previous studies²,³,⁷ have shown that milled aluminum particles are flake like.²³ However, for the moderate range of substrate morphologies studied in the literature, particle morphology does not appear to play a significant role in the reaction rate.

3.3. Thermogravimetric measurements

Approximately 200 mg of loose RBAO powder was used in each thermogravimetric experiment. This amount of powder was judged to be large enough weight gain to make experimental error due to the equipment insignificant, yet small enough to minimize diffusional limitations in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Powder</th>
<th>Al reacted below melting point (%)</th>
<th>C₃Al (mol/cm³)</th>
<th>Average particle size (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 initial vol.% Al</td>
<td>62.72</td>
<td>8.985 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>1.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 initial vol.% Al</td>
<td>70.76</td>
<td>9.492 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>1.437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 initial vol.% Al</td>
<td>71.66</td>
<td>1.326 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>2.628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Particle size distributions of RBAO powders on a volume basis.
For these experiments, the sample was heated isothermally at various temperatures and with various gas compositions. For the experiments, the powder sample was put in an Al₂O₃ crucible, weighed, and then placed in the TG analyzer (Model STA 409, Netzsch Instruments, Paoli, PA). Mixtures of oxygen and helium gas with varying compositions flowed through the TG at 50 cm³/min. during all experiments. To ensure the desired TG atmosphere, the gas mixture was allowed to flow through the TG for 1 h before beginning each experiment. For each powder, one experiment was performed where the powder was fully reacted and the change in mass was recorded. The post-milling composition of the powders was calculated using the data from this experiment and are shown in Table 3.

Several experiments were performed on each powder with isothermal holds at various temperatures and with various gas compositions. For these experiments, the sample was heated to 20°C below the desired temperature at a rate of 30°C/min. and then heated to the isothermal hold temperature at a rate of 1°C/min. This method of heating the sample to the isothermal hold temperature was used because it took the sample to the desired temperature in the least amount of time while avoiding any overshoot above the desired temperature. After keeping the sample at the isothermal hold temperature for 6 h, it was then cooled to 20°C at a rate of 10°C/min. Isothermal hold temperatures used in these experiments varied from 455 to 565°C.

Thermal runaway occurred during the experiment with an isothermal hold temperature of 565°C for the Al₄₅ powder, and 535°C for the Al₄₅ and Al₆₅ powders. Experiments done above these temperatures did not give useful data. For each powder (and avoiding thermal runaway), one experiment was extended from a 6 to a 12 h isothermal hold to determine the maximum oxidation below the melting point.

### 4. Experimental results and discussion

#### 4.1. Effect of oxygen concentration and aluminum concentration on the reaction rate

As discussed above, experiments were performed with various isothermal temperature holds for each powder with the same oxygen concentration. The weight gain versus time for the Al₄₅ powder for varying isothermal hold temperatures (80% oxygen) are plotted in Fig. 4. It can be seen that for the higher temperatures, the reaction slows down considerably after a certain point, and then seems to stop almost completely. Calculations, however, show that there is still aluminum present at this point. Experiments performed at the highest temperature (without a runaway reaction) with a longer isothermal hold period showed that only between 70 and 80% of the total aluminum in the sample would react below the melting point. The maximum amount of aluminum that could react below the melting point was slightly different for each powder and complete conversion could only be achieved by raising the temperature above the melting point. The percentage of aluminum reacted below the melting point, the concentration of aluminum left, and the average particle size for each powder are given in Table 2.

Defining the conversion α as the aluminum reacted divided by the total amount of aluminum that will react below the melting point, the data were fit to the equation:

\[ k \tau = -\ln(1 - \alpha) \]  

Plots of \(-\ln(1 - \alpha)\) versus time up to 85% conversion for the Al₄₅ powder at various temperatures are shown in Fig. 5.a and b. Plots of the data for the Al₃₀ and Al₆₅ powders show similar results. When the isothermal temperature is reached, the time is taken as \(t = 0\); however, partial reaction occurred before the isothermal temperature was reached. The amount that reacted during heating to the isothermal temperature depended on the powder and temperature. This accounts for the varying initial points for each experiment. Beyond about 85% conversion, the reaction slowed down considerably. It seems likely that at this point, there was a change in the mechanism controlling the reaction. The reasonable fit of the experimental data in plots of \(-\ln(1 - \alpha)\) versus time to a straight line up to 85% conversion suggests that during this period the reaction rate was first order with respect to the amount of aluminum left in the sample. This is a purely experimental observation which has been clearly seen when taking most weight gain data in the literature.\(^{11–15,17,18}\) and replotted them in terms of \((k\tau = -\ln(1 - \alpha))\) Note that in Fig. 4a and b, the small variations in the degree of conversion at zero time arise due to corresponding differences in heat-up period prior to reaching the isothermal test temperature. The oxygen concentration was varied in several experiments using the same powder and the same isothermal temperature. The results of experiments done on the Al₃₀ powder at 505°C with varying oxygen concentration are displayed in Fig. 6. The small variation between the curves shows that the effect of the oxygen concentration is negligible. This is consistent with the observations of other investigators.\(^{11}\)

---

### Table 3: Post-milled powder composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial powder composition</th>
<th>Post-milling vol % Al</th>
<th>Post-milling vol % Al₂O₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 initial vol % Al</td>
<td>25.13</td>
<td>74.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 initial vol % Al</td>
<td>34.64</td>
<td>65.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 initial vol % Al</td>
<td>50.82</td>
<td>49.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(\alpha\): degree of compaction.

4.2. Effect of temperature

An Arrhenius plot of the rate coefficients $k$ for the three powder compositions is shown in Fig. 7. The figure shows the Arrhenius equation ($k = k_0 \exp(-E/RT)$) to be a good approximation of the temperature dependence. All the fitted lines for each powder have approximately the same slope, independent of powder composition. The difference in the $k$ values is a factor of about 3.4, which is not large for kinetic constants. The values of the activation energy, $E$, and the Arrhenius constant, $k_0$ that were determined from the data, as well as the average of each of these values, are shown in Table 4.

4.3. Effect of particle size

The average particle sizes of the powders are shown in Table 2, and the particle size distributions of the powders on a volume basis are shown in Fig. 3. The particle size distributions are fairly narrow with a minimum particle size of about 0.1 μm and a maximum particle size of about 11 μm. The ABO and Al45 aluminum powders have average particle sizes that are close in value, but rate coefficients and reaction rates that differ most. This is shown in Fig. 7. It also shows that the Al65 powder, which has the largest average particle size of the three powders, has a rate coefficient in between that of the other powders. This indicates that, in the limited range of particle sizes studied, particle size does not correlate with oxidation rates between 455 and 555 °C.

4.4. Comparison of experimental data with reaction mechanisms in the literature

There exist several reaction mechanisms (with related kinetics equations) for the oxidation of aluminum that might explain the observed RBAO powder oxidation behavior.5,11–13,16,17,22 The experimental data obtained in the present study were compared with each mechanism to check for consistency.

It has already been established that at temperatures above 450 °C the data cannot be represented by a simple parabolic equation, as done by Cabrera and Mott22 at lower temperatures. An extension of the parabolic model to spherical particles that assumes aluminum diffusion across the oxide layer and accounts for the change in the particle size was used by Wu et al.5 to describe the reaction kinetics of RBAO powder. At 540 °C, however, their experimental data deviated significantly from their model. It was speculated that the deviation was due to the presence of a particle size distribution and the variation of the gradient of chemical potential of the diffusing oxygen with conversion.

A more detailed analysis was advanced by Beck et al.17 Previous investigations of the oxidation behavior of aluminum suggested that the deviation from parabolic kinetics around 450 °C was due to a change in mechanism, from diffu-
sion across an amorphous layer to a change in structure by ei-
ther crystallization of the amorphous layer, or nucleation and
growth of crystalline alumina, but no equations describing
the kinetics were proposed.11–13,16 Beck et al.17 found that at
temperatures between 450 and 575 °C nucleation and growth
of crystalline alumina controlled the oxidation of aluminum
after an initial period of parabolic growth and proceeded un-
til the crystals ceased growth. The equation describing the
crystalline growth based on uniformly expanding cylinders
of constant height that nucleate instantaneously at random
positions is:

\[ W_c = \rho \delta [1 - \exp(-\pi r^2 \Omega t^2)] \]  

where \( W_c \) is the weight of crystals grown on unit area; \( \rho \),
density of the crystalline alumina; \( \delta \), thickness of the crys-
tals; \( r \), radial velocity of growth; \( \Omega \), nucleation density, and
\( t \), time. To this value he added the rate given by the parabolic
model. The model, however, contains three parameters that
were adjusted at each temperature to fit the weight gain data.
There are also unexplained discontinuities in the parabolic
growth rates. Fig. 10a and b show that the first order model fits the data well. Additionally, as discussed below a single activation energy can be used to accommodate the temperature dependence.

Suvaci et al. 18 attempted to use this model to predict the oxidation rate in RBAO powder with limited success. While this may indicate that the nucleation and growth of the crystalline alumina occurred by a different mechanism in the RBAO powder than on thin, flat aluminum samples, the similarity of the shape of the weight gain curves from Beck et al. 17 and this study suggested that the mechanisms controlling the crystalline growth were the same. In spite of the number of fitting parameters available (parabolic growth rate constant, crystal linear growth rate, radial growth rate, thickness of alumina layer and nucleation density) the representation of the weight gain curves was limited to the low conversion region.
of the process, with significant deviations at higher conversions. It should be noted that most past studies have shown a brief initial region of rapidly decreasing reaction rates that were not seen in this work. This may be due to the period of heating at the beginning during which partial oxidation occurred. However, the initial region of decreasing reaction rate found in most studies corresponded to very small conversions, so the lack of observation of this region was not significant for the purpose of this study.

4.5. Consistency of literature data with first order reaction kinetics

The difficulties encountered by previous investigators in representing the oxidation rates were discussed in the
previous section. The question naturally rises, therefore, is the experimental data in the literature consistent with the reaction kinetics observed in the present work? To address this point, the data from several groups were fit to the first order equation up to 85% conversion, and assuming a maximum conversion as indicated by the individual curves. The fit of the data is shown in Fig. 8a and b for Beck et al.,\textsuperscript{17} in Fig. 8c for Suvaci et al.,\textsuperscript{18} and in Fig. 8d for Wu et al.,\textsuperscript{5} and in Fig. 8e for Gaus.\textsuperscript{3} Coefficients of regression ($R^2$) exceed 0.99.

An Arrhenius plot with the rate coefficients found in Fig. 8a–e is shown in Fig. 9. The activation energies and Arrhenius constants determined from the figure are given in Table 5. As before, the data of Beck et al.\textsuperscript{17} and Suvaci et al.\textsuperscript{18} are significantly lower than the rest. Regardless, the figures show, as stated earlier, that the reaction constants are close to those from other investigators. Measurements of the rate of oxidation of RBAO compacts were carried out by Suvaci et al.\textsuperscript{18} for flat pellets 2.5 and 4.0 mm thick, at temperatures from 410 to 495 $°$C. Since the weight gain curves were very well represented by the first order kinetics model, the values of the apparent kinetic constants are also presented in Fig. 9. The data are remarkably close to the kinetic constants obtained in this work for loose powders and they fall in a trend line that shows the same activation energy as the loose powder measurements. Additionally, the data fit extend to temperatures as low a 410 $°$C considerably extending the range of temperature for which the model can be applied. Some of the data at high temperature show a rapid initial rate with a sudden slowdown at low conversions. It is likely that the data correspond to runaway conditions and were not included in the analysis. In several studies, reported in the literature, the point of maximum conversion was not reached hence the first order equation could not be fit to the data. However, for low conversions, the first order equation can be approximated by a linear equation of the form

$$\alpha = kt$$

(5)

where $\alpha$ is the conversion, $k$ is the rate coefficient, and $t$ is time. An Arrhenius plot of the rate coefficients, as rate of weight gain per unit area, extracted from data in the linear region of the weight gain curve for several oxidation studies (including the current work) is shown in Fig. 10. The figure contains rate coefficients from data collected by Gulbransen and Wysong\textsuperscript{11} (one sample), Smeltzer\textsuperscript{15} (two differently

![Fig. 9. Arrhenius plot of rate coefficients for experimental data from this study and various other studies.](image-url)
prepared samples), Aylmore et al.13 (one sample), Beck et al.17 (two differently prepared samples), and this study (the powders containing 30, 45, and 65 initial vol.% Al). The activation energies and Arrhenius constants determined from the data used in Fig. 10 are tabulated in Table 6.

Despite the variations in the activation energies and Arrhenius constants for each set of data, the values of the rate coefficients in Fig. 10 are similar for all the studies; with the exception of data from Beck and Aylmore, the overall slope is the same. From this, a single activation energy and Arrhenius constant can be determined if all the data are considered together. This shows that the behavior of the oxidation of aluminum is similar for flat samples and powders with various preparation techniques and that the equation(s) describing the kinetics at various temperatures should be the same for all the studies. We acknowledge that this is purely a phenomenological description, and the reaction mechanisms are still obscure. Nonetheless, it is significant that a relatively simple kinetic model has applicability over a broad range of data in the literature.

An attempt was made to fit the experimental data above 85% conversion from this study to an equation that might correlate to a change in mechanism. However, no equation was found to fit this data, and it is difficult to suggest a mechanism to explain the behavior in this region.

4.6. Applications of kinetics equations to prior reaction bonding results

In previous work, Gaus obtained curves for several runs on compacted RBAO samples with isothermal temperature holds at different temperatures for different lengths of time. Fig. 11 shows a comparison between the weight gain curves obtained by Gaus3 and the weight gain predicted by the first order equation using the average activation energy and Arrhenius constant from this study.

Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Temperature range (°C)</th>
<th>Activation energy (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Arrhenius constant (g/cm² s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulbransen and Wysong11</td>
<td>450–500</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smeltzer15</td>
<td>450–600</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>$2.8 \times 10^{5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aylmore et al.13</td>
<td>500–650</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck et al.17</td>
<td>450–575</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suvaci et al.18</td>
<td>450–575</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>$4.2 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>455–555</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td>$5.6 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>204</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Arrehnius constant determined in this study. The corresponding data calculated using the first order equation and an activation energy and Arrehnius constant derived from Gauss’s own work are also included. The determined activation energy and Arrehnius constant predict the weight gain curve very well. The prediction of weight gain using the average activation energy and Arrehnius constant determined in this study is somewhat lower than the actual weight gain but still very reasonable. It is obvious that some variation between RBAO powders can be expected, but the average activation energy and Arrehnius constant values will provide a useful estimate of weight gain and noticing that there are always variations between powders.

Watson performed experiments on samples of compacted RBAO where a maximum reaction rate was set to avoid both diffusion control of the reaction and ignition. Avoiding diffusion control and ignition prevents the development of thermal and chemical stresses which cause sample cracking.
5. Conclusions

In his experiments, the temperature would increase at a rate of 5 °C/min if the reaction rate was below a maximum rate, chosen to prevent both ignition and concentration gradients. When the reaction rate reached the maximum set value, the temperature would stay the same or increase slowly to keep the reaction rate at the set value. Weight gain versus temperature curves are plotted in Fig. 12. It shows data for several samples from Watson in the region where the reaction rate is approximately constant at the maximum set value and shows the temperature predicted from the first order equation for the mass and set reaction rate of each sample. The predicted curves start at the minimum temperature that will give the desired rate for the unconverted sample. The predicted temperatures and the experimental values of the sample temperatures match very well.

5.2. Reaction Kinetics

In his experiments, the temperature would increase at a rate of 5 °C/min if the reaction rate was below a maximum rate, chosen to prevent both ignition and concentration gradients. When the reaction rate reached the maximum set value, the temperature would stay the same or increase slowly to keep the reaction rate at the set value. Weight gain versus temperature curves are plotted in Fig. 12. It shows data for several samples from Watson in the region where the reaction rate is approximately constant at the maximum set value and shows the temperature predicted from the first order equation for the mass and set reaction rate of each sample. The predicted curves start at the minimum temperature that will give the desired rate for the unconverted sample. The predicted temperatures and the experimental values of the sample temperatures match very well.

4. Conclusion

In the temperature range 455–555 °C, the reaction kinetics for the oxidation of RBAO powders was observed to be first order with respect to the concentration of aluminum, and zero order with respect to the concentration of oxygen. The kinetics were also found to have an Arrhenius dependence on temperature with an activation energy of 222 kJ/mol and an Arrhenius constant in the range 2.88 × 1010 to 1.08 × 1011 s−1.

A survey of the literature revealed that the experimental data from a broad range of studies were also consistent with the aforementioned kinetics model put forward. Further, the proposed correlation is simpler than the multiple regions and mechanisms presented previously. Over the small range of average Al/Al2O3 particle sizes examined, there was little influence of average particle size on oxidation kinetics. The kinetics presented will be useful to predict the reaction behavior of RBAO powder compacts as long as diffusional effects are not important, and ignition is avoided.
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